1	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
2	FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION
3	Office of Energy Projects
4	x
5	Columbia Gas Transmission, LLC Docket No. CP16-357-000
6	Columbia Gas Transmission, LLC Docket No. CP16-361-000
7	x
8	MOUNTAINEER XPRESS PROJECT
9	GULF XPRESS PROJECT
LO	
11	Ripley High School
L2	2 School Street
L3	Ripley, WV 25271
L4	Tuesday, March 21, 2017
L5	A public verbal comment session on the DEIS was held,
L6	pursuant to notice. starting at 5 o'clock p.m.
L7	
L8	
L9	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	Reported by: Daniel Hawkins
25	

1 PROCEEDINGS

- 2 VIVIAN STOCKMAN: My name is Vivian Stockman. V
- 3 I V I A N, S T O C K M A N. Do you need a title or anything
- 4 like that? I'm a, well, these are my personal comments. I
- 5 work for the Ohio Valley Environmental Coalition and we will
- 6 be submitting longer technical comments.
- 7 My first request is that we please have an
- 8 extension of the comment period. It was just several days
- 9 ago that several people got either the hard copy or the CD
- 10 version, and there's definitely not enough time to go
- 11 through the 500-plus pages, even with the April 24th
- 12 deadline. I would request please, an extension of the
- 13 comment period so we can really dive deep.
- 14 I would like to say that I think the DEIS on the
- 15 MXP fails to address the cumulative impacts on air, water,
- 16 land, and communities in regards to other oil and gas
- 17 activities that would be added into this proposed activity
- 18 in cumulative effects. For instance, the Markwest Plant in
- 19 Doddridge county, I believe, is ignored; the compressor
- 20 stations along, that already exist, are ignored. There's
- 21 lots more that just seems to be ignored.
- The DEIS says: in accordance with NEPA we
- 23 considered the cumulative impacts of the MXP and the GXP and
- 24 other projects or actions in the area of each, but then it
- 25 goes on to say, we recognize that the oil and gas

- 1 exploration and production activities are ubiquitous in many
- 2 of the counties crossed by the MXP. Oil and gas natural
- 3 exploration activities can include, yada yada, it goes on,
- 4 but it says: We have not examined the impacts associated
- 5 with these activities to the same extent as the other
- 6 projects -- identified in a table in there -- because the
- 7 status, scale, and timing of these facilities are unknown.
- 8 Frankly, that was a jaw dropper. That's truly a
- 9 lame excuse. If FERC is going to bother to look, it will
- 10 find these quote, unquote, "unknowns." For instance, the
- 11 DEP Office of Oil and Gas has air quality information on
- 12 boatloads of oil pads and compressor stations in the, you
- 13 know, within the required radius for the cumulative impacts
- 14 of this proposed pipeline. And the DEIS should not ignore
- 15 this data and it should be considered; and really to say
- 16 they are unknown is just ludicrous.
- 17 Throughout the DEIS there are statements that
- 18 this or this impact will be, quote, "minimal," unquote. For
- 19 instance, there's a statement, emissions generated during
- 20 operation of the pipeline portion of the MXP would be
- 21 minimal, limited to those from maintenance vehicles and
- 22 equipment and fugitive emissions. I just don't see how one
- 23 can conclude that the assorted activities declared to have
- 24 minimal impact would, in fact, have minimal impact, without
- 25 any considerations of the cumulative impacts.

- 1 So, I think that's a major path and major fail.
- 2 I also note that the Ceredo compressor station would have
- 3 three huge electrical engines. The DEIS should factor in
- 4 the air pollution and greenhouse gas load that these engines
- 5 will create at the supplying power plant.
- 6 Let's see, there's others; I'm not going to go
- 7 into much more, but one thing I would like to point out and
- 8 then I'll shut up is the DEIS fails to examine the real
- 9 possibility of overcapacity, too many pipelines built with
- 10 too little available gas to move the pipelines. That would
- 11 certainly be something in the bigger picture that the DEIS
- 12 should examine. And then the climate change impacts from
- 13 these proposed pipelines, coupled with all the proposed
- 14 pipelines in our region should be a major factor of the
- 15 DEIS, but the DEIS fails to examine what these proposed
- 16 pipeline build outs will have, what effect they'll have in
- 17 terms of increasing climate change.
- 18 Methane and other emissions resulting from the
- 19 increased drilling of the state shale field in this, which
- 20 would be brought on by having these exporting pipelines
- 21 built would contribute significantly to global climate
- 22 change and these should be considered in the DEIS.
- 23 And I'll leave it at that. There's a lot more
- 24 but we'll get to those in our written comments.
- 25 RICHARD GIVEN: My name is Richard Given, G I V E

- 1 N. And the concern I have is a reroute; and I just talked
- 2 to the Columbia guy and showed him where it was. It's
- 3 located along, or it crosses what's on the map as Givens Oak
- 4 Road. It's locally known as Wolf Creek Road. And they
- 5 basically have the pipeline going through part of my
- 6 property on one side of a ridge and I want it moved to the
- 7 other side of the ridge.
- 8 And I discussed it with a land man named Anthony
- 9 Capp and he was supposed to set up a meeting and get back
- 10 with me, and we would see if that was feasible to move the
- 11 pipeline. The concern I have was one thing, it's going
- 12 through a slip, an old slip where they have it right now.
- 13 And where I would like for the pipeline to go, it would
- 14 actually straighten it out -- it would be better for them, I
- 15 think. And better for me because it would take up less
- 16 usable pasture field or less land.
- 17 That's my concern. So, what else do you need to
- 18 know? That it? So, that will be part of the record and
- 19 then -- well, I mean, this guy told me he would get back to
- 20 me. So, I'm sure he will.
- 21 (Pause)
- 22 (Whereupon at 8 o'clock p.m., the verbal comment
- 23 session concluded.)

24

25

1	CERTIFICATE OF OFFICIAL REPORTER
2	
3	This is to certify that the attached proceeding
4	before the FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION in the
5	Matter of:
6	Name of Proceeding:
7	MOUNTAINEER XPRESS PROJECT
8	GULF XPRESS PROJECT
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	Docket No.: CP16-357-000 & CP16-361-000
16	Place: Ripley, WV
17	Date: March 21, 2017
18	were held as herein appears, and that this is the original
19	transcript thereof for the file of the Federal Energy
20	Regulatory Commission, and is a full correct transcription
21	of the proceedings.
22	
23	
24	Daniel Hawkins
25	Official Reporter

1	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
2	FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION
3	Office of Energy Projects
4	x
5	Columbia Gas Transmission, LLC Docket No. CP16-357-000
6	Columbia Gas Transmission, LLC Docket No. CP16-361-000
7	x
8	MOUNTAINEER XPRESS PROJECT
9	GULF XPRESS PROJECT
10	
11	Ripley High School
12	2 School Street
13	Ripley, WV 25271
14	Tuesday, March 21, 2017
15	A public verbal comment session on the DEIS was held,
16	pursuant to notice. starting at 5 o'clock p.m.
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	Reported by: Gaynell Catherine
25	

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	(5:00 p.m.)
3	MR. DOUGLASS: My name is William Douglass that's
4	W-i-l-l-i-a-m and D-o-u-g-l-a-s-s and I'm with Reserve Oil
5	and Gas. So I work for an independent oil and gas producer
6	based in Roane County and I want to speak to the pipeline
7	project today.
8	And that West Virginia has been blessed with
9	abundant natural resources and the Marcellus shale was a big
10	boom for the state. It led to basically the discovery of
11	oil and gas reserves that equal Russia or Saudi Arabia now
12	so it is a great asset for the state of West Virginia.
13	But what has happened as a result of that boom is
14	now there has been a slight bust in prices for oil and gas
15	in West Virginia and it has affected small producers like us
16	in that we have had bankruptcies there has been layoffs
17	of many producers and the service companies that work in the
18	industry also.
19	So it has hurt the economy of West Virginia due
20	to the price decrease and that was mainly because the boom
21	led to the oversupply of gas. Marcellus gas become there
22	was an oversupply in West Virginia, a bottleneck of being
23	able to get that gas out because of the aging infrastructure
24	of the pipelines.
25	So there are only two things that can help with

- 1 that to get the price back up. That would be to create
- 2 demand in West Virginia which is being worked on whether
- 3 there be a cracker plant or a natural gas fired power plants
- 4 and some of those are in the studies or in the discussions.
- 5 But the other way would be to create new pipeline
- 6 infrastructure to get rid of that bottleneck and get the gas
- 7 out to market where there is a demand for it and the prices
- 8 would therefore increase.
- 9 And drilling activity and employment would result
- 10 for West Virginia producers. So that's why we support the
- 11 pipeline projects of this nature because it will help the
- 12 producers get back to drilling. It will help employment
- 13 prospects in West Virginia and as a result it will help
- 14 affect the budget crisis we are facing in West Virginia
- 15 which as a result of lower drilling, lower revenues from oil
- 16 and gas that's why we are having such a budget deficit now
- 17 in West Virginia.
- 18 So projects like this are just one means to help
- 19 the overall economy and get us back on track. So we fully
- 20 support such pipeline projects including this one.
- 21 MR. PRITT: My name is Jim Pritt, I'm with
- 22 Intervest Operating LLC. P-r-i-t-t. And I'm currently
- 23 serving in the capacity of the regulatory manager for the
- 24 company. We operate about 42,000 wells all over the United
- 25 States.

- 1 We are the largest operator of shallow wells
- 2 across the country. I have been working -- I work out of
- 3 Charleston, West Virginia office and I have been actively --
- 4 the reason I am here today is to show -- is to show our
- 5 support for these two projects the Mountaineer Xpress
- 6 Project as well as the Gulf Xpress Project.
- 7 The Mountaineer Xpress Project carrying 2.7 B of
- 8 gas out of the basin is very critical to the development and
- 9 infrastructure development of the region. We currently have
- 10 a negative basis in the region until the pipelines are built
- 11 out we are going to be faced with that negative base until
- 12 the rest of the pipelines are built out.
- 13 Priority we have the 18th Rover has been
- 14 approved, Trans-Canada, Leach, Sunoco's Mariner East Line
- 15 which is very important to the liquids market. Waiting of
- 16 FERC approved orders the ACP line, Mountain Valley Pipeline,
- 17 Atlantic Sunrise in the pecking order of which we would like
- 18 to have.
- 19 Our wish list is the Mountaineer Xpress Pipeline
- 20 is 7th on our list followed by last but not least is the
- 21 Nexus Pipeline. All of these pipelines together will carry
- 22 a lot of gas out of the basin and improve our pricing.
- 23 Of course we would like to allow this gas to stay
- 24 in the basin but that is another matter for another day for
- 25 when we get the downstream infrastructure built out. So

```
1
    with that I just re-emphasize the need for the pipelines to
 2
    be built out at the Appalachian Basin to create jobs for all
    of our folks, to improve our quality of life and to make
 3
     this region basically the NGL natural gas capital of the
    world.
 5
 б
                Once that is done I might live to see it. I'm 62
    years old, I might not live to see it all develop but it is
 7
    nice to know that it has started. So 20 to 25 years from
 8
    now the quality of life for all the folks in the Appalachian
 9
    Region will be much better and that's all I have.
10
11
                (Whereupon the meeting was adjourned at 8:00
12
    p.m.)
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
```

1	CERTIFICATE OF OFFICIAL REPORTER
2	
3	This is to certify that the attached proceeding
4	before the FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION in the
5	Matter of:
6	Name of Proceeding:
7	MOUNTAINEER XPRESS PROJECT
8	GULF XPRESS PROJECT
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	Docket No.: CP16-357-000 & CP16-361-000
16	Place: Ripley, WV
17	Date: March 21, 2017
18	were held as herein appears, and that this is the original
19	transcript thereof for the file of the Federal Energy
20	Regulatory Commission, and is a full correct transcription
21	of the proceedings.
22	
23	
24	Gaynell Catherine
25	Official Reporter