

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION

Before Commissioners: Pat Wood, III, Chairman;
Nora Mead Brownell, Joseph T. Kelliher,
and Suedeem G. Kelly.

California Independent System
Operator Corporation

Docket No. ER04-632-001

ORDER ON COMPLIANCE FILING

(Issued February 18, 2005)

1. On May 21, 2004, the California Independent System Operator Corporation (CAISO), submitted a compliance filing in response to the Commission's May 6, 2004 Order in Docket No. ER04-632-000.¹ The compliance filing includes various deletions and corrections to terms and conditions of the CAISO tariff, as directed in the May 6 Order. The revised tariff sheets are accepted for filing, subject to one minor modification as noted, effective May 8, 2004, consistent with the effective date established in the May 6 Order.

2. Notice of this compliance filing was issued on May 26, 2004, with comments, protests, or interventions due on or before June 14, 2004. Modesto Irrigation District (Modesto) filed a protest which noted that the revised tariff sheets still include one reference to the term Scheduling Coordinator Participating Transmission Owner (SCPTO), even though the Commission ordered its deletion.

Discussion

3. Pursuant to Rule 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 18 C.F.R. § 385.214 (2003), the timely, unopposed motion to intervene serves to make Modesto a party to this proceeding.

¹ See 107 FERC ¶ 61,114 (2004) (May 6 Order).

4. In the May 6 Order, the Commission directed the CAISO to remove the term SCPTO from its tariff.² In its protest, Modesto states that the revised tariff sheets still include one reference to the term SCPTO, even though the Commission ordered its deletion. Modesto notes that the inclusion of this term is most likely inadvertent since all other references to SCPTO have been deleted throughout the remainder of the revised tariff sheets submitted in the instant compliance filing. Accordingly, Modesto requests the Commission direct the CAISO to submit a further compliance filing deleting the term from section 10.2 of the CAISO tariff.

5. Given the facts surrounding the deletion of the proposed term SCPTO, it appears that it was an inadvertent omission and the CAISO should submit a revised tariff sheet, within fifteen days of this order, deleting the term SCPTO from section 10.2 of the CAISO tariff. The revised tariff sheets are accepted for filing, subject to one minor modification as noted, effective May 8, 2004, consistent with the effective date established in the May 6 Order.

The Commission Orders:

(A) The revised tariff sheets are accepted for filing, subject to compliance, effective May 8, 2004, consistent with the effective date established in the May 6 Order.

(B) The CAISO is hereby directed to submit a compliance filing within fifteen days of the date of this order, as directed in the body of this order.

By the Commission.

(S E A L)

Magalie R. Salas,
Secretary.

² *Id.* at P 18. The Commission recommended this deletion at the recommendation of the CAISO in its answer in Docket No. ER04-632-000.