1	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
2	FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION
3	Office of Energy Projects
4	x
5	Central Rivers Power, NH LLC
6	
7	J. BRODIE SMITH HYDROELECTRIC PROJECT
8	Project No. 2287-053
9	GORHAM HYDROELECTRIC PROJECT
10	Project No. 2288-057
11	x
12	Great Lakes Hydro America, LLC
13	
14	SHELBURNE HYDROELECTRIC PROJECT
15	Project No. 2300-057
16	UPPER GORHAM HYDROELECTRIC PROJECT
17	Project No. 2311-067
18	CROSS POWER HYDROELECTRIC PROJECT
19	Project No. 2326-054
20	CASCADE HYDROELECTRIC PROJECT
21	Project No. 2327-047
22	SAWMILL HYDROELECTRIC PROJECT
23	Project No. 2422-058
24	RIVERSIDE HYDROELECTRIC PROJECT
25	Project No. 2423-031
26	
27	x
28	
29	Town & Country Inn
30	1033 20, US-2

31	Shelburne, New Hampshire
32	Tuesday, October 22, 2019
33	
34	The public scoping meeting, pursuant to notice, convened
35	at 6 p.m.
36	

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	MR. HANSEN: All right, folks. We are going to
3	get started, if you don't mind. You ready, Dan?
4	MR. HAWKINS: Sure.
5	MR. HANSEN: Great. So, welcome to the evening
6	meeting. The Federal Energy Regulatory Commission's scoping
7	meeting for what we're calling the Androscoggin River
8	Projects. Hydro projects. Nearby, close by here in Gorham
9	and Berlin. So, the projects that we are going to be
10	talking about this evening are the following eight. The
11	eight projects are owned by two distinct licensees, but all
12	eight of them are within an I think, 11 mile stretch of each
13	other, so we have decided to treat them all as one large
14	complex, if you will, for the purposes of our environmental
15	assessment. That seems to make the most sense to do it this
16	way.

So, the projects are J. Brodie Smith in Gorham, 17 that we toured today. Then tomorrow we will be looking at 18 19 Shelburne, Upper Gorham, Cross Power, Cascade, Sawmill and 20 Riverside. The numbers to the right there are the FERC project numbers and the last three digits are the subdocket 21 22 numbers, which I highly recommend if you are looking for anything to do with the relicensing of these projects, make 23 24 certain that you use the correct subdocket; it will narrow down the search tremendously. All of these numbers right 25

1 here are scoping document so you don't have to scribble them 2 down right now.

All right. So, we'll start with introductions. My name is Ryan Hansen. I'm with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission. I'm a fisheries biologist and I am the coordinator for the relicensing of these eight projects. I have two colleagues with me. I'll let them introduce themselves.

9 MS. NOVAK: I'm Suzanne Novak, I'm doing outdoor 10 recreation planning. I'm a cultural resources specialist 11 with FERC. I'll be doing primarily contact with cultural 12 resources. You'll have a new rec planner shortly. But 13 until she is on board, I am the recreation planner.

MS. COLBERT: I'm Julia Colbert. I'm an environmental engineer on the project, so I'll be doing project facilities and operations and project economics.

17 MR. HANSEN: Thank you, very much. So, I'll start with a couple housekeeping items. We'll move on to a 18 19 description of the projects as presented by the licensees 20 themselves. So, they've got some nice slides for us there. We'll talk about the purpose of scoping. Go through the 21 22 resources that we have preliminarily identified at this 23 time as important enough for us to take a look at in our environmental assessment. We'll talk about the schedule. 24 25 The types of information that we are requesting at this

time. We'll finish up going over FERC's online resources to help you all submit these comments and letters and then we'll have some time at the end for additional comments or questions if need be.

5 All right. So, housekeeping, first thing, we 6 have a sign-in sheet here. This is a public meeting so it's 7 important that we know who attended. It's also helpful for 8 Dan here, so as opposed to this afternoon I'm actually going 9 to pass this around. So everyone please just sign your name 10 and affiliation for the record, that would be very helpful.

11 And this is Dan. Dan is a court reporter. He is going to be transcribing everything that is said this 12 evening. The transcript of tonight's meeting will be 13 14 available on ferc.gov. I believe it's 7 to 10 days, somewhere in that range. So, you can go back and read what 15 16 all was said if you miss anything. Before you speak, please 17 provide your name and you affiliation so that Dan is able to put the right comments with the right folks. Every time you 18 19 speak, please do this. I know it can be repetitive but it's 20 really, really, helpful for Dan. And even if you spoke a lot this afternoon, it would still be helpful if you 21 22 continue to do so so that we can attribute everything 23 correctly.

If you would like to submit written comments,
which I think a lot of folks probably will, I want to point

out that the filing deadline is November 23rd. And we strongly prefer that you file any comments electronically. If you would like to send us comments by mail you're certainly welcome to do so. This is the mailing address here for the Commission, and I will gladly share that with you if you need it afterwards.

7 So, if you've never dealt with FERC before, we 8 are a five-member commission that is appointed by the President. And underneath the Commission there's a large 9 10 staff that, we do the regulation of the interstate 11 transmission of oil, natural gas, and electricity. We also do the licensing and inspecting of private, municipal and 12 13 state hydropower projects which is why we are here this 14 evening. Myself and my colleagues, we work in the Office of Energy Projects. It's the office at FERC that handles 15 16 hydropower licensing and more to the point, we are in the 17 Division of Hydropower Licensing which is aptly named. We also have another division, Hydropower Administration and 18 Compliance. These are the folks that deal with licensees 19 20 after they have a license and make certain that they comply with the terms of that license. We also have the Division 21 22 of Dam Safety Inspections who comes out and visits every 23 FERC project, at least I believe every five years. They take a look and make sure everything is looking good. We 24 have five regional offices around the country. However, the 25

1 hydropower licensing folks, all of us and everyone who does 2 this, for the most part, are all centered in Washington D.C. 3

Now, we're going to turn it over and learn a bit
about the projects, and we'll start with Central Rivers
Project so I'll bring Andy up.

7 MR. QUA: Thank you, Ryan. Good evening, 8 everyone. I am Andy Qua, I'm with Kleinschmidt Associates. We're helping Central Rivers with their relicensing of these 9 two projects. We are also helping Brookfield / Great Lakes 10 11 with the relicensing of the rest of this group. I'll jump right in here. J. Brodie Smith is located in the city of 12 13 Berlin. It's just downstream from the Great Lakes, 14 Riverside Project. The Gorham Project is in the Town of Gorham, approximately 2.8 miles upstream of the Shelburne 15 16 Project located at river mile 131.2.

This shows the FERC project boundaries in red, so that's all the lands within the project boundary under FERC jurisdiction and what we will be looking at in terms of environmental resources there.

A photo of the dam and spillway. I'll go over some of the details of that. The pre-application documents. Also have much more detail than I'll cover on these slides. The boundary extends up 2,500 feet downstream from the dam and upstream about 1,200 feet. Upstream of the dam the

boundary follows the contour of the water surface elevation, 1,009.7 feet mean sea level. There is a peninsula park located up, in and around the project facilities. About ten acres. It provides a lot of areas to walk and view the river and is maintained within the project boundary. At this time there's no proposal to change that boundary. It's part of the process.

As I mentioned, the impoundment is about 1,200 9 feet long. Surface area of 1009.7 feet. That's about eight 10 acres impoundment. The project is operated as run-of-11 river such that flow into the project equals -- or flow out 12 of the project equals that flowing into the project, 13 maintaining a relatively stable impoundment level.

14 The dam itself is 500 feet long. There are several sections with either steel or wooden flashboards. 15 16 There's also a canal that feeds water directly into the 17 intake that's about 500 feet long, 100 feet wide. The 18 powerhouse itself contains one 15 megawatt unit; a pretty 19 good size for this stretch of the river. The hydraulic 20 capacity is 3,200 CFS and it can actually operate to a lower 21 level which I think we have in the pre-application document, 22 if that is of interest. The intake has trash racks to keep 23 major debris from going down into the units. Spacing on 24 those racks is three inches. And there is a 1,500 foot 25 primary transmission line bringing power from the generator

1 to connect to the local grid.

2 The Gorham Project. This one is a little bigger, 3 a little bit bigger project boundary footprint. The boundary extends downstream a little over 2,000 feet, 4 5 upstream a little over 4,500 feet. The boundary similar to 6 Smith follows a contour created by that impoundment. That's 7 723 feet mean sea level. There's also recreation facilities 8 at this project, including a picnic area, fishing access, a canoe portage, some informational signs, and kiosks. Again, 9 10 like Smith there's no proposal to change the project 11 boundary as it exists today.

12 A few of these stats: impoundment, 4,700 feet 13 long, encompasses about 32 acres and again, it's a run-of-14 river facility, so water flowing out of the project is equal to that coming in from upstream. The Gorham Dam is 417 feet 15 16 long, also has several sections with varying size, heights 17 of flashboards and the materials. And it also has a 15 foot wide sluice gate which is used to help control higher 18 19 level flows. Also, it has a canal similar to Smith, a 20 little shorter; 415 feet and 60 feet wide.

Gorham powerhouse is four units. Two 400 kilowatt and two 675 kilowatt. Total hydraulic capacity is about 2,800 CFS. It has a fairly short transmission line to connect to the grid of about 200 feet. I'll wrap it up with these two projects. Again, they are run-of-river projects

1 so they are using what inflow comes to them rather than 2 peaking or ponding like some other facilities do -- keeping levels and flows fairly stable. There's no current proposal 3 to change the project boundaries, how they're operated, or 4 5 the facilities under routine maintenance repairs that is 6 just part of owning and operating the sites. And Central Rivers will continue to maintain the existing level 7 8 recreation and the amenities that are there.

9 Contact information. Curt Mooney is the manager 10 of relicensing process for Central Rivers and the project 11 manager on Kleinschmidt's end, being assisted by Kayla 12 Easler.

13 Any questions about these two facilities?

14 Thank you, Ryan.

15 MR. HANSEN: Thank you.

16 We'll now have Randy Dorman up, and he will tell 17 us about Brookfield's six projects.

MR. DORMAN: So as said, I'm Randy Dorman from Brookfield Renewable, we are essentially the parent company of Great Lakes Hydro America which is the licensee for these six projects. I'm also clearly not as smart as my counterpart, Curt Mooney, who had his consultant do the presentation portion of the evening.

24 So, I'm going to talk about the six projects we 25 have in relicensing. The Central Rivers Projects are kind

of interlaced in between all of these, and as we go through this discussion I'm going to work from the top of the river and work our way down. So, we'll go from Sawmill all the way to Shelburne here. So, this is just an overview of the presentation.

6 So, this is a pretty good map to give you a sense of where things are at and how far they are apart. So, 7 8 we've got Sawmill at the top of the river and then a little less than half a mile below that is our Riverside station 9 10 and then a little less than two miles below that we've got 11 the Cross Project followed by the Cascade and we've also got a Gorham Project that we are calling the Upper Gorham to 12 distinguish it from Curt's Gorham. And then our lowermost 13 14 project is Shelburne. So, it's at the very end of all of 15 them.

16 We're going to talk a little bit and we're going 17 to show maps of the project boundary, so the FERC project 18 boundary is basically expected to contain any facilities or 19 lands necessary for project operations. So, that's going to 20 include your project structures like your dam, your 21 powerhouse, it's generally going to include your 22 impoundment. And we'll talk about this a little bit more 23 later but we don't have any -- unlike the Central Rivers 24 project, we don't have any formal recreation facilities that 25 are part of our FERC project. And we're not proposing any

1 changes to our project boundaries.

2 So, we a couple of summary tables of all of our projects. They're generally fairly small in terms of the 3 footprint. Our longest reservoir is Sawmill at the very 4 5 top, but our largest impoundment is Shelburne at the very 6 bottom. And so, you can see that the length of the reservoir goes anywhere from 1,600 feet up to Sawmill, 18, 7 8 almost 9,000 feet. And then our impoundments range all the way from seven acres to 250 for Shelburne and 250 in context 9 10 is a pretty small impoundment as a lot of hydropower goes. 11 And so, the surface elevations of all these impoundments go from almost 1,100 feet down to about 700 feet so we've got 12 13 a, like, 400 foot drop over this 11 mile stretch.

14 And this is another snapshot of the whole portfolio. The total megawatts of these assets combined is 15 16 a little over 25 megawatts, so this is a pretty nice 17 collection of assets. Individually they might look a little small but together as a unit, they're pretty nice. And so 18 19 in terms of the capacity, they range from a little over 3 20 megawatts to a little under 8 megawatts. Riverside would be our largest station at some point, 9 megawatts. And the 21 22 Sawmill is our smallest at just a little over 3 megawatts.

And one of the things, we've got a variety of bypass reaches here ranging from Shelburne, which really doesn't have a significant bypass reach, also Cross doesn't,

1 to Upper Gorham which actually has a mile long stretch of 2 the river that's bypassed by the project.

3 MR. HANSEN: Ryan Hansen with FERC. Randy, what 4 is a bypass reach?

5 MR. DORMAN: So, a bypass reach is essentially a 6 portion of the natural riverbed where water is diverted around to go from the dam to the powerhouse. And so, 7 8 without any kind of minimum flow that bypass reach would be totally dry, and so we've got a variety of minimum flows for 9 each of these bypass reaches. Generally, for aquatic 10 11 habitat for fish and other organisms, but was that helpful? 12 MR. HANSEN: Thank you.

MR. DORMAN: All of the projects are operated as 13 14 run-of-river, as Andy said, which basically means inflow coming into the top of the impoundment should basically 15 16 match outflow coming out from below the project. Because 17 we've got this run-of-river requirement, we generally have very little fluctuation in our impoundments. Where that 18 19 would change would be if our flashboards, which are, kind of 20 a temporary devices that raises up the impoundment. If our flashboards drop, which they do under high flows, then you 21 22 can get, like, a pretty rapid change in the impoundment 23 level, or if we're doing maintenance. Those are really the 24 only two circumstances where we would expect to see 25 significant change in the impoundment level. Otherwise it

1 should be expected to be pretty stable.

2 So, now we're going to go through each of the six projects working downstream, so we're going to start with 3 Sawmill. And this will be where we start our tour tomorrow. 4 5 And so, as you can see, Sawmill's got the longest 6 impoundment. This red line, this is our project boundary. And you can also see that our project boundaries are drawn 7 8 very tightly, so they're generally basically the project structures, so our dam and powerhouse, and they're basically 9 the normal high water mark for the impoundments. So, 10 11 there's not a lot of project land in any of our projects. 12 So, I don't know, Kyle, is there any kind of 13 like, geographic feature up by the top of the impoundment 14 that anyone would recognize? MR. MURPHY: It actually goes upstream more 15 16 towards (inaudible). 17 MR. DORMAN: Okay. So, 720 foot long dam, crest elevation, it's got different sections and some are higher 18 19 than others and so the different elevations range from 1,087 20 to 1,094.7. Powerhouse, we've got four generators there. Total hydraulic capacity is 2,750 CFS. And we've got an 21 22 1,800 foot long transmission line out of this project. 23 The next project down is our Riverside project. 24 And this has got a little more complicated layout than 25 Sawmill. And again, you can see the project boundary.

1 It's fairly tight; it's the impoundment, you get a little 2 jog of land over on the east bank. Powerhouse down at the 3 bottom, and then we've got a pretty long penstock with the 4 project boundary kind of jotting out on either side. And 5 so, it's two steel penstocks, 1,400 feet long, 660 foot 6 long, timber crib dam. And again, we've got different 7 elevations for that dam that range from 1,074.2 to 1,076.6. 8

9 Inside the powerhouse we've got two turbines, 10 total generating capacity of 7.9 megawatts. So, this is, 11 again, our biggest project in the collection. And we've got 12 much shorter transmission, just 400 foot long, 22kb 13 transmission for Riverside.

14 Continuing down, the next one is Cross. And this 15 is more like Sawmill in terms of the layout. It's a little 16 more straightforward; we don't have a big length of 17 penstock so we don't have any bypass reach. You can see the 18 dam powerhouse and then the impoundment is basically all she 19 wrote for the project boundary here. So, 556 foot dam. 20 Crest elevation ranges 918 to almost 922 feet. And we've 21 qot 42 inch flashboards. Inside we've got five generating 22 units across and, I don't know, oh, I quess just a 20 foot 23 transmission line here across, so super short.

The next one down is Cascade and this has got a little more interesting layout. Again, you can see the

1 project impoundment drawn pretty tightly. We've got the 2 Cascade Dam. What makes this a little interesting is the 3 powerhouse is actually inside a working paper mill. So, it makes access a little interesting. All of these sites were 4 5 developed by James River back in the day. So, this one is 6 still in a working paper mill. The other thing you can notice is that the project impoundment, and this is typical 7 8 for all of the project, really goes up to the base of Cross Dam. So, almost all of these project boundaries are on top 9 10 of each other.

11 So, 580 foot, 3 foot long concrete Dam, crest 12 elevation 898. Do you know what the flashboards are on 13 this, Kyle?

MR. MURPHY: I want to say 4 inches but I've got to check.

16 MR. DORMAN: Yes. That sounds right. Three 17 turbines there. Total hydraulic capacity of a little less than 3,000 CFS. And, 430 foot long transmission line here. 18 19 Upper Gorham project. And again, this is a little more 20 complicated, too, where we've got, we do have a fairly, this 21 is the longest bypass reach in our portfolio here, where we 22 have got a dam. This stretch right here, this would have 23 been the river channel that is bypassed as the flows are 24 going down the power canal through the powerhouse. We had a 25 little debate earlier today about this island in between the

bypass reach and the power canal, and I think we concluded that the project does not include the island. So, the project boundary goes up to the shores of the island but the island itself is not in the project boundary.

5 MR. HANSEN: Ryan Hansen, FERC. I think two of 6 the prior projects, in the impoundment there were small 7 islands that were not excluded and I was curious whether it 8 was simply a matter of scale, why this one is excluded and 9 those were not?

10 MR. DORMAN: So, one of the things when we were 11 looking through the drawings, and this is something we have to do a little more homework in. Some of the older exhibit 12 13 G's, those would be the project boundary maps with FERC, 14 didn't include the bypass reach in the project so if you, 15 sort of imagine, if you take that mentally, that bypass 16 reach out, suddenly you see why you wouldn't have the island 17 in there. So, we've got an open question, is that bypass 18 reach actually in the project boundary? And if it is when 19 did it get put in and why? But I think that would, I think 20 if the history kind of follows like I think it did, I think that maybe explains why the island was excluded. 21

22

MR. HANSEN: Thank you.

23 MR. DORMAN: But that's, again, we've got to dig 24 into that, that's just the hypothesis. So, 775 foot long 25 dam. A couple of dikes. The power canal is a little over

1 3,000 feet long, 100 feet wide. It's a pretty big power 2 canal. And so, the powerhouse, four turbines, a total 3 capacity of a little under 5 megawatts. And again, a pretty short jog for transmission; we've only got a 50 foot 4 5 long transmission line. And finally, Shelburne. So, this is our lowermost project. And this one, as I said before, 6 7 this has actually got our largest impoundment here. And 8 there are a bunch of islands, and those all look like those are definitely in the project boundary at the top of the 9 10 impoundment. So, 551 foot long concrete dam, crest 11 elevation 724 feet. We do have, so this would be like, the powerhouse and the dam. And then we've got a road that 12 13 actually runs in front of this, and I've already spaced on 14 the name of the road.

15

AUDIENCE: North Road.

MR. DORMAN: North Road. So, I've used my lifeline, so that, I guess, is it for the show. So, I'm done. Shelburne powerhouse, we've got three turbines, and again, total hydraulic capacity is a little over 3,000 CFS. And this actually has a very long transmission line. We've got 5.5 miles of transmission associated with this project.

A quick slide, kind of, on Brookfield's community involvement. Since 2017, we've provided over \$15,000 to local charitable causes for scholarships, schools,

1 community events, local service organizations. We belong to 2 the Androscoggin Valley Chamber of Commerce and we partner 3 with New Hampshire Bureau of Lands to create some recreational and trail opportunities at Gorham Island. 4 We 5 also do public notices whenever we're going to do some kind 6 of drawdown for maintenance or construction. And we do annual public safety campaigns associated with swimming, 7 8 boating, snowmobiling. And then, we've got a regional office up in Berlin and we've got a lot of employees that 9 are engaged in volunteerism and do in-kind support of 10 11 community. 12 So, just a quick summary of the whole portfolio. 13 All of our projects are operated in run-of-river mode. 14 We're not proposing any changes to the project boundaries. We're not proposing any changes to project operations or 15

16 project facilities as part of this relicensing. And we have 17 no formal managed recreation facilities as part of our

project descriptions. That's all, I think. 18

19 This contact information. So, there's me. 20 There's Laura Cowan who is the project manager for Kleinschmidt. And Kayla Easler, I guess to work on all 21 22 eight projects as our project coordinator. 23 Well, Ryan. I'll give that back to you, Ryan.

24 MR. HANSEN: Thank you, Randy. 25

So, this is the Why Are We Here slide. So, we're

1 doing scoping as a part of our responsibility under the 2 National Environmental Policy Act which states that when a 3 government entity, I guess, does an action that could affect the environment, we need to take a look at that, do an 4 5 environmental assessment. It's part of FERC's regulations 6 as well. The government action that is being posed at this 7 point is the relicensing of these eight projects. In case 8 that wasn't clear.

9 So, what we're doing is we're coming out here to help, to get you all to help us identify resource issues and 10 11 concerns that we need to deal with in our environmental assessment. We've taken a stab, after reading the PADs, on 12 13 what we think are important issues that we're going to need 14 to look at, but without input from local agencies, on the federal, state, and local level, Native American tribes, 15 16 NGOs and the public, we're going to miss things. So, we 17 like to, we definitely, you know, come out and try to get as 18 much help as we can from folks who know the projects and 19 resources better than anyone.

Tonight we'll have a little discussion of some of the existing environmental conditions. Maybe potential information needs if that were to come up, and we'll discuss the resource issues. Speaking of which, the resource issues that we have currently identified as being something that we're definitely going to take a look at in our

environmental assessment can be found in section 4.2 of our Scoping Document 1. If you don't have a copy you can get one on eLibrary. If you don't know how to do that I can help you figure that out as well. But anyway, we received that on September 18th, 2019.

6 And as of this time we plan on looking at 7 aquatic, terrestrial, threatened and endangered species, 8 recreation, cultural, aesthetic, and developmental issues in 9 our environmental assessment. So, we're going to go through the resource areas one-by-one and basically just, you know, 10 11 state the resource possible effects that we have foreseen. 12 And then, you know, area-by-area after we get through this, I'll ask if there's anything that we've missed, anything you 13 14 can help us with, anything that you would like to add. You know, basically, just any information that will be helpful 15 16 for us in this process.

17 So, for aquatic resources we plan tentatively right now to look at the effects of project operation and 18 19 maintenance on water quality in the project areas. And in 20 particular, temperature and dissolved oxygen are two things that we'll be taking a close look at. We'll be looking at 21 22 the effects of operation and maintenance of the projects on 23 aquatic habitat. That includes the distribution of that 24 habitat and the suitability of it in the project-affected 25 areas for aquatic animals and plants.

1 And we will look at the effects of project 2 operation and maintenance on fish impingement, entrainment, and survival in the river. And to define these terms, 3 again for folks who may not be familiar, impingement of fish 4 5 is when the fish were to get stuck upon some sort of rack or 6 excluding device. That usually leads to harm or death of 7 the fish oftentimes. Entrainment is when a fish is actually 8 sucked through a turbine and spat out the other side. Sometimes in one piece, sometimes not. And so those are all 9 10 things that we'll be looking at in our environmental 11 assessment on the aquatic side. 12 So, with that said, is there anything under the 13 aquatic umbrella that you think that we might have missed at 14 this point or that you would like to bring up or that we can add to it? 15 16 Very good. 17 For terrestrial resources, we'll be looking at the effects of operation and maintenance on riparian, 18 19 littoral, and wetland habitats as well as the associated 20 wildlife that use them. And the effects of project operation and maintenance on nesting Bald Eagles, which we 21 22 understand are in the area. So, those are, at this time, 23 what we've identified as terrestrial resources that we're going to examine in the environmental assessment. 24

25 Once again, I'd like to open the floor if there's

1 any other terrestrial issues that anyone in the audience 2 would like to bring up at this time. Now would be a good 3 time to do so.

4 All right. Very good. 5 Threatened and endangered species. We will be 6 looking at the project, the effects of the project operation and maintenance on the two threatened species, federally 7 8 threatened species that are in the project area. Those being the Canada Lynx and the Northern Long-eared bat; or 9 have the possibility that they could be in the project 10 11 area. We'll probably be adding to this, probably some closer looks at some state species that we discussed this 12 13 afternoon, so I expect that that list will be expanded to 14 take a look at some of those species that are important here 15 in the state.

16 So, with that said, do we have any other 17 information on threatened or endangered species on the 18 federal or state level that anyone would like to bring up or 19 talk about right now?

All right. Very good. And for those of you familiar with the Endangered Species Act, we will be consulting with the Fish and Wildlife Service on these critters so it's, if you're familiar with how that works it's not just FERC saying we're doing it. We've got the experts that we are consulting with.

1 For recreation we're going to be looking at the 2 effects of project operation and maintenance on the existing recreation facilities in the area. That will include the 3 adequacy of the existing recreational facilities and the 4 5 access to those. And we will also look at the effects of 6 the operation and maintenance on aesthetic resources, and public access within shoreline protection areas. We know 7 8 that there's a lot of recreation in this area, that's very important. So, we think this is, you know, going to be an 9 important part of our environmental assessment. 10 11 With that said, is there anything that's not -Yes, sir? 12 13 MR. JUDGE: Will there be opportunity to 14 MR. HANSEN: I'm sorry, could you state your 15 name, please? 16 MR. JUDGE: Stan Judge. I'm a Selectman in 17 Shelburne. MR. HANSEN: Thank you, sir. 18 MR. JUDGE: Would there be opportunity to comment 19 on some of these as we go along? Rather than later. 20 MR. HANSEN: Yes, sir. 21 22 MR. JUDGE: Recreation may or may not be an area 23 to comment on, but we could input in the future? MR. HANSEN: Yes, sir. We're accepting - this 24 25 is a pretty early stage. This is the start of the

1 relicensing process for these projects. We have an upcoming 2 deadline for the submission of written comments on our 3 Scoping Document 1 we're discussing this evening as well as the pre-application documents that the licensees have put 4 5 together. And that deadline will be November 23rd. But 6 with that said, we accept comments anytime during the proceeding. And as we go along, you know, and get into the 7 8 portion where the licensee filed the license application, there's an awful lot of opportunity for public input. So 9 10 anything that doesn't, you know, come up today, there's 11 certainly plenty of, ample time to make us aware and to get 12 involved.

Anything under the recreation umbrella that anyone would like to bring up tonight? Obviously, like I've said, there's plenty of time to do so. If not tonight, bring it to mind; but anything else that we would like to talk about at this moment?

18

All right.

For cultural resources we'll be looking at the effects of project operation and maintenance activities on properties that are included in already or eligible for inclusion in the National Register of Historic Places. Are there any other issues under cultural or perhaps historic that anyone wants to discuss at this time, or talk about? Okay. And we will be working with the State Historic Preservation Office on this endeavor as well so they would
 be very helpful in making certain we identify resources of
 interest.

4 We're taking a look at the effects of project 5 operation and maintenance on aesthetic resources in the 6 area. So, this is basically the way things look, the way 7 things sound, and this can be just the way our transmission 8 line looks, this can be light pollution, noise pollution, anything that affects the human or animal habitat in an 9 10 aesthetic manner. That's what we're getting at with this, 11 with this bullet.

Are there any aesthetic issues in particular that anyone has tonight that they would like to bring up or alert us to?

15 Very good.

16 Developmental resources. FERC is required to 17 balance the need for environmental mitigation or any sort of project-induced mitigation with the public interest as well 18 19 as how much that would cost. So, any recommended 20 environmental measures that come in to the FERC, in our 21 environmental assessment we will have to take a look at not 22 only what they will do-- the effect of those recommended 23 measures --but how much they will cost, and make a call 24 whether including that would be in the interest of the 25 public.

1 So, it's also important to note that certain 2 environmental measures could have an effect on project 3 generation and we're going to look at that as well. In particular, things like, you know, provision of a higher 4 5 minimum flow, along those lines, you know, that could effect generation and cause that to be lower. But all of these 6 7 things will be taken into account for all of the measures 8 that we analyze in our environmental assessment.

9 Does anybody have anything on the developmental 10 side at this time you want to talk about?

11 Okay.

And then as an update from this morning's 12 13 meeting, or this afternoon's meeting, I think we will likely 14 be including a socioeconomic resources section because, you know, you've made clear that obviously tourism dollars are 15 16 very intact in the tax base that goes along with that and 17 very important to the area so we will be getting into that, I think as well, more than likely. It's already something 18 19 we've learned that we plan on adding in.

20 So, we're asking for a number of different types 21 of information both tonight and in writing by November 23rd. 22 If you look at section 6 of our Scoping Document 1, you'll 23 see this list as well as probably some others on there as 24 well, but if you're aware of any significant environmental 25 issues that we need to talk about in our environmental

1 assessment that haven't come up tonight, then certainly
2 include those. If you have any information of data, or data
3 that describes the environmental conditions here currently
4 or in the past, all of that is really useful; so if you have
5 any knowledge of that we would love if you could let us
6 know.

7 If you're aware of any resource plans or future 8 proposals that could affect the project area or could be affected by these projects in the relicensing of them, that 9 10 would be very useful to know. If there are any 11 comprehensive plans that could apply to this project area we 12 would like to know about those. If you look at our Scoping Document 1, we have a list of FERC-approved comprehensive 13 14 plans and these are plans that could be filed by any type of stakeholder that basically lay out any variety of types of 15 16 plans for an area. It could be something environmental 17 along the lines of habitat restoration. It could be fisheries restoration. It could be putting in a new trail 18 19 network; it could be any number of things. But these things 20 are sent into the FERC and we take a look and make certain 21 that they do apply to what we do, and if so, we approve 22 them. We have a current list of all the approved plans on 23 our website, state-by-state. We've looked at all the ones 24 in New Hampshire that we have on file and we have a list of 25 those that we think are the ones that we're going to need to

1 take a look at during this process.

If you see any on that list or you don't see anything on that list that you think should be there that's currently approved by FERC, let us know. Or if you have a plan that FERC has no idea exists, we would like to know about that as well because we very well may need to take that into account in our analysis.

8 And then we are also asking for study requests. If you take a look at section 5 of the licensees' pre-9 application documents you will see a list of studies that 10 11 they are proposing to do in the next two years, a year to two years. And take a look at that. If you notice that 12 13 there's anything missing, if there's information that you 14 think is important that needs to be collected for us to be able to make a decision on the relicensing of these 15 16 projects, we ask that you request a study.

17 If you look at Appendix A of our Scoping Document 18 1 it will tell you exactly what you need to detail in your 19 request so we understand what you're asking for. It allows 20 us to evaluate it. So, take a look at what is being 21 proposed. If you think that initial information is 22 important please request a study. And I'll explain how we 23 deal with those here in just a second.

24 So, these are all kind of things that we hope to 25 see in letters that are sent to us by November 23rd. Some of these things we'll accept after that date, but anything you can get in by the 23rd is really the most important, especially study requests. Any study requests after the 23rd, is difficult to get, to get through the process. So we really want to see those on time so please don't forget those.

7 So, the upcoming schedule for these relicensing 8 proceedings. Obviously, today is the scoping meeting. The next big date are the comments on the Notice of Intent and 9 the pre-application documents filed by the licensees, which 10 11 are available on our eLibrary system. They're all there for you to take a look at as well as the Scoping Document 1, is 12 13 there as well. And any study requests that you have, these 14 are due November 23rd, so please don't miss this date, it's 15 very important to keep things rolling.

The steps that happen after that. We will be issuing a Scoping Document 2 by January 7th. Basically that is the Scoping Document 1, we've already issued but updated to include all of the new issues that we have learned about during the scoping process. So, SD1 is, kind of, a first shot; SD2 is an edited version where we have more information. And we will be issuing that by January 7th.

By that same day the licensees here will need to file proposed study plans. And what those are is the studies that they're proposing to do in their PADs, they will detail exactly what those studies will entail. So, they will list them one-by-one and we'll go through the methodology, we'll go through what data they're looking to collect, how they're going to analyze this data. So to get a real good idea of what and why the information is being collected.

7 We're going to have a study plan meeting by 8 February 6th of next year. As I was saying earlier, that might be face-to-face here. We may come back up for that 9 10 depending on how many study requests we get. Or we may do 11 it by teleconference if there aren't that many, we don't receive that many and it seems like a simple meeting we may 12 13 do it by telephone. But if there are a lot that we need to 14 move through we'll come back up and we'll all sit down and talk about those and try to come to some sort of 15 16 understanding of everybody's information needs.

17 After that we have a 30 day period for folks to file comments on the proposed study plan. We can take a 18 19 look at what the licensees are proposing, anything that you want to add or you don't like, or things that -- whatever 20 your comments may be, we would like those filed by March 21 22 6th. We then give the licensees two months to take all of 23 the comments and everything that we talked about at the 24 study plan meeting and let them revise their study plan. 25 The revised study plan may be identical to their

1 original study plan. It may include new studies that other 2 folks have recommended. It may include the same studies but 3 maybe the methodology's changed based on input from a resource agency. It could be any number of things. But the 4 5 final study plan will need to be filed by May 6th and that 6 is the final proposal put forth by the licensees that says 7 "Hey, this is exactly what we're going to collect. This is 8 exactly how we're going to do it."

9 Then 14 days after that for everybody to take a look at that and file comments on that revised study plan 10 11 and then by June 5th the Director of the Office of Energy Projects, who is our boss, will make a determination on 12 13 exactly which studies need to be performed by the licensees. 14 Keep in mind that this decision is based on FERC's information needs. So, any, you know, requests for studies 15 16 for information that we don't find useful, we more than 17 likely will not require. But basically what that document will say is it will tell you which ones were proposed by the 18 19 applicant that we're going to require. Which ones were 20 maybe they proposed that we don't think are necessary and we will say don't do these. 21

And we'll go through one-by-one, anything that's submitted. Any requests that's submitted. We will say, yes, we think you should do this, or you shouldn't, and here's why. And then that's the final decision. I think

there may be one more conflict resolution step if you don't agree, but for the most part that's, at that point everybody knows what needs to be done.

If you want to see the schedule for this relicensing proceeding for all of these projects, take a look at appendix B of our SD1. It's all laid out in there. So, it's like three pages long, perhaps. It's a lot of dates. And please note that that schedule upholds for all eight of the projects. So, it's the same for all of these projects all the way down the list.

11 I recommend ferc.gov, it's very useful if you want to be involved in this proceeding. We have our eFiling 12 13 capability, which is a real easy way to sign up and 14 anything you want to get to the Commission be it a letter, be it, you know, a copy of a plan you want to, submit. 15 16 Anything. You can do that through eFiling. It's 17 electronic. It comes straight to the Commission in a day's time or less. It's the best way to do it and we highly 18 19 recommend that anything that you want to send us, that's the 20 best route to go.

21 We have a Quick Comment feature which will allow 22 you to send a message, I think it's, I think I said earlier 23 it's six thousand characters. It's somewhere in that 24 neighborhood. Directed to FERC, and I think of it like 25 texting FERC. But it's considered, anything that you send 1 through Quick Comment is considered in the same manner that 2 any other letter would be. Even though it seems a more 3 informal way to submit, and it kind of is, that doesn't mean that we take that submittal any less seriously. So, that 4 5 can be useful. Obviously, oftentimes lots of folks from the 6 public tend to use that because, you know, they don't need to write something up on letterhead and have it signed, and 7 8 so Quick Comment is an easy way to get those comments to us. 9

Our eLibrary is our massive online depository of 10 11 documents. Anything about any of these projects that has 12 been issued by the FERC or has been filed by any 13 stakeholder since probably the '90s at some point, is 14 available just clicking on a link and it will pop up there 15 for you. So, pretty much anything you need to know or would 16 like to know about these projects is available on ferc.gov 17 free of charge. It's a great resource. So, anything you're looking for, it's on there somewhere. You might have a hard 18 time finding it, but it's there. 19

And then I highly recommend that anyone who is interested go to our eSubscription service and that is a service where it's easy to sign up. I think you just give us your email address and then tell us what dockets in particular you're interested in. You know, it maybe all eight of these that we've discussed tonight. It maybe two

1 of the eight, you know, whatever. But you subscribe docket-2 by-docket and then every single time - something gets issued by the FERC or submitted to the FERC on that project-3 4 you'll get an email link saying something has come in 5 with a description of what it is. You will likely tend to 6 leave most the things that come in right away because 7 there's so many things that oftentimes come in that aren't 8 useful to you; but you will also, at the same time, will get everything that is very useful to you, so I highly recommend 9 10 signing up for that so you will not miss anything on the 11 docket that may be important to you.

12 If you have problems with any of these services, 13 we have FERC online support at ferc.gov as well as this toll 14 free number; and these are, we have a dedicated staff to helping folks with our eLibrary, our eFiling, all of this. 15 16 They're really good at their job. Anything that you can't 17 figure out, call them, they'll walk you through it. They're fantastic. It's a good resource. As I said earlier 18 19 today, this whole thing is difficult to use at times. We 20 use it every single day. So, don't be afraid to reach out 21 to those folks and ask them. That's what they do all day 22 long.

23 So with that, if we have any final comments or 24 questions, now would be a good time to bring those up. 25 All right. So, then I guess the last thing is,

1 tomorrow we're going to be meeting at the uppermost project, 2 is that Sawmill? 3 AUDIENCE: That's right. 4 MR. HANSEN: At 9 a.m., and we're going to visit 5 six of Brookfield's projects which should be very informative. So anyone who's here I certainly invite you to 6 7 come and join us. And I guess rain gear; I hear it's going 8 to rain. 9 10 AUDIENCE: It sounds like it. 11 MR. HANSEN: Yes, sir. Randy, could you provide 12 the address again? MR. DORMAN: 972 Main Street. 13 MR. HANSEN: 972 Main Street. And that's at 9 14 a.m. And with that, I hope to see you all tomorrow and 15 thank you very much. With that I will close the meeting. 16 17 Thank you. 18 19 [Whereupon at 7:00 p.m., the public scoping 20 meeting concluded.] 21 22 23 24 25

1	CERTIFICATE OF OFFICIAL REPORTER
2	
3	This is to certify that the attached proceeding
4	before the FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION in the
5	Matter of:
6	Name of Proceeding:
7	Central Rivers Power, NH LLC
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	Docket No.: P-2287
14	Place: Shelburne, New Hampshire
15	Date: Tuesday, October 22, 2019
16	were held as herein appears, and that this is the original
17	transcript thereof for the file of the Federal Energy
18	Regulatory Commission, and is a full correct transcription
19	of the proceedings.
20	
21	
22	Dan Hawkings
23	Official Reporter
24	
25	