

168 FERC ¶ 61,157
FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, DC 20426

September 19, 2019

In Reply Refer To:
Calpine Bethlehem, LLC
Docket Nos. ER14-874-003

Calpine Mid-Atlantic
Generation, LLC
ER14-875-003
ER17-2566-002

Calpine Mid Merit, LLC
ER12-954-005

Calpine New Jersey
Generation, LLC
ER14-873-003
ER15-2495-003

Garrison Energy Center LLC
ER15-2735-006

Zion Energy LLC
ER10-2214-005

Mr. Neil L. Levy
King & Spalding LLP
1700 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20006-4706

Attn: Neil L. Levy, Esq.
Attorney for Calpine Bethlehem, LLC, et al.

Dear Mr. Levy:

1. On June 18, 2019, Calpine Bethlehem, LLC, Calpine Mid-Atlantic Generation, LLC, Calpine Mid Merit, LLC, Calpine New Jersey Generation, LLC, Garrison Energy Center LLC, and Zion Energy LLC (together, Calpine Companies) filed, on behalf of itself and Monitoring Analytics, LLC, the Independent Market Monitor for PJM

Interconnection, L.L.C., and Old Dominion Electric Cooperative, an Offer of Settlement (Settlement), addressing the revenue requirement for the provision of reactive supply and voltage control service from the generating facilities owned by the Calpine Companies, in Pennsylvania, New Jersey, Delaware, Maryland, Virginia, and Illinois. The Settlement resolves all issues that were set for hearing and settlement procedures in Docket Nos. ER14-874, ER14-875, ER17-2566, ER12-954, ER14-873, ER15-2495, ER15-2735, ER10-2214 and EL18-25.¹ On July 8, 2019, Commission Trial Staff filed comments supporting the Settlement. On July 22, 2019, the Settlement Judge certified the Settlement to the Commission as an uncontested settlement.²

2. Paragraph 31 of the Settlement states that “[t]he standard of review the Commission shall apply when acting on proposed modifications to this Settlement under section 205 or section 206 of the [Federal Power Act (FPA)], including by the Commission acting *sua sponte*, shall be the ordinary ‘just and reasonable’ standard of review.”

3. The Settlement appears to be fair and reasonable and in the public interest, and is hereby approved. Commission approval of the Settlement does not constitute approval of, or precedent regarding, any principle or issue in this proceeding.

4. The Calpine Companies are directed to make a compliance filing with revised tariff records in eTariff format,³ within 60 days of this order to reflect the Commission’s action in this order.⁴

By direction of the Commission.

Kimberly D. Bose,
Secretary.

¹ See *Calpine Bethlehem, LLC*, 162 FERC ¶ 61,031 (2018) (accepting informational filing, instituting section 206 proceeding, and establishing hearing and settlement judge procedures).

² *Calpine Bethlehem, LLC*, 168 FERC ¶ 63,006 (2019).

³ See *Electronic Tariff Filings*, Order No. 714, 124 FERC ¶ 61,270 (2008).

⁴ The Calpine Companies included *pro forma* tariff records as an attachment to their offer of settlement. We note that, when submitting a *pro forma* filing, as an eTariff filing, parties should include a tariff record in eTariff format using Record Change Type *Pro Forma*. The tariff record should reflect the effective date of the settlement, if known. If the effective date of the settlement is not known, the filing should use as the Tariff Record Proposed Effective Date 12/31/9998.