1	BEFORE THE
2	FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION
3	x
4	IN THE MATTER OF: : Project No.
5	UPPER BEAVER FALLS : P-2593-031
6	HYDROELECTRIC PROJECT : P-2823-020
7	LOWER BEAVER FALLS :
8	HYDROELECTRIC PROJECT :
9	x
10	
11	Croghan Volunteer Fire Department
12	6860 Fire Hall Street
13	Croghan, New York 13327
14	
15	
16	Tuesday, December 13, 2016
17	The above-entitled matter came on for Scoping
18	Meeting, pursuant to notice, at 9:00 a.m.
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Ę	Р	D)	Ę	⊋	\cap	α	다	됴	ח	. 1	ſ	TAT	α	C	7

- 2 MR. BERNICK: It's 9:05 and we are starting the
- 3 scoping meeting for the upper and lower Beaver Falls
- 4 Projects. Thanks for coming today and participating, we
- 5 appreciate it. So the Agenda just some introductions.
- 6 Since it is a smaller group maybe we can go around and
- 7 introduce ourselves, talk a little bit about the purpose of
- 8 the scoping process for FERC projects, a little bit about
- 9 the licensing processes this one is under.
- 10 It is a traditional licensing process that's been
- 11 going on since the licensing started with the underlying
- 12 pattern in 2012 with the prior rendering I think that was
- 13 Algonquin. Description of the project was posted at Eagle
- 14 Creek -- and I thought it would be useful to talk about some
- 15 of the existing and proposed environmental measures for both
- 16 the projects and then go through some of the resource issues
- 17 that we have identified thus far and get your comments on
- 18 that -- what you think we should be covering.
- Talk a little bit about the schedule from here on
- 20 out and learn how to submit comments and stay informed about
- 21 the project. So there's a sign-in over there if you don't
- 22 mind signing in for the record at some point after we are
- 23 done, sign. Respectful -- it seems like a very respectful
- 24 group so I don't think I have to go through that.
- 25 And then for the court reporter I thought we

- 1 could go around, state our name for the record and if you
- 2 don't mind spelling your last name if you have an unusual
- 3 one and you can state your name before making any comments,
- 4 at least for the beginning.
- 5 So I'm Andy Bernick, the Project Coordinator. I
- 6 work at FERC, the Office of Energy Projects in the Division
- 7 of Hydropower Licensing and I will be covering the geology
- 8 and soils, terrestrial, sections of the environmental
- 9 document -- of the environmental assessment. And it is
- 10 Chris Millard who will be doing our resources portion of the
- 11 analysis.
- 12 We have a couple of other people involved who
- 13 weren't able to make it today. Allyson Conner will be doing
- 14 land use and aesthetics. Tim Looney is the Project Engineer
- 15 and also when we do the development analysis portion of the
- 16 EA and from our Office of General Counsel Steven Barnett
- 17 would be the attorney. And I can give you contact
- 18 information for any of these folks if you need that.
- 19 So a little bit about FERC -- it's an independent
- 20 regulatory body, one of the many responsibilities that we
- 21 have including energy market regulation and enforcement.
- 22 It's licensing the non-federal hydropower projects and those
- 23 are represented by the red dots, there is quite a few in the
- 24 northeast.
- 25 So for the purpose of scoping NEPA and some of

- 1 our regulations -- the Federal Power Act for instance and
- 2 some other applicable laws require the evaluation of
- 3 environmental effects of the licensing of hydropower
- 4 projects. We issued Scoping Document 1 -- there's some
- 5 copies back there if you need it, on November 9th, 2016 and
- 6 that lays out the extent of our analysis as we have arrived
- 7 at to this date.
- 8 So some of the objectives identified concerns of
- 9 the Agency, citizens, other stakeholders, facilitate the EA
- 10 process, define the issues and alternatives that will
- 11 examine -- at the moment we have got the no-action
- 12 alternative and the Applicant's proposal and staff's
- 13 proposal as the alternatives that we are looking at.
- 14 And then to ensure the scoping document
- 15 adequately addresses all of the relevant issues to all the
- 16 parties. So we would like to get your input on resources
- 17 that might be cumulatively affected -- and this is one area
- 18 where we haven't identified the specific resource that might
- 19 be cumulative but obviously with the number of hydropower
- 20 projects on the Beaver River and the Black River that is
- 21 certainly something we can talk about today.
- 22 Reasonable alternatives to the projects and the
- 23 Applicant's proposed actions -- resources that may not
- 24 require detailed analysis and then any applicable studies
- 25 for information, any data that describe past and present

- 1 conditions, anything you would like to file to illuminate
- 2 that for us.
- 3 Okay so you can make comments orally today or
- 4 submit either electronically or by mail any comments that
- 5 you have by January 12th. And Scoping Document 1 has
- 6 Section 5 on how to file it electronically. I'll go over a
- 7 little bit of that at the end as well. And Section 9 has a
- $\, 8 \,$ $\,$ way to add your name to the official mailing list for the
- 9 projects which is becoming a little bit -- with something,
- 10 the registration, the e-subscription, you can get all of the
- 11 filings electronically and that may be a little bit easier
- 12 for you.
- 13 Okay so for the process today Algonquin Power,
- 14 the previous owner filed the NOI and PAD for both projects
- 15 in November 2012. We approved the use of the TOP and also
- 16 there was some change to the license terms to try to align
- 17 the projects with the proceeding. There were joint
- 18 meetings, some study requests and the applicant conducted
- 19 studies and developed the Draft Application which we
- 20 reviewed and they filed their Final License Application
- 21 about a year ago on December 30th of last year.
- 22 So we have reviewed the Final License Application
- 23 and identified some deficiencies in the application and some
- 24 additional info requests and that was in April of this year.
- 25 Scoping Document 1 was November 9th. Here we are at the

- 1 public meetings and we will issue Scoping Document 2 with
- 2 any comments you might have any revisions to our analysis
- 3 moving forward.
- We haven't accepted the project yet, their
- 5 license application yet because there is still one
- 6 deficiency item supporting design report information for the
- 7 lower project -- the Lower Beaver Falls Project, there are
- 8 some items there. So once we get that and approve that,
- 9 issue an acceptance letter once we deem it ready for
- 10 environmental analysis we will send that out and that's the
- 11 trigger point for us submitting final terms and conditions
- 12 and issuing prescriptions and so forth and also that's when
- 13 the RA notices go out.
- 14 That's when they submit the water quality
- 15 certification application to DEC. After that -- actually we
- 16 are planning on doing a single EA at this point but also
- 17 know that we can discuss, based on the relative complexities
- 18 of the issues involved and then there will be a licensing
- 19 decision. There will be a schedule at the end that will
- 20 describe what we are thinking as far as timetable.
- 21 So I think someone from the applicant is going to
- 22 go through a little bit about this project. Have many of
- 23 you been there before? Have all of you except for Chris?
- 24 I'm happy to flip the slides if you want to just speak from
- 25 there, okay.

- 1 MR. GATES: Alright I guess we will start here.
- 2 Bob Gates with Eagle Creek Renewable Energy, G-a-t-e-s as a
- 3 matter of introduction -- here we have a plant that we
- 4 purchased in 2013, June of 2013 from Algonquin Power, that
- 5 was based out of Toronto, Canada and Ontario Provence.
- 6 And we have operating the plant you know for the
- 7 last few years and took over the licensing as was stated
- 8 earlier when Algonquin Power had filed the PAD using Gomez
- 9 and Sullivan I believe. And so we agreed with the concept
- 10 of bringing the two licenses together into one due to their
- 11 close proximity and they are essentially one plant that has
- 12 an intermediate impoundment -- and so which is the lower
- 13 development's upper impoundment.
- 14 So we have two FERC Projects, FERC No. 2593 for
- 15 the upper development and FERC No. 2823 for the lower
- 16 development. So this is a picture of our lower development
- 17 -- Jeff do you want to explain about the machinery and the
- 18 layout of the space in itself?
- 19 MR. KIRCH: Jeff Kirch, K-i-r-c-h -- I am the
- 20 Regional Operator for Eagle Creek of the northern New York
- 21 region. This is a photo of the lower plant, it is about 600
- 22 feet downstream from the upper plant at the lower, very
- 23 small reservoir between the two and this is the intake of
- 24 the lower plant. We have two identical GE generators with
- 25 the local Francis Wheel turbines side by side, 500 feet.

- 1 And this is the intake structure here for the lower plant.
- 2 MR. GATES: I should note that it is a run of
- 3 river facility. The dam extends across to the town of
- 4 Croghan to the town up north, New Brandon and you know there
- 5 aren't any control structures except there is a drain gate
- 6 on the river right side of the impoundment that we will talk
- 7 about a little later. And at current there are no fish
- 8 facilities.
- 9 MR. GANGIER: How many feet ahead does that one
- 10 have -- oh I'm sorry, Glen Gangier, G-a-n-g-i-e-r, Lewis
- 11 County Development Corporation -- how many feet ahead at the
- 12 lower dam?
- 13 MR. KIRCH: It's about 24 feet on that. This is
- 14 looking from the upper intake down, this is the upper
- 15 powerhouse inside there we have an S Morgan Smith Kaplan
- 16 Turbine and it's 1.5 megawatt.
- 17 MR. GATES: This is also a run of river facility.
- 18 And again there are no spilling gates of any type, any flood
- 19 control type gates on this dam. And as you can see
- 20 historical perspective there is an abutment in the tail race
- 21 area you know which was associated with the prior mill that
- 22 sent some sort of process -- hyper process across the mill
- 23 where it was accepted by the mill on the river right side.
- Not much for recreation features here -- on the
- 25 river right side there is a beach that has been allowed to

- 1 be operated there for the past 30 years to anecdotally 30
- 2 years -- and is used you know by both residents of both
- 3 towns that are adjacent to the river and there is limited
- 4 kayaking that occurs in the area and fishing upstream, some
- 5 bank fishing upstream.
- 6 Now this is a plan view of the project boundary
- 7 before both projects and it extends about a half a mile
- 8 upstream from the upstream dam. It's not heavily developed
- 9 though close to the dam there are some residents that line
- 10 the shore and not much downstream actually as well.
- 11 There are paper mills on the river right side,
- 12 downstream of the lower Beaver Falls dam.
- 13 MR. BERNICK: Andy Bernick, just a question -- so
- 14 do they draw processed water from those facilities?
- 15 MR. GATES: Yes, yes they do. I have an estimate
- 16 of what they take.
- 17 MR. KIRCH: I do.
- 18 MR. BERNICK: You may have mentioned it in the
- 19 scoping document.
- MR. GATES: Yes.
- 21 MR. BERNICK: So those are still operating?
- MR. GATES: Yes.
- 23 MR. KIRCH: And this here just shows what I
- 24 previously addressed. The upper development has got one
- 25 unit that's Morgan Smith 1500 KW and the lower plant has got

- 1 the two identical Francis Wheels 500 KW units, side by side.
- 2 MR. BERNICK: And then Jeff what's that operating
- 3 at for the upper?
- 4 MR. KIRCH: 26
- 5 MR. GAGNIER: Just a list of dates. I don't know
- 6 that we have to read them into the record.
- 7 MR. BERNICK: No I don't think so, they are
- 8 listed in the Scoping Document.
- 9 MR. GAGNIER: Alright they were stated so I think
- 10 that's it.
- 11 MR. BERNICK: Does anyone have any questions
- 12 about the project?
- 13 MR. GAGNIER: What kind of turbine do you have at
- 14 the upper facility?
- MR. KIRCH: S Morgan Smith.
- MR. BERNICK: I just wanted to briefly go through
- 17 the existing proposed measures that the project more so to
- 18 check the accuracy -- and I understand that there are things
- 19 said in discussions going on for that in past years so you
- 20 don't have to get into details of the extent.
- 21 It says proposed existing environmental measures
- 22 for geology and soils, terrestrial, TD, artillery sources,
- 23 aesthetics and socio-economics, there are no existing or
- 24 proposed measures that the existing licenses do have some
- 25 standard articles or erosion, sediment control, for any

- 1 dredging, not typically that would be involved with the
- 2 original dredging associated with the projects when they
- 3 were licensed and maybe haven't been used since. And then
- 4 for cultural resources a 10 year discover -- there's a
- 5 standard article on protection of resources. But we will
- 6 review this through the licensing this evening the need for
- 7 any additional measures.
- 8 And for aquatic resources the Final License
- 9 Application lays out the -- well the existing license for
- 10 water quality resources to the upper project has a
- 11 requirement to operate the project in expansion and then
- 12 there aren't any proposed measures at the Final License
- 13 Application.
- 14 For fisheries resources there aren't any existing
- 15 proposed measures although the settlement may include some
- 16 along those adjustments to the -- sizes, downstream passage
- 17 paths -- so is that pretty much the same?
- MR. GATES: Yes.
- 19 AND BERNICK: And the lower project has more
- 20 specific measures regarding the water quality, operating and
- 21 the 88 CFS range and no proposed measures and the same goes
- 22 for the lower project as far as fish passage possibly
- 23 through the sediment.
- In terms of recreation for the upper project as
- 25 Mr. Gates mentioned, the existing license required for

- 1 public access to the waters and project lands for outdoor
- 2 rec and anything so is the property leased by this
- 3 organization that operates the beach or is it more of an
- 4 informal type of agreement?
- 5 MR. GATES: It's a year-to-year arrangement that
- 6 has been occurring. We are looking to either make the beach
- 7 a longer term commitment hopefully within the municipality
- 8 if possible. And if not you know I think we need to look at
- 9 what use could be there in lieu of it such as either a boat
- 10 ramp or something of that nature.
- 11 MR. BERNICK: Okay and the lower project I don't
- 12 believe there's any -- I did see the -- so as far as the
- 13 resource issues we have listed in the scoping document these
- 14 are the areas that we were evaluating in our environmental
- 15 analysis. Some of these areas though we haven't identified
- 16 any particular issues and we will be looking forward to any
- 17 comments you might have on those so we can start with
- 18 geology and soils. We haven't identified any resource
- 19 issues because there is no proposed construction. Does
- 20 anyone have any comments or concerns on that? We will
- 21 consider it through the scoping process if there are any
- 22 comments received either today or in writing.
- 23 Aquatic resources -- there has been identified
- 24 effect of continuing project operation maintenance on water
- 25 quality and quantity, effects of continued project operation

- 1 and maintenance on fisheries resources and effects of
- 2 entrainment and impingement on resident migratory fish
- 3 populations.
- Does anyone have -- they are pretty generic does
- 5 anyone have any specific issues of the projects that they
- 6 would like to see addressed or any particular cumulative --
- 7 the potential for this to be an cumulatively affected
- 8 resource?
- 9 MR. PLANTE: The only thing it is going to need
- 10 is we are looking at either an area or reduced size area.
- 11 COURT REPORTER: Can you spell your name?
- 12 MR. PLANTE: I'm sorry, Tom Plante, P-l-a-n-t-e.
- 13 I'm a Consultant with Eagle Creek Renewable Energy on the
- 14 licensing.
- 15 MR. BERNICK: For terrestrial resources effects
- of project operation, maintenance on mechanical resources,
- 17 wetlands, wildlife, they listed the species identified by
- 18 New York State DEC. Any additions there -- no.
- 19 For fairly threatened and endangered species I
- 20 don't believe any have been identified for the area that
- 21 would be affected by the project on operation and
- 22 maintenance. I believe the northern long-eared bat may be
- 23 listed for the vicinity but we will evaluate this -- are
- 24 there any other teeny species that are of concern?
- 25 MR. PLANTE: Will someone from your office --

- 1 MR. BERNICK: John are you working on the teeny
- 2 portion of it or will you be --
- 3 MR. WILEY: I will be.
- 4 MR. BERNICK: For recreation and land use effects
- 5 of continued project operation on recreational use in the
- 6 project area including the capacity and adequacy of existing
- 7 rec access -- any additions there?
- 8 For cultural resources we are looking at the
- 9 effects of continued operation, maintenance of the projects
- 10 on the historic archeological and additional resources that
- 11 may be eligible for inclusion in the National Register.
- MR. PLANTE: Tom Plante, we just got the final
- 13 report from the archeologist and it's under review
- 14 internally by Eagle Creek. Once that is completed we will
- 15 be filing that with the New York State Historic Preservation
- 16 Office.
- 17 MR. BERNICK: Okay so that was a consultant
- 18 report on historic resources.
- MR. PLANTE: Right.
- 20 MR. BERNICK: Any other additions or concerns on
- 21 that? We haven't identified any aesthetic resources that
- 22 are an issue at this time and the same for socio-economic
- 23 resources -- we haven't identified any particular issues
- 24 that anyone has -- comments on either of those?
- 25 And then developmental resources -- effects of

- 1 those projects protection, mitigation and enhancement
- 2 measures on project economics that is usually the end part
- 3 of our environmental assessment document. We look at the
- 4 relative cost of the protectionism.
- 5 So were there any other topics that you wanted to
- 6 cover? Any comments on this project -- on these projects,
- 7 it seems like a pretty straight-forward --
- 8 Okay so for the next steps having scoping
- 9 meetings and comments on Scoping Document 1 and 2 January,
- 10 2017 we will issue Scoping Document 2 if we need to in
- 11 March. Also hopefully by March we will be issuing the
- 12 acceptance and perhaps the Environmental Analysis Notice so
- 13 that kicks in the deadline of filing comments,
- 14 recommendations, terms and conditions. So it is March -- we
- 15 are targeting March for the REA Notice and Acceptance Notice
- 16 and May for the comments deadline.
- 17 Issuing the EA I think we are considering a
- 18 single EA at this point in November, 2017 and comments would
- 19 be due thirty days after that. As far as submitting
- 20 comments I think pretty many of you are familiar with the
- 21 process. There are the oral comments today and written
- 22 there are a few different methods -- there's an e-comment
- 23 feature where you just type in your name and address and
- 24 text comments. You don't have to do any further
- 25 registration on the FERC website.

- 1 There's the e-register. You file for longer
- 2 documents. You can send it by mail and if you do you have
- 3 to address it to Lily Rose the Secretary of the Commission
- 4 at this address in the Scoping Document. If you send it
- 5 email directly to me or mail me a letter addressed to me it
- 6 doesn't get into the record, they don't like that.
- 7 Just put in the project name and project numbers.
- 8 We have some brochures about the online resources with FERC.
- 9 E-library is essentially the record of the proceedings,
- 10 public and non-public. E-subscription that's a way you can
- 11 sign up to get alerts for whenever there is any filings so
- 12 that can be pretty useful.
- 13 And there is the official mailing list which now
- 14 -- the ones we have in there now are pretty outdated I think
- 15 so if you see any information that needs to be updated you
- 16 can send a letter -- page 24 tells you how to update that
- 17 for the official mailing list. And that all gets hard
- 18 copies of issuances only so you are not getting all of the
- 19 filings -- and the website just at the opening page you go
- 20 to documents and filings and that's where all that
- 21 information is kept.
- 22 So that's all that we have for the meeting today.
- 23 If anyone has anything further we can discuss it now or we
- 24 can adjourn. And the site visit today is at 1 p.m.
- 25 MR. GATES: I wanted to ask you -- Bob Gates,

- 1 Eagle Creek -- we are working on a Settlement Agreement and
- 2 how does that play into your schedule if you were to get
- 3 that relatively soon? I mean what does that do to you,
- 4 anything? Do you endorse -- just something for you to
- 5 consider?
- 6 MR. BERNICK: Something you consider but also
- 7 provide some level of agreement on what the environmental
- 8 measures might be.
- 9 MS. HART: Can you put the timeline back up?
- 10 MR. BERNICK: Sure.
- 11 MS. HART: Thank you.
- 12 MR. BERNICK: I think everyone -- Tom discussed
- 13 maybe sometime the end of December or early January.
- 14 MR. PLANTE: Still shooting for that. We have a
- 15 meeting sometime today if we have a chance we will sit down
- 16 and do a little more crunch work and then tomorrow morning
- 17 and maybe hopefully, that might wrap it up. You are
- 18 confident right Jessica?
- MS. HART: Absolutely.
- 20 MR. BERNICK: Well so that will certainly be
- 21 something we could dive into Scoping Document 2 because it
- 22 is a more formal proposal with the environmental measures
- 23 that are proposed. There is still the outstanding
- 24 information from the application, one of the deficiency
- 25 items on the supporting design report information for the

- 1 lower project.
- 2 We have reviewed the rest of the deficiency and
- 3 additional information in response and I think we mostly
- 4 found it to be accurate -- still waiting to hear from the
- 5 rec and cultural person with their comments on some of that
- 6 information.
- 7 But it could -- if the Settlement Agreement came
- 8 -- that information came it could push everything up by a
- 9 month or so, it's possible.
- 10 MR. PLANTE: I don't think there's -- I'm sorry
- 11 Tom Plante -- but I don't think there's a lot of new ground
- 12 being broken in the Settlement Agreement. It's
- 13 clarification basically, on the finer points.
- 14 MR. BERNICK: Yes so and what I'm getting at
- 15 today is that there is not a great deal of concern about
- 16 these two projects in terms of -- so usually these cases
- 17 they can bond typically, there's just a matter of more fluid
- 18 with other projects on our branch.
- 19 Does that answer your --
- MR. PLANTE: Yes.
- 21 MR. BERNICK: Okay. So I guess if there is
- 22 nothing else it's 9:38 and we will conclude the morning
- 23 meeting, thank you.
- 24 (Whereupon at 9:38 a.m., the meeting was
- 25 adjourned.)

1	CERTIFICATE OF OFFICIAL REPORTER
2	
3	This is to certify that the attached proceeding
4	before the FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION in the
5	Matter of:
6	Name of Proceeding:
7	UPPER BEAVER FALLS HYDROELECTRIC PROJECT
8	LOWER BEAVER FALLS HYDROELECTRIC PROJECT
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	Docket No.: P-2593-031
15	P-2823-020
16	Place: Croghan, New York
17	Date: December 13, 2016
18	were held as herein appears, and that this is the original
19	transcript thereof for the file of the Federal Energy
20	Regulatory Commission, and is a full correct transcription
21	of the proceedings.
22	
23	
24	Larry Flowers
25	Official Reporter