| 1 | BEFORE THE |
|----|---|
| 2 | FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION |
| 3 | x |
| 4 | IN THE MATTER OF: : Project No. |
| 5 | PENNEAST PIPELINE PROJECT : PF15-1-000 |
| б | x |
| 7 | |
| 8 | The Grand Colonial |
| 9 | 86 Route 173 West |
| 10 | Hampton, NJ 08827 |
| 11 | |
| 12 | Thursday, February 26, 2015 |
| 13 | The above-entitled matter came on for Scoping |
| 14 | Meeting, pursuant to notice, at 6:00 p.m., Medha Kochhar, |
| 15 | the moderator. |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| | |

| 1 | PROCEEDINGS |
|----|--|
| 2 | (6:00 p.m.) |
| 3 | MS. KOCHHAR: Good evening. On behalf of the |
| 4 | Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, also known as FERC, or |
| 5 | Commission, I would like to welcome all of you here tonight. |
| 6 | This is a scoping meeting for the PennEast Pipeline Project |
| 7 | planned by PennEast Pipeline Company, LLC. PennEast. |
| 8 | Let the record show that the public scoping |
| 9 | meeting in Hampton, New Jersey began at 6:00 p.m. on |
| 10 | February 26, 2015. |
| 11 | The primary purpose of this meeting is to provide |
| 12 | you an opportunity to comment on the project or on the scope |
| 13 | of the environmental analysis for the project. |
| 14 | We want to hear what you believe we should |
| 15 | include in the environmental analysis of the project. |
| 16 | Please note that this is not a hearing. There |
| 17 | will be no sworn testimony taken, although we do have a |
| 18 | court reporter who will transcribe everyone's comments and |
| 19 | questions so they can be made part of the proceeding and can |
| 20 | be appropriately addressed. |
| 21 | My name is Medha Kochhar. And I am the |
| 22 | environmental project manager for the project. I am in the |
| 23 | Commission's Office of Energy Projects. |
| 24 | With me at the table tonight is Alex, where |
| 25 | did you go? He was sitting right here a minute ago. Alex |

Dankanich is from DOT. I'll introduce him when he comes.
 He will be sitting next to John.

The next person sitting at the table is John Scott. He is with Tetra Tech. Also with me tonight is Alisa Lykens from FERC. She is outside at the sign-in table. In addition to that we have a couple more people from Tetra Tech outside at the sign-in table.

8 Tetra Tech staff is assisting us in the 9 environment review of the project.

10 The Federal Energy Regulatory Commission is an 11 independent agency that regulates interstate transmission of 12 electricity, natural gas, and oil. It has up to five 13 Commissioners who are appointed by the President of the 14 United States with the advice and consent of the Senate. 15 Commissioners serve five year terms and have an equal vote 16 on regulatory matters.

FERC has about 1,200 staff employees. FERC reviews proposals for the construction and operation of interstate natural gas pipelines, storage facilities, liquefied natural gas terminals, as well as the licensing

21 and inspection of hydroelectric projects.

As a federal licensing agency the FERC has the responsibility under the National Environmental Policy Act or NEPA to consider the potential environmental impacts associated with the project which is under its 1 consideration.

The environmental review must comply with various federal environmental laws and regulations including, but not limited to, the Clean Air Act, the Clean Water Act, Endangered Species Act, Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act, Migratory Bird Treaty Act, Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act, and National Historic Preservation Act.

9 The FERC is the lead federal agency for the NEPA 10 review and the preparation of the environmental impact 11 statement or EIS for this project.

12 In addition, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers has 13 expressed interest to participate as a cooperating agency in 14 the preparation of the EIS and will use this EIS to meet its 15 NEPA responsibilities.

As I said earlier, the primary purpose of this meeting tonight is to give you an opportunity to comment on the project or on the environmental issues that you would like to see covered in the EIS. It will help us most if your comments are as specific as possible, regarding the potential environmental impacts and the reasonable alternatives for the planned project.

Issues generally focus on the potential for environmental impacts, but may also address construction and restoration issues, mitigation, and the environmental review

1 process.

At the speaker sign-in table we also have comment forms you may use to provide written comments tonight. Or you may have already prepared written comments that you would like to present to us. We can take them and have them filed for you in the docket for this project when we get back to the office.

8 So tonight's agenda is a simple one. First I'm 9 going to describe the environmental review process and the 10 Commission's role. Then Alex, he is back here.

Alex Dankanich will speak about DOT's process. Then the project sponsor will provide a brief description of the project. After that we will hear from those of you who have signed up to speak. We will go in the order you signed up. So if you would like to give comments tonight, please be sure to sign the speakers' list.

Now, I will describe our environmental review
process. To illustrate how this process works, we have
prepared a flow chart which is outside and also there is a
copy with a prefiling review document available at the
speaker's sign-in table.

At this time we are at the beginning of our environmental review process and are in the public input opportunities part performance the session. In November 25 2014, PennEast held four open houses which gave PennEast a

chance to meet with the affected landowners and other
 interested parties to explain more about the project. It
 also provided us an opportunity for FERC staff to introduce
 our agency and explain our role in the process.

5 The FERC approved PennEast's request to begin the 6 pre-filing process for the PennEast Pipeline Project on 7 October 10, 2014. This began our review of the project. 8 The purpose of the pre-filing process is to encourage the 9 early enrollment of interested stakeholders and early 10 identification and resolution of environmental issues.

11 As of today, no formal application has been filed 12 with the FERC. However, FERC, along with other federal, 13 state, and local agencies and their staff have begun review 14 of the project.

15 On January 13, 2015, FERC issued a notice of 16 intent, called NOI, to prepare an EIS for this project and 17 initiated a scoping period. The scoping process is a 18 learning process. It is where we educate ourselves about 19 the project and potential environmental issues and many 20 issues about the project have been provided in comments that 21 have already been filed with the Commission since the 22 pre-filing process began in October.

The scoping or comment period announced in the notice of intent was February 12, 2015. On January 22nd, 2015, we announced that the scoping period will close on

б

1 February 27th, 2015.

25

2 Once scoping is finished, our next step will be to analyze the company's proposal and the issues that have 3 been identified during the scoping period. This will 4 5 include an examination of the planned facility locations as б well as alternative sites or routes. We will assemble information from a variety of 7 8 sources including PennEast, the public, other state, local, and federal agencies and our own independent analysis and 9 10 field check. 11 We will assess the project's effects on water resources, wetlands, vegetation, wildlife, endangered 12 13 species, cultural resources, geology, land use, air quality, 14 noise and safety, air quality also. 15 When complete our analysis of the potential 16 impacts will be issued as a draft EIS. It will be mailed to 17 all interested parties, and it will have a 45-day comment 18 period during which time we typically schedule public 19 comment meetings on the draft EIS. Comments on the draft 20 EIS received at the public comment meetings and filed either 21 electronically or by mail will be addressed in the final EIS 22 for the project. 23 The mailed version of the EIS is usually on a CD. 24 That means unless you tell us otherwise, you will get the

EIS on a CD. If you prefer to have a paper hard copy mailed

1 to you, you must indicate that choice on the return mailer 2 attached to the NOI.

Also it is likely that the appendices for the hard copy will be provided on a CD simply because of its sheer volume.

6 As I mentioned earlier, the issuance of the NOI 7 opened the formal comment period that will close on February 8 27th, 2015. However, we will continue to take and address 9 your comments throughout our review of the project beyond 10 this date.

11 We ask that you file your comments as soon as 12 possible and by February 27th in order to give us time to 13 analyze and research your issues.

14 If you received the NOI in the mail, you are on 15 our mailing list and will remain on our mailing list to 16 receive the EIS and any other supplemental notices we may 17 issue about the project unless you return the mailer 18 attached to the back of the NOI and indicate you wish to be 19 removed from the mailing list.

If you did not receive the NOI and you should have, I apologize. There are extra copies of the NOI available at the sign-in table. You can be added to our mailing list by signing up at the speakers' sign-in table or by filing comments on the project and including your mailing address in your comments. If you have filed comments that included your
 address within the comment, and it is clearly readable, you
 have been added to the mailing list.

I would like to add that FERC encourages electronic filing of all comments and other documents. The pre-filing handout that's available at the sign-in table and the NOI explain FERC's e-filing process and how it works. It provides you instructions also.

9 Instructions for using the e-filing system are 10 also available on our website, www.ferc.gov under the 11 e-filing link. It is very important that any comments you 12 send either electronically or by traditional mail include 13 our internal docket number for the project. That will 14 ensure that members of the staff evaluating the project will 15 get your comments as soon as possible.

16 The docket number for the PennEast Pipeline
17 Project is PF15-1-000. Again, P as in Peter, F as in Frank
18 15-1-000.

In addition, we offer a free service called e-subscription which automatically notifies you by e-mail of all issuances and filings and provides you with a link to access the documents. You can register for the this service at our website under the e-subscription link, the NOI, and the forms at the sign-in table also provide these instructions.

1 Now, I want to explain the roles of the 2 Commission and the FERC environmental staff. The five-member Commission is responsible for making a 3 determination on whether to issue a certificate of public 4 5 convenience and necessity to an applicant. In this case the б applicant will be PennEast. The EIS prepared by the FERC 7 environmental staff, of which I am a part, will describe the 8 project facilities and associated environmental impacts, 9 alternatives to the project or parts of the project, 10 mitigation to avoid or reduce impacts, and the environmental 11 staff's conclusions and recommendations. The EIS is not a decisionmaking document. It is 12 13 being prepared to disclose to the public and to the 14 Commission the environmental impact of constructing and 15 operating the proposed project. 16 The Commission will consider the environmental 17 information from the EIS along with the known environmental 18 issues such as engineering, marketing, rates in making its 19 decision to approve or deny PennEast's request for a 20 certificate. 21 There is no review of the Commission's decision 22 by the president or Congress maintaining FERC's independence as a regulatory agency. 23 24 Now, that I've gone through the FERC process, I

25 would like to hand it over to Alex Dankanich of DOT to give

1 an explanation of DOT's role. Alex.

2 MR. DANKANICH: Thank you.

3 Good evening. My name is Alex Dankanich. I'm an
4 engineer -- you can't hear me?

5 MS. KOCHHAR: We can give you this microphone 6 here.

7 MR. DANKANICH: Good evening. My name is Alex8 Dankanich. Can everybody hear me?

9 Everybody is good. Excellent.

I am an engineer with the U.S. DOT Eastern Region
 Office of Pipeline Safety. The Eastern Region of Pipeline
 Safety is a branch of the U.S. DOT's Pipeline and Hazardous
 Material Safety Administration, commonly referred to as
 PHMSA.

15 I'd like to thank the FERC for the opportunity to 16 provide an overview of PHMSA's Office of Pipeline Safety 17 program. PHMSA does not have the authority to approve 18 projects, issue permits or prescribe location or routing of 19 pipeline facilities. PHMSA's jurisdiction begins once a 20 project is approved and PHMSA works to ensure that pipeline 21 facilities are designed, constructed, operated, and 22 maintained in compliance with federal safety regulations. 23 If the PennEast Pipeline Company receives 24 permission from the FERC for this natural gas pipeline

25 project, PHMSA's Office of Pipeline Safety will provide the

1 regulations for the construction and safe transportation of 2 natural gas through the pipeline facilities and will 3 maintain regulatory oversight over the safety of the 4 pipeline facilities throughout its operation.

5 PHMSA's Office of Pipeline Safety will perform 6 safety inspections on the natural gas facilities as well on 7 PennEast's plans, procedures, and records to ensure that the 8 design and construction are in compliance with Title 49, 9 Code of Federal Regulations, or CFR -- 49 CFR, Part 192, 10 which are the minimum federal safety standards for the 11 transportation of natural gas by a pipeline.

12 This oversight includes inspections to ensure 13 that suitable materials are used in construction, welding is 14 performed in accordance with federal standards by qualified 15 welders, the pipeline is installed to the required depth, 16 the pipeline is protected from corrosion, and the pipeline 17 contains pressure limiting devices and is properly tested 18 before its initial use.

Beyond the construction process, PHMSA conducts periodic inspections of operation and maintenance requirements outlined in 49 CFR, Part 192. The operator must establish comprehensive written procedures describing the types and frequencies of monitoring to ensure the continued safe operation of the pipeline. This monitoring that an operator must perform includes monitoring the

operation of external corrosion prevention programs,
 inspecting and testing of pressure control devices,
 inspection of the operating transmission line valves, and
 periodic patrolling of the pipeline.

5 In addition to this monitoring, PHMSA's pipeline б safety regulations require natural gas transmission pipeline operators to implement integrity management plans and 7 8 programs where the pipeline goes through highly populated 9 areas. These integrity management programs require periodic 10 integrity assessments of natural gas transmission pipelines. 11 These assessments provide a comprehensive understanding of 12 the pipeline's condition.

13 Inline inspection tools frequently referred to as 14 smart pigs provide detailed information about the pipe 15 condition. During an inline inspection, sensors and 16 computers are sent through the pipeline. These devices 17 indicate pipe deformations and changes in the wall 18 thickness. By analyzing this data collected during and 19 after inline inspection, operators can locate and repair any 20 areas of the pipeline that may have become deteriorated. 21 A well-constructed and maintained pipeline also 22 must be properly operated. Operators must ensure that personnel performing operations, maintenance, or emergency 23 24 response activities are qualified to perform these 25 functions. Operators must implement training and testing

programs for employees and contractors whose performance is
 crucial to maintaining the safety of the pipeline and the
 pipeline facilities.

Pipeline operators must also implement a public awareness program to improve the awareness of pipeline within communities. Operators communicate pipeline safety information to local public officials, to the public along the right-of-way, and to emergency responders, and excavators.

Public awareness programs also emphasize the importance of calling 811 prior to excavating. A call to 811 provides notification to all participating, underground utility owners, including pipeline operators, to mark the location of their facilities and to monitor the excavation to help ensure that their facilities are not damaged.

16 Pipeline operators are also required to have 17 written emergency plans in place prior to the operation of 18 their facilities. These programs require operators to 19 establish and maintain liaison with fire, police, and other 20 public officials, to acquaint the officials with the 21 operator with their respective responsibilities and 22 resources in planning for and responding to perceived 23 emergencies. These emergency plans must, at a minimum, 24 provide for establishing and maintaining communication, 25 prompt and effective response, and the availability of

personnel, equipment, tools, and materials as needed at the scene of an emergency.

3 These plans help both the operator and the emergency responders know their roles, responsibilities, and 4 5 available resources prior to the need to respond to an б incident. Safety inspections by PHMSA find inadequate 7 8 procedures or that an operator is not following their procedures, PHMSA is authorized to require remedial actions, 9 10 PHMSA can assess civil penalties. 11 Safety is PHMSA's primary mission and we 12 understand how important this mission is to you and your 13 community. If this project is approved, PHMSA will work to

14 ensure that the pipeline and the facilities are constructed,

15 operated, and maintained in compliance with a Federal

16 Pipeline Safety Regulations.

17 Thank you for this opportunity to describe our18 program.

19 MS. KOCHHAR: Thank you, Alex.

20 Before we start taking comments from you, I will 21 request Alisa Harris of PennEast to provide an overview of 22 the planned PennEast Pipeline Project. Alisa?

MS. HARRIS: Thank you. Good evening.
Out of respect for many other who want to speak
tonight, I will keep my project description brief.

Again, my name is Alisa Harris and I am
 representing PennEast Pipeline Company, LLC on behalf of
 PennEast Pipeline Company.

I would like to introduce you to six companies 4 5 that comprise PennEast Pipeline, collectively the member companies operate thousands of miles of natural gas pipeline б throughout New Jersey and Pennsylvania and have come 7 8 together to improve the reliability and lower cost of natural gas and electric service to consumers in our region. 9 10 The PennEast project is not an export project. 11 The proposed PennEast Pipeline project is

12 approximately 114 miles in total length comprised primarily 13 of a 36-inch, underground, interstate natural gas pipeline 14 that will deliver approximately one billion cubic feet of 15 natural gas per day.

The project will begin in Dallas, Luzerne County,
Pennsylvania and end at an interconnection with Transco near
Pennington, Mercer County, New Jersey.

As stated in the prefiled application and resource report the proposed project includes one compressor station which is proposed in Kitter Township, Carbon County, Pennsylvania.

The facility which will house three natural gas fueled, turbine driven units, will be designed and operated in a manner that meets or exceeds local, state, and federal 1 requirements.

25

2 Local utilities such as UGI and other PennEast companies are pursuing plans to update, extend and diversify 3 existing pipeline infrastructure for the benefit of their 4 5 consumers, residential, commercial, and industrial. б Further, consumers in southeastern Pennsylvania and New Jersey will realize overall energy cost savings from 7 8 lower electric and natural gas costs and lower price volatility as well as increased reliability. 9 10 During construction PennEast Pipeline will 11 proudly employ approximately 2,500-family sustaining construction jobs directly. The majority of which will 12 13 involve a highly skilled union workforce. 14 Throughout the life of the project we will 15 support more than 10,000 jobs through design, engineering, 16 inspections, and local services. 17 Since announcing the project in August 2014, 18 representatives from PennEast have met with public 19 officials, state and local regulatory agencies, community 20 leaders, businesses, environmental and land conservation 21 organizations and more than 3,000 residents through many 22 different forums. 23 PennEast received extensive feedback on the 24 original proposed route. Subsequently PennEast engineers

and environmental consultants conducted further analysis,

refined the route, and moved a significant portion of the 1 2 proposed route in New Jersey adjacent to an existing electric power line right-of-way. 3 This new preferred route substantially increases 4 5 the amount of collocation along the entire route bringing б the total to nearly 50 percent and decreases the overall permanent land impact to approximately one square mile. 7 8 Throughout the multi-year process, PennEast will 9 continue to --10 (Laughter.) 11 (Simultaneous conversation.) MS. KOCHHAR: Please --12 13 MS. HARRIS: -- proven to be be invaluable in 14 identifying environmental, historical, geological, 15 agricultural, and cultural issues. This information will 16 lead to further review and analysis by our qualified 17 engineers and consultants who will eventually define a route 18 that further minimizes impacts. 19 In closing, PennEast employees, consultants, and 20 contractors live and work in the communities where PennEast 21 is seeking to construct the proposed pipeline. 22 PennEast will make every effort to ensure our 23 employees and land agents treat each landowner and 24 stakeholder with respect and honor any no trespassing signs

25 on their property.

Any specific complaints about our land agents or
 staff should be directed immediately to PennEast.

3 Thank you.

4 MS. KOCHHAR: Thank you, Alisa.

5 (Applause.)

6 MS. KOCHHAR: We will now begin with the most 7 important part of the meeting where we hear your comments 8 and questions. We will first take comments from those who 9 signed up on the speakers' list.

10 As I mentioned earlier, this meeting is being 11 recorded by the transcription service. This is being done 12 so that all of your comments and questions will be 13 transcribed and put into the public record. To help the 14 court reporter produce an accurate record of this meeting, 15 please only speak when you are at the microphone. Please 16 face the FERC staff and the court reporter so that we can 17 accurately record your comments.

I ask that when I call your name you come up to the microphone and state your name and spell it for the record. Please make a point to spell it. Because I get messaged from the court reporter several times, have them spell it.

Identify any agency or group you are representingand define any acronyms you may use.

25

I also ask that everybody else in the audience

respect the speaker and refrain from any audible show of 1 agreement or disagreement. I can't emphasize this more 2 because the more you speak, the less we hear, and the more 3 time it will take and less speakers will get the opportunity 4 5 to speak. So please abide by this and I'll appreciate that. б In the interest of allowing as many speakers as 7 possible, I would like you to keep your statement brief. 8 Preferably to three minutes I will set the visual timer to allow -- here's the visual timer here -- two and a half 9 10 minutes of green light, 30 seconds of the yellow warning 11 light to indicate to the speaker when it is time to conclude 12 and you will have ten seconds for the red indicating that 13 your time is up. I ask you to please abide by this time to 14 allow as many people as possible the opportunity to speak. 15 Whether you have your your comments verbally

16 tonight or mail them in, they will be considered equally by 17 FERC.

We are now ready to call our first speakers. I am going to call speakers in groups of four to come down to the front of the room and wait in line for their turn to speak so we are not waiting for people to make their way down to the podium here. After the initial group of four, I will call subsequent groups of four just before the third speaker begins.

```
25
```

If you have a lot to cover, please consider

summarizing your points tonight and submitting additional
 comments in written form.

And I plan on taking a ten-minute break after some time and we will announce that. It usually comes up close to eight o'clock.

6 We want to give you a chance to speak here, as 7 many people as possible. So, please try to limit to three 8 minutes and let everybody have an opportunity to speak here 9 tonight. I will give the microphone to John so he can make 10 announcements of the names.

11 Thank you.

MR. SCOTT: All right. Good evening. A couple housekeeping things. There are seats in the middle. We have this facility until ten o'clock tonight. It's a long time to stand. Please try to squeeze and if you -- those of you standing you can find a seat, I would encourage that.

We have about 70 speakers signed up. We have three minutes a piece, that gets us out of here just about ten o'clock.

But also, last night there were some speakers who did not get to speak. We ended at 11. If you're signed up to speak tonight and you also spoke last night, we'd ask that you consider giving up your time so that folks who did not get to speak last night could speak tonight. And if you're signed up and you agree to do that, you can just say, 1 I spoke last night.

2 And when we get through the list of speakers that have signed up, if we still have time, we'll open up the 3 floor or if you pass on your time and we have available 4 5 time, we'll add you to the end. And we'll start with elected officials. We have б 7 about ten that are signed up tonight. The first four are 8 Richard Dodds, Tom Stinnet, Ray Krov, and Amanda Woloshen. MR. DODDS: Richard Dodds, D-o-d-d-s. I am the 9 10 Mayor of Kingwood Township, New Jersey. 11 Kingwood Township is 36 square miles in area and 12 has approximately 3800 residents. All of the households in 13 Kingwood are dependent on well water and on-site septic. 14 The proposed pipeline will cut through seven miles of the 15 Township from north to south with potential impacts on every 16 single well. 17 I urge the Commission to read the report and 18 testimony of the Kingwood Township Environmental Commission 19 which clearly spells out Kingwood's underlying geology and 20 the source of our drinking water. 21 If this Commission does approve this project, I'm 22 requesting the FERC requires that all wells in the Township 23 be monitored, not just those on the properties where the 24 proposed pipeline is sited.

25

This is a critical issue in Kingwood because of

1 the geological features of our bedrock that's described in 2 your formation report. The monitoring conducted for a minimum of ten years should consist of premium 3 4 post-construction depth of water well capacity and recharge 5 the reports. If any wells are negatively affected by the б construction of the pipeline, Kingwood expects that the 7 Commission will require PennEast to make whole those 8 property owners that are affected by methods, including, but 9 not limited to, drilling new wells, providing potable water 10 in perpetuity, or fee simple purchase of property at rates 11 based on the past ten-year high.

12 The same monitoring and making whole should be 13 also done for all septic systems within the Township. 14 Kingwood is known for it's water table and numerous streams. 15 Any and all streams, stream buffers, wetlands, wetland 16 buffers must be fully delineated and avoided along the 17 route. The wetlands and streams carry water that is used in 18 the recharge of our groundwater and provides drinking water 19 throughout the region.

Furthermore, a number of the stream crossings that are proposed in the pipeline route are high quality streams that are protected by federal laws. Delineations must be done by qualified, environmental scientists paid for, but not employees, of PennEast.

```
25
```

Please note the property owners in Pennsylvania

who allow natural gas drilling on their leased lands will 1 2 receive ongoing compensation based on gas output in the 3 terms of their leases. Pipeline companies such as PennEast 4 will reap profits from their pipeline for the lifetime of 5 the pipeline. However, property owners in Kingwood are expected to give up their property rights with a one-time б minimal payoff, regardless of the volume of gas piped and 7 8 the number of subsequent pipelines sited in the easement. 9 This is wholly unfair to property owners, an abuse of power 10 by PennEast and the federal government. 11 Kingwood Township is opposed to the PennEast

12 Pipeline, however if FERC allows this to go through, we 13 respectfully demand that there be no impact on the township 14 wells, streams, wetlands, view scapes, farms, threatened and 15 endangered species, cultural heritage property ownerships or 16 safety of Kingwood Township.

17The potential impacts are so severe that18mitigation is not an option.

19 Thank you.

20 (Applause.)

21 MR. SCOTT: Thank you for your comment.

22 Tom Stinnett?

23 MR. STINNETT: Hello, my name is Thomas Stinnett,

24 that's S-t-i-n-n-e-t-t. I'm a resident of Riegelsville

25 Borough in Pennsylvania and currently president of the

1 Riegelsville Borough Council.

The borough council has passed a resolution expressing several concerns with the proposed PennEast Pipeline that it could prove would pass through the portion of the Borough. I am submitting three copies of this resolution to you tonight even though it has been posted on your website by our borough secretary.

8 I am, by education and employment, a mechanical 9 engineer that has worked in the biotech field for over 40 10 years. I personally have several environmental concerns 11 with the pipeline installation which I would like to point 12 out tonight.

13 The first is Cooks Creek which is an EV-1 stream, 14 it's an exceptional value stream which is located just 15 sought of the Borough in German Township. The pipeline is 16 within the watershed of the stream in both the borough and 17 German Township. There is also a waterway that leads to 18 Crooks Creek which serves as a storm water collection stream 19 which is even closer to the pipeline.

The land in Riegelsville that the pipeline is crossing is a trust that is farmed and I am concerned about the fact that it cuts across a field and does not follow any boundary lines. It is also a concern for the depth -- I'm also concerned for the depth of the lines and potential for hitting the pipe with farming equipment.

I'm also concerned with the disturbance of the Delaware Canal and the Delaware River. I am concerned with the destruction of wooded areas in our neighborhood that will disturb birds and other wildlife. There is an osprey nest on a cell phone tower behind the bank just south of Riegelsville in Durham Township. The pipeline would come very close to this nest.

8 The field that the pipeline is crossing is in 9 fact zoned as a resource protected land because of the 10 limestone and carbon geology and is subject to several 11 sinkholes which occur on a regular basis. I am concerned 12 that this fact may cause a potential leakage. Within a 13 few hundred feet of the proposed pipeline is the fire hall 14 which hosts many events involving several hundred people. 15 There are also three churches within a few hundred feet of 16 the proposed pipeline which would also have a few hundred 17 people attending events on a regular basis including a 18 preschool at one of the churches.

19 The fact concerns me a lot if there was an 20 accident to occur. The pipeline is coming through preserved 21 as open space land in many places near and afar and I feel 22 that this land should not be associated with a thousand 23 markers, et cetera.

For these reasons and many others spelled out in our resolution, in my opinion this pipeline should be

1 installed.

2 (Applause.) 3 MR. SCOTT: Thank you for your comment. 4 Ray Krov. 5 MR. KROV: Good evening, my name is Ray Krov, K-r-o-v, and I'm the mayor of Holland Township New Jersey. б The Holland Township Committee adopted a resolution on 7 8 October 21st, 2014 opposing the PennEast Pipeline. We have filed that resolution as a comment on the FERC website. 9 Much of the content of that resolution was based on 10 11 information from the Highlands Environmental Resource Inventory, or ERI for Holland. 12 13 We broke down the information in the Highlands 14 ERI to a few paragraphs in our resolution. So my purpose 15 for being here tonight is to give you two sets of the full 16 texts of the Highlands ERI along with the maps that 17 accompany the document. 18 Highland Township is voluntarily conforming the 19 entire township to the Highlands regional master plan. As 20 part of the conformance process, the experts at the 21 Highlands Council developed ERI and was adopted by our 22 township committee November 2013 as an element of our 23 Highland master plan. So, if the purpose of this scoping 24 hearing is to obtain accurate and current information on all 25 environmental resources of Highland Township, the Highland

1 ERI is the place to look.

2 The purpose of the Highlands Act was to protect 3 the water resources in the high lands which provides drinking water to half the people in New Jersey. 4 5 Technically one could say that the PennEast б Pipeline will only cross three stream systems in Holland referred to a HUC14's. However, there isn't just one 7 8 mainstream but lots of tributaries. The Holland 9 Environmental Commission has done maps that superimpose the 10 pipeline route on the maps from the ERI. They show the 11 pipeline cross the three HUC14 streams at 23 places. That's 12 23 opportunities that damage those stream systems. The 13 stream that it will produce silt that can smother trout 14 eggs. Those Seymour and trout breeding streams have a 15 300-foot buffer on each side. Construction will cut down 16 vegetation in those buffers that will increase runoff and 17 allow pollutants and storm water to enter the streams. 18 The steam will heat the water and negatively 19 impact trout which require cold water. Construction 20 upheaval will compact the soil and provide contaminants that 21 could enter the streams.

Each crossing may do a bit of damage, but we're talking 23 stream crossings in Holland alone, more than other communities on the route. I cannot conceive how this won't result in cumulative damage to C1 streams where New Jersey DEP rules require no measureable change to protect
 those water bodies.

And in Holland, all those streams ultimately flow into the Delaware river, the source of drinking water for major cities to our south.

The ERI shows lots of other issues that will be б 7 encountered along the eight-mile pipeline route in Holland 8 including carbonate rock areas, critical habitat, severely 9 constrained slopes, and forest resource areas. That 10 information is all in the documents I provided to you 11 tonight. The only conclusion I can come to is that the 12 no-build option is the only viable way to protect our 13 community's precious resources. 14 Thank you. 15 (Applause.)

16 MR. SCOTT: Thank you for your comment.

17Amanda Woloshen, and then the next four speakers18are Susan Lockwood, John King, Floyd Evans, and Donna Simon.19MS. WOLOSHEN: Good evening. I'm Amanada

20 Woloshen, Congressman Leonard Lance's District Director

21 represent Leonard Lance this evening.

I will read you his statement concerning(41:37:3).

Dear Staff Members of FERC, elected officials and concerned citizens. I thank Chairman LeFleur and the members of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission for agreeing to my request to hold a FERC scoping hearing on the proposed PennEast Pipeline here in Hunterdon County. I regret I am not with you personally, but the U.S. Congress is currently in legislative session and I am voting in Washington, D.C. this evening.

I oppose the current PennEast Pipeline Project. 7 8 As I have stated in the past, I have significant questions 9 and concerns about PennEast's project path an expected use 10 of lands under farmland preservation protection and within 11 the Delaware River watershed. These are environmentally 12 sensitive open space areas that I have fought to protect and 13 preserve while a member of the New Jersey legislature and I 14 believe it would be fiscally, environmentally irresponsible 15 to allow taxpayer protected open space to be used in this 16 manner.

I will also express my strong reservations about the potential use of eminent domain in this situation. I have heard from many Hunterdon County landowners personally who fear the federal government will eventually invoke the right of eminent domain to compel the sale of easements and right-of-ways along the proposed pipeline route.

I respectfully request that FERC use eminent domain authority only in the most limited and extreme cases that benefit public use and not private corporate entities.

1 I ask that FERC reject the PennEast pipeline 2 project and allow company representatives to reexamine the project's proposed path and work with New Jersey's two U.S. 3 Senators, New Jersey's House delegation, state and local 4 5 elected officials, and concerned citizens in preserving б public and private lands and protecting property rights. Thank you again for listening to our concerns. 7 8 As someone who has lived his entire life in Hunterdon 9 County, I understand how important environmental and fiscal 10 issues are for resident of the county and its communities. 11 We appreciate the opportunity to have our views heard by the 12 federal regulators. 13 Thank you. 14 (Applause.) 15 MR. SCOTT: Thank you for your comment. 16 Susan Lockwood. Then John King, John. 17 MS. LOCKWOOD: My name is Susan Lockwood and I am 18 a township Committee Woman representing Delaware Township, 19 Hunterdon County, New Jersey. The PennEast Pipeline would 20 affect between six and and ten miles of Delaware Township 21 land depending upon which alternative is considered. And we 22 strongly object to both routes. 23 Delaware Township is 177 years old. Over the

years township officials have done everything in their power to protect the natural beauty, environment sensitivity and

cultural heritage of our township. The township's master
plan and it's land use ordinances all state as the
township's primary goal protection of agricultural lands and
the farmers who farm it, many of whom represent several
generations of farmers on the land.

6 The township together with Hunterdon County and 7 the state of New Jersey has invested over \$9 million of 8 taxpayer money in Delaware Township which taxpayers chose to 9 pay via referendum to protect agricultural lands and other 10 open space from unwanted development.

11 Recognizing that portions of Delaware Township 12 still re main as unblemished as they were in the 1800s, the 13 township established the rural and cultural historic 14 district and it is listed on the federal register of 15 historic places.

16 Understanding the connection and importance of 17 the development intensity with protection of our residents' 18 water supply since all residents rely upon wells and a 19 majority upon septic systems, the township enacted 20 ordinances requiring oversized lots, protection of stream 21 corridors and flood plains, limits on impervious cover, and 22 protection of forested areas. These are the lands that have been targeted by PennEast for their pipeline and that is why 23 24 the proposed pipeline is unacceptable.

25

We also note that there are several different

pipelines proposed or planned throughout the state of New
 Jersey. There can be no real evaluation of the PennEast
 proposal without considering the cumulative impacts of all
 of these pipelines in this state.

5 New Jersey is a small state and most densely б populated state in the nation. For years New Jersey has 7 been the dumping ground for unwanted garbage, hazardous 8 waste. Companies come and take what they want and leave the 9 residents to clean up their mess. These pipelines are the 10 latest way for companies to take residents' property and 11 take state resources for their own benefit and use and to 12 leave New Jersey residents with unwanted, unsafe 13 development, and waste. We strongly urge FERC to say a 14 resounding no to the PennEast Pipeline.

15 Thank you. Delaware Township has already 16 submitted scoping comments on cultural resources. I have 17 for you a package of comments on open space, water 18 resources, and eminent domain. We also have letters from 19 our environment commission, historical societies, and open 20 space committee. Thank you.

21 (Applause.)

22 MR. SCOTT: Thank you for your comment.

A reminder to please state your name and spellit, please.

```
25
```

MR. KING: John King, K-i-n-g. I'm The Director

for Hunterdon County and I represent the 126,000 residents
 of this county.

The subject of the PennEast Natural Gas Pipeline has sparked comment and controversy since the unveiling of the project's concept last year. This -- to afford the pipeline's proponents and critics a fair hearing to consider additional issues affecting Hunterdon County as a whole.

8 In light of the facts before us, we are compelled 9 to oppose PennEast's application. Our objections are based 10 upon, one, the district or the potential alternative paths 11 using existing easements that may result in the use of 12 eminent domain to destroy conservation easements and 13 pre-empt county-owned space policy.

Two, the insufficiency of proposed compensationto affected landowners.

16 Three, the threat of construction generated water 17 contamination in specific neighborhoods serviced by private 18 wells, and four, the absence of a lasting public benefit 19 outweighing the burdens of homeowners in the project's path. 20 Let me explain.

The properties lining the proposed pipeline's path are 23 farms constituting 2,007 acres of county preserved open space. If approved the PennEast Pipeline would necessarily extinguish the county's conservation easements on those farms and trump the county open space

policy mandated by three successive voter referendum. This
 issue alone warrants our opposition.

3 Moreover, PennEast has raised the part of eminent 4 domain presumably to thwart the defense of its interest in 5 the preserved open space. This threat arises despite the б existence of alternate routes within established public utility rights-of-way including similar pipeline easements. 7 It is our understanding that PennEast has not 8 9 contacted some utility companies to negotiate collocation of 10 the pipeline within their easements. 11 A judicial taking of property for use by 12 for-profit corporations should always be the last resort. 13 We will never support proposals that threaten the 14 condemnation of land where less draconian measures of 15 property acquisition have not first been exhausted. 16 PennEast also proposes inadequate, and therefore 17 unjust compensation to Hunterdon taxpayers in the project's 18 path. The utility conglomerate merely proposes to pay a 19 one-time loss of value attributed to the new encumbrance on 20 the property. 21 Pipelines earn continuous profits. The benefits

PennEast would reap from any targeted property are analogous to those received by wireless providers from cell towers. Wireless companies place cell towers on another's property with an agreement to provide the owner with a stream of

income much like a lease. When the company leases those towers to other wireless providers, the landowner receives additional income due to the third-party's commercial use of the owners' land.

5 Property owners in the pipeline's path should be 6 treated no differently. Thus, if PennEast is going to earn 7 continuous profits from the exploitation of the land of 8 another, it should make that owner a partner.

9 Construction disturbance and local drinking water 10 supply raises contamination concerns. I understand that my 11 time is up, but I beg your forgiveness and patience. I'm 12 just about to come to a close of my comments.

13 The proposed project slices through 53 acres of 14 tier one well protected areas. Neighborhoods with residents 15 overwhelmingly depending on the consumption of well water. 16 Prior local experience, and I must emphasize, prior local 17 experience in Hunterdon County with drinking water 18 contamination caused by poorly supervised construction 19 during existing transfer station proves that a potential 20 threat to the well protection area is a well-founded 21 misgiving.

In fact, we would prefer that any pipeline berouted around this area entirely.

There are significant deficiencies with respect to the use of natural gas within Hunterdon County which is

poorly served by natural gas simply because our situation.
 Therefore the advance of this is more of an undue burden on
 the owners of the land than there is for potential use.

MR. SCOTT: Mr. King, can you wrap it up, please?
MR. KING: I can. Allow me. To be clear, it is
not swallowed whole -- by critics to PennEast's application.
We do not oppose the principles of constructing underground
pipelines to transport natural gas.

9 Several natural gas pipelines already cross 10 Hunterdon County and have existed for decades and we do not 11 subscribe to the notion of the mere presence of an 12 additional pipeline within the county's borders as a 13 sufficient basis to oppose the project.

14 Let me summarize. As proposed, however, the 15 PennEast project unnecessarily threatens property rights 16 that the constitutional sore point of eminent domain and 17 offers no prospect of just compensation for the land it targets for lease or accommodation. The pipeline's 18 19 construction is dangerous and identifiable drinking water 20 supply, are the only lasting benefits that the subject 21 project can offer affecting neighborhoods' connection to the 22 natural gas and just due compensation for its residents. 23 Thus, the Hunterdon County Board shall resolve the -- to 24 oppose the proposed configuration of the PennEast Pipeline. 25 (Applause.)

1 MR. SCOTT: Thank you for your time. 2 MS. SIMON: Good evening. Thank you very much 3 for being here. And I also would like to introduce Senator Kip Bateman. We represent Legislative District 16. 4 5 MR. SCOTT: I'm sorry, can you state your name? б And spell it for the record? MS. SIMON: Sure, Donna Simon, S-i-m-o-n. I'm 7 8 the assemblywoman for Legislative District 16 in New Jersey 9 and behind me and with me in my -- as part of our team is 10 Senator Kip Bateman, B-a-t-e-m-a-n. 11 I want to thank you for being here. I also want 12 to thank Congressman Lance for requesting that you come to 13 Hunterdon County. 14 I know we have a very limited window for comments 15 and FERC is absolutely fully aware that legislative District 16 16 is opposed to the PennEast Pipeline Project for various 17 reasons. The fact that you are considering eminent domain 18 before investigating any other options is by far one of the 19 biggest concerns. But you will hear from that other 70 20 speakers that environmental concerns and also grave concerns 21 about public trust using taxpayer funded property. 22 However, I think the reason I want to speak 23 tonight is I think it's noteworthy to share that our 24 constituents spanning three legislative districts, receiving 25 a flyer yesterday --

1 (Audience comments.) 2 MS. SIMON: -- stating that the proposed PennEast People would safely move natural gas from rural Pennsylvania 3 down through Hunterdon and Mercer Counties providing 4 5 affordable energy for our homes and local businesses and the б PennEast representative said the same thing this evening when she opened. This is a false statement. 7 8 (Applause.) MS. SIMON: Our district office received 9 10 up-to-date information yesterday. Elizabethtown Gas had 11 originally considered bringing gas to constituents and they 12 stated that a year ago when we all met in Delaware Township, 13 directly from the pipeline. However, according to them, 14 while they do want to extend gas to places where it doesn't 15 currently exist, they have no plans to do so due to the fact 16 that it would be expensive and homeowners would have to 17 contribute potentially as much as \$5,000 a home and oftentimes homeowners declined. So I find this -- on behalf 18 19 of our constituents, I find this misleading at best and 20 manipulative at worst. 21 This process is supposed to be transparent and

forthcoming, and it's anything but that unless you disclose that gas will not be delivered to our constituents, I'm not sure how PennEast had put in a flyer that it will be and that we will enjoy the riches of energy coming to us.

1 So I would like Senator Bateman also to say 2 something. Thank you. 3 (Applause.) MR. SCOTT: Thank you for your comment. 4 5 SENATOR BATEMAN: Good evening. I'm Senator Kip б Bateman, K-i-p B-a-t-e-m-a-n and I'm the Senator from the 7 16th Legislative District which encompasses Delaware Township's land. 8 9 First off, let me thank you for the opportunity 10 to speak tonight. Let me express my disappointment that 11 one, there's only one hearing in Hunterdon County, and two, 12 it took a great deal of pressure to have a scoping hearing 13 in Hunterdon County, and that's wrong. 14 (Applause.) 15 SENATOR BATEMAN: I have spent the better part of 16 my public life advocating for open space farm land 17 preservation, historic preservation and Jack and Donna and I 18 have already written to FERC. We are on record opposing 19 this. We have outlined many, many reasons in a five-page 20 letter to your chairwoman. 21 I'm going to read part of what was in that letter 22 because I think it's important. 23 Running a pipeline through numerous parcels of 24 preserved land in some of the most beautiful places in the 25 state poses far too many environmental risks.

1 There is certainly a need to plan for the future 2 to make sure that safe community standards remain, but constructing a pipeline in a way that would lower property 3 values, impact quality of life for residents and damage the 4 5 state's dwindling open space is not the way to go. We must be diligent in ensuring that human health б and safety environmental impacts are first and foremost in 7 8 considering any pipeline proposal. 9 The proposed route is terrible for the 10 environment and we oppose it and we continue to oppose it 11 and I'm working legislation right now with two of the 12 Senators in Trenton to come up with a criteria. Because 13 unfortunately right now there are too many pipelines going 14 through New Jersey, especially environmentally sensitive 15 areas. 16 (Applause.) 17 SENATOR BATEMAN: So, again, I thank you for your 18 time and look forward --19 (Applause.) 20 MR. SCOTT: Thank you for your comment. 21 MR. EVANS: Floyd Evans, Alexandria. 22 MR. SCOTT: All right. And then the next four 23 speakers are Robert White, Eric Peterson, actually Kip 24 Bateman already spoke, and then Warren Cooper. MR. EVANS: You want me now or --25

1 MR. SCOTT: Yes. Yes. Sorry. 2 MR. EVANS: I am Floyd Evans. I am the deputy sheriff of the agricultural open space committee in 3 Alexandria Township and I am here this evening representing 4 5 Mayor Paul Abraham who is unable to attend. б Alexandria Township opposes the pipeline and the present structure and it would seem to us that there should 7 8 be some way to plan the pipeline so it follows existing routes of the other pipelines that already established in 9 10 our area. 11 We have strong considerations to ensure that any 12 soil that is disturbed is properly remediated so that it's 13 turned into its original state so that there's no long-term 14 negative impact. And we want to ensure that it's continuing 15 oversight of all operations by PennEast as they go forward 16 so that there's minimum damage to the environment and it is 17 fully mitigated. 18 Thank you. 19 (Applause.)

20 MR. SCOTT: Thank you for your comment. 21 MR. WHITE: Robert White, R-o-b-e-r-t W-h-i-t-e, 22 Milford Borough Town Council. Milford Borough is a 1.2 23 square mile town sitting on the Delaware River. The 24 proposed PennEast pipe would cut through the Delaware River, 25 even though it doesn't actually run through Milford itself. 1 The town council has passed a resolution opposing 2 this. It is a beautiful area, if you've ever fished the 3 area, gone through there on a canoe, you'll understand just 4 how beautiful this area is. And I would challenge the 5 members of FERC to actually take a look at the property 6 before you simply put a stamp on something and say, yes, 7 it's okay to cut through there.

8 Since 1970 the U.S. Government and the American 9 People had decided that it's not simply okay to do something 10 to the environment because there's profit to be made. 11 That's the whole point of the EPA and it's been the whole 12 point of a number of laws that have come out of the 13 government since that time.

14 It's very clear that the U.S. recognize the 15 growing fragility of the environment that we live in. The 16 PennEast Pipeline ignores all of that, cuts through the 17 areas that have already been mentioned by the other speakers 18 and does threaten the water and the likelihood and the 19 general lifestyle that we have in our area.

20 So I would ask you think very long, very hard, is 21 this really necessary? Is this something that really, truly 22 benefits the public as is proposed by PennEast and 23 furthermore challenge their statements. I find it very 24 difficult for them to say that they are following all local 25 regulations when our local regulations specifically forbid

allowing the disturbance of these areas that were purchased
 for and by the public.

3 So thank you very much.

4 (Applause.)

5 MR. SCOTT: Thank you for your comment.

6 MR. PETERSON: Good evening, my name is Erik, 7 E-r-i-k, Peterson, P-e-t-e-r-s-o-n, a member of the New 8 Jersey State Legislature, District 23. With me is my 9 running made in the legislature, John DeMeio. We represent 10 the towns of Holland and Kingwood, Alexandria, Frenchtown, 11 and Milford, the towns that are being affected by this.

12 A short statement. I first want to say, I'm also 13 a member of the Hunterdon County Freeholder Board and I 14 share the sentiments of the Freeholder Board and my 15 colleagues in the legislature who spoke previously.

You know, New Jersey is the most densely populated state in the nation with almost nine million citizens in our small state land is at a premium. The residents of New Jersey and Hunterdon County and local municipalities decided decades ago that they were willing to incur an additional tax on their property to preserve open space and farmland.

They did this because they wanted to preserve Hunterdon County's rural heritage by purchasing at market value from willing landowners development rights and outright land acquisition in order to prevent development, protect what was left of New Jersey's agricultural industry and to protect the watersheds. These watersheds supply water to five million people east of here and south of here. That part of the watershed is where the pipeline is going through.

7 More importantly, and most importantly in my 8 mind, we wanted to leave this land preserved, open, and for 9 cultural purposes, for our children and our grandchildren. 10 A legacy of open space, farms, beautiful views, sheds, and 11 the ability to enjoy the outdoors.

12 One thing that we did not -- we did not ever 13 envision was that when we preserved this land with taxpayer 14 money, was that we would be providing Wall Street with an 15 inexpensive pathway to pipe their natural gas.

```
16
```

(Applause.)

17 MR. PETERSON: The proposed pipeline is currently 18 where it's proposed to traverse through the western portion 19 of Hunterdon County down into Mercer County. Most of the 20 pipeline's pathway is through land that has been preserved 21 as either open space or farmland. When you look at the proposed pipeline pathway on a map -- on a map that also 22 23 shows other pipelines that exist in Pennsylvania and New 24 Jersey, and other utility easements for power lines, oil 25 lines, and such, it becomes apparent that there are other

routes which exist that this pipeline could take as being
 collocated. It's also obvious that this pathway was chosen
 because this is the cheapest way to go.

4 I respectfully request that before you make the 5 decision -- before you make the decision on this pipeline б that you first secure the fact that the pipeline that PennEast has looked at every available way to collocate this 7 8 pipeline with existing utility easements where the bird and 9 the degradations to the land has already occurred to prove 10 to you that there is no alternative to coming through this 11 pristine land that we have preserved as our legacy.

12 Finally, and we're putting this in a letter, my 13 running mate and I, and so finally we also have grave 14 concerns about the use of eminent domain for a for-profit 15 enterprise. We believe that the methodology in which 16 compensation is provided in eminent domain is not 17 appropriate under these circumstances where there's going to 18 be an ongoing profit being made through the use of land. We 19 _ _

20 MR. SCOTT: Mr. Peterson, can you wrap it up?
21 MR. PETERSON: Sure. I guess I will.

We encourage you to require PennEast that if they use eminent domain that they use a different formula that actually provides true value to them of that land that they are taking through eminent domain, just like the cell tower companies do when they give people a lease to use their
 land.

3 Thank you. 4 MR. SCOTT: Thank you for your comment. 5 (Applause.) MR. DeMEIO: Good evening. John DeMeio, District б 23. John D-e capital M-e-i-o. We're going to sound a 7 8 little bit like a broken record, but the reality is that 9 this part of New Jersey, the northwestern part of New Jersey 10 has worked very hard and invested great with their own 11 dollars to preserve farmland and open space to keep 12 developers away so that their value of their land their 13 quality of life will be much better out here. But it came 14 at a cost. Their monied up and paid for the land. 15 We have to and we must ensure that we protect the

16 environment, number one. I get it, I'm a business person. 17 I understand that we need resource to run business in New 18 Jersey and to take care of our needs for energy in all of 19 the state.

But the reality is there are, as Erik said, there are alternate routes that this pipe could take, existing right-of-ways so that without going out into pristine lands and starting all over again, but we're following existing paths. And the amount of remuneration should be appropriate for the investments that have -- might be folks that sit in this room. We just can't do it for the least expensive,
 cheapest way. It needs to be planned out well. But we
 should be telling this company to seek out existing pathways
 first.

5 If this has to happen, it really should be б piggybacked on the areas of -- the energy through. It's just not fair that we impact the value and 7 8 the quality of life to people who invested greatly. So I 9 put my two cents in, I'm here to support the folks in this 10 room any way we can. 11 (Applause.) 12 MR. SCOTT: Thank you for your comment. 13 Next is Warren Cooper, and then after that the 14 next four speakers Bruce Shapiro, Jeff Tittel, Laura Wilson, 15 and Doug O'Malley. 16 MR. COOPER: Ritchie put me on the list to speak, 17 but it is Warren Cooper, W-a-r-r-e-n C double o p-e-r. I'm 18 the mayor of the magical town on the Delaware River called 19 Frenchtown. It's 1.1 square miles, housing about 1,300 20 residents. All of those resident are threatened by this 21 proposal because everybody is downhill from this proposed 22 pipeline. 23 You've heard from a lot of people and you'll hear

24 from a lot more who will talk about the environmental 25 impact. I'm going to talk about something else.

1 I would warn you not to trust PennEast. 2 (Applause.) 3 MR. COOPER: When this pipeline was first 4 proposed a representative of the company reached out to me 5 and asked to come to Frenchtown to a Borough Council meeting б to present the plan. At that time I said, "that's great, we want our people to be informed." And I invited them. 7 We 8 set the date, they didn't come. We set the second date, 9 they didn't come. During the course of that time between 10 those proposed meetings and their failing to show up, the 11 plan, as it was, at least as it was presented to me by the representatives of PennEast, changed several times. The 12 13 proposed route of the pipeline either crosses into 14 Frenchtown slightly, or runs along the border. But we can't 15 get PennEast folks to confirm that it won't change one way 16 or the other.

17 I hope you get a chance to hear from the 18 Environment Commission of Frenchtown, and they're going to 19 talk about a lot of these same kinds of impacts on our 20 waterways and on our people. But I think that the issue 21 here for you to consider is trust. And I don't think that 22 PennEast in its dealings with the public has been 23 trustworthy, forthright, or forthcoming. And I don't see 24 any reason for you to trust them anymore than we do. And 25 that's one of the reasons why when they failed to show up on

several occasions the Frenchtown Borough Council voted to 1 2 oppose the pipeline. We did not have our minds made up at 3 that time. We wanted them to come and talk to us. They failed to do that. 4 I don't think you should trust them. Thank you 5 for your time. б 7 (Applause.) 8 MR. SCOTT: Thank you for your comment. 9 MR. SHAPIRO: Good evening. My name is Bruce 10 Shapiro, S-h-a-p-i-r-o. I'm here tonight to represent the 11 New Jersey Realtors along with two of our local associations, the Hunterdon Somerset Association of Realtors 12 13 and Mercer County Association of Realtors to voice our 14 opposition to the PennEast Pipeline. 15 The New Jersey Realtors along with our local 16 associations, in this case Hunterdon Somerset and Mercer 17 County and one of the only organizations in New Jersey truly 18 fighting for the rights of the private property owners. 19 Despite the recent changes that have been made to 20 the proposed pipeline route, it so appears that the 21 construction of this pipeline will infringe on the rights of 22 private property owners and go through the lands of 23 residential properties and farmland here in Hunterdon and 24 Amherst Counties as well as across the border in 25 Pennsylvania.

1 It remains unclear to us what the consequences 2 would be if a private property owner denies the PennEast 3 Pipeline Company access to their property. In that role we 4 are here tonight to support the property owners that you see 5 sitting behind us as well as those who couldn't be here 6 tonight.

7 While the New Jersey Realtors along with 8 Hunterdon and Somerset and Mercer County Associations 9 appreciate the need to bring affordable natural gas to the 10 consumers of our area, we also have grave concerns regarding 11 the risks that both the pipeline construction and the 12 existence of a pipeline itself brings to the homeowners at 13 the properties in that area.

14 This pipeline could have severe impacts not only 15 on private property rights, but also on the values of homes 16 and lands in this area. There could also be disclosure 17 issues arising not just for the realtors who are 18 representing buyers and sellers, but also for those selling 19 their homes themselves as it would be possible either for a 20 seller or a builder to misrepresent that a pipeline may come 21 through the property if they're not aware of it especially 22 if the route continues to change.

For these reasons, we are urging FERC and the PennEast Pipeline Company to continue to seek other alternatives including continued collocation, as you've

heard from a few of the elected officials coming before you, 1 2 however, let me make it clear that the realtors oppose this pipeline both under the state and local levels if it's going 3 4 to have any adverse impacts to any property owners here in 5 Hunterdon and Mercer Counties. We look forward to working with our elected officials at the federal, state, and local б level as well as the residents to continue our opposition to 7 8 this project. And we will submit a formal copy of these comments to you in writing as well and I thank you for your 9 10 time tonight. 11 (Applause.) 12 MR. SCOTT: Thank you. 13 MR. TITTEL: Jeff Tittel, T-i-t-t-e-l, director 14 of New Jersey's Sierra Club. I just wanted to start off and 15 say, instead of limiting the public, you should extend the 16 comment period and have additional scoping hearings 17 especially since --18 (Applause.) 19 MR. TITTEL: -- a new route and so we believe 20 that you should extend the period and have more meetings 21 because everybody should have a right to speak. This is our 22 government. 23 (Applause.)

24 MR. TITTEL: I just wanted to start off in saying 25 that I'm here representing our 2.5 million members and

supporters. But listening to the person from PennEast 1 2 because you cannot trust anything they say, when they say, we don't expect to be exporting. There's no guarantee. 3 It's not worth the paper it's not written on. 4 5 (Laughter.) MR. TITTEL: This pipeline is heading down б towards Maryland where Cove Point is an export facility. So 7 8 there's no guarantee. What we do know may happen is that 9 the gas companies will get the money, Europe will get the 10 gas, and we'll get the pipe. 11 (Applause.) MR. TITTEL: The new route actually has bigger 12 13 impacts environmentally than the other route. And I'm not 14 here to play one route against the other because no route is 15 acceptable. 16 (Applause.) 17 MR. TITTEL: But the new route is going through 18 steeper slopes even where you have a new right-of-way that 19 new right-of-way actually -- that existing right-of-way is 20 actually through parks and when you go through an existing 21 right-of-way that is 50-foot wide and mostly grown with 22 trees, you're going to have plans to open another hundred 23 feet, plus a lot of damage. 24 (Applause.) 25 MR. TITTEL: The only gas that PennEast will give

anybody in this room will be from a leak in that pipe. (Laughter.) MR. TITTEL: When I heard the woman from PennEast say about one square mile of impact, that's like saying, someone is going to take a chainsaw and cut your house right in half, but we're going to impact 50 feet. (Laughter.)

8 MR. TITTEL: Your house is ruined. So is this valley that we all love. And this is a valley that people 9 have spent years working to save. This is a valley where 10 11 the public has spent tens of millions of dollars of their 12 own money to help preserve farmland and open space. This is 13 a valley where governmental agencies over 50 years have put 14 in place programs to protect areas like this in this valley 15 itself whether it's a Delaware River Basin Commission, the 16 National Park Service Wild and Scenic, the Clean Air Act, 17 the Clean Water Act, the Highlands Act and I can go on and 18 on and on because there's at least two dozen laws that this 19 pipeline is going to violate.

I'm here today because they talk about economic impact. Yes, there will be a wonderful economic impact for the towns as you have to invest in new fire equipment, hazmat equipment and hospital burn units.

24 (Applause.)

25 MR. TITTEL: I hear, you know, pipeline safety.

That's an oxymoron. There's been more than 100 accidents a
 year of major failures in this country.

3 The concern that we have is for the environment, and for the health and safety of the people in this valley. 4 5 This is a beautiful valley, this is a place where people come to recreate for tourism, to bike ride, to kayak, to б fish, to get fresh produce, and that's the economic impact. 7 8 The structure of the tourist and farm mixed economy with 9 this pipeline putting an ugly scar right through the middle of it, and that is the problem. This is an area that was 10 11 known as the crossroads of the revolution, not the 12 crossroads of pipeline. 13 (Applause.) 14 MR. TITTEL: This is an area where General 15 Washington marched with his troops whether it was to go to 16 Valley Forge or to attack Trenton, today the gas companies 17 are the British and the pipeline companies are the Hessians. 18 (Laughter.) 19 MR. TITTEL: And what we're seeing here is part 20 of that grassroots army, just like General Washington has, 21 get the FERC out of our valley. 22 (Cheering.) (Applause.) 23 MR. SCOTT: Thank you for your comment. 24 PARTICIPANT: I'm ceding my spot to Mark 25 Gallagher.

MR. GALLAGHER: My name is Mark Gallagher.
 Environmental consultant with Princeton Hydro.

3 MR. SCOTT: I'm sorry, could you spell your name? MR. GALLAGHER: Sure. M-a-r-k G-a-l-l-a-g-h-e-r. 4 5 And that was a tough act to follow, but I'm going to speak б more about regulatory compliance because the route that PennEast picked, I couldn't think of a more environmentally 7 8 sensitive route to follow even if they tried. Which goes to 9 the point that I'm not sure that either FERC or PennEast has any idea what the regulations say. And many of the speakers 10 11 before talked about the pristine streams and the wonderful 12 resources. Well, they are protected by regulation. And I'm 13 going to start with the Clean Water Act.

New Jersey has regulations and there's an MOU between EPA and New Jersey DEP that clearly states that the minimum requirements of DEP's assumption is that it meets the minimum standards of the Clean Water Act. It can be more restrictive, not less.

And that leads you to the 404(b)(1) guidelines. Those guidelines guide alternative analysis. They also guide someone going into regulated areas to show that they can't avoid, and if they have to encroach, then they have to show minimization and mitigation as the last resource. And mitigation shouldn't be rhetoric that has been in previous pipeline applications that simply say that mitigation is

1 going to solve all the ills of the pipeline.

2 That it should be based on factual determinations. And it needs resources. It needs studies, 3 it needs to be based on fact, not words. 4 5 And the factual determinations include environmental values. It's in subchapter F of the 404(b)(1)б guidelines. And that includes sections that talk about 7 8 natural areas, nature preserves, and speaks to the possible loss of values from the discharge of dredged and fill 9 10 material that may modify the aesthetics of the area. 11 So people have been concerned about it, but the 12 404 regulations speak directly to that issue. 13 It also speaks to aesthetics, general aesthetics. 14 And it states that part of the issue has to be an 15 identification of any loss of aesthetics as it relates to 16 the public. 17 If you look at this pipeline, that could never 18 have been taken into account when it was laid out. It also 19 speaks to the secondary impacts as well as cumulative. But 20 before my time is out, one of the more important issues is 21 satisfying the antidegradation standards. And I will read 22 it to you. Category I water to New Jersey are associated with New Jersey's assumption of the 303 of the Clean Water 23 24 Act. And it means those waters designated in tables which 25 are listed for purposes of implementing the anti-degradation

policies for protection from measurable change in water 1 2 quality based on exceptional ecological significance, exceptional recreational significance, exceptional water 3 supply significance, or exceptional fishery resources that 4 5 protect their aesthetic value and ecological integrity. б That is going to be a tough route for this pipeline to 7 satisfy. 8 Thank you. 9 MS. KOCHHAR: Thank you.

10 (Applause.)

11 MR. SCOTT: Thank you for your comment.

I have Doug O'Malley and the next four speakers,
Philip Geibel, Emile Devito, Diane Hartford, and Judi
Roggie.

MR. O'MALLEY: Thank you. My name is Doug O'Malley. That's spelled D-o-u-g, O'Malley, O-'- capital M-a-l-l-e-y. I'm the director of Environment New Jersey. We represent over 20,000 citizen members across this great state.

And I wanted to start of by thanking the three members of the assembly and Senator Bateman who spoke out against this project. There's also multiple mayors and committeemen tonight and also last night, Senator Turner and the assembly member from Mercer County. But most importantly I want to thank the hundreds of citizens that

have come out to multiple meetings and to the meeting last
 night and to the meeting tonight to stand up against
 PennEast and to stand up against assault, this fossil fuel
 assault on our land here in New Jersey.

5 And FERC clearly needs to listen to the public. 6 But it also needs to be looking at the cumulative impacts 7 that are directly impacting our lands because PennEast is 8 going to tear a massive ecological scar right through the 9 heart of Central Jersey's environment. And it should be 10 dubbing down our dirty fracked gas.

11 FERC can't rubberstamp this pipeline. Because 12 quite honestly the only sustainable option is the no-build 13 alternative. And the reason that's so is because of the 14 landmark court case won by our allies at Delaware River 15 Keeper Network and the New Jersey Sierra Club that 16 specifically said that FERC must not ignore the cumulative 17 impacts of their actions. We heard that only from Mark 18 Gallagher just now on the level of environmental standards 19 that PennEast is not meeting.

And quite honestly, it boggles the mind that PennEast is proposing to build a massive pipeline across eight category one streams and yet not have measurable degradation to these streams. The PennEast's proposal clearly violates 404(b) and, you know, as anyone who has dealt with 300-foot buffers to assume that you're not going

to have increased pollution into these waterways, waterways that provide drinking water is ludicrous. And it's up to FERC to be looking not just at this one project, to be looking at the cumulative impacts. And that's why I urge FERC to call a time out, to put a moratorium on new pipeline projects.

7

(Applause.)

8 MR. O'MALLEY: As Senator Bateman said, there are 9 too many pipelines going across this state and no one is 10 looking at their overall impact.

11 Now, of course, if this pipeline was just --12 there are so many reasons to oppose this pipeline based on 13 its environmental damages, but if this pipeline was merely 14 transporting chocolate syrup, there would still be massive 15 reasons to oppose it. And the biggest that FERC needs to 16 acknowledge based on the White House CEQ directive is 17 looking at climate change. And this pipeline fails the 18 climate change test because it's going to double down on 19 fracked gas, it's going to mean more gas power plants all 20 across the state. It's going to mean more methane leakage 21 and it's going to mean we're turning away from clean energy 22 and energy efficiency. And looking at the DEIS FERC is 23 required to look at the conservation elements. Energy 24 efficiency is our best bang for the buck. You get four more 25 jobs when you do from fossil fuel investments. And perhaps

most importantly, these are not speculative jobs. There are 1 2 over 12,000 jobs through energy efficiency over a four-year period. That's what FERC needs to look at. 3 4 This pipeline is a pipeline too far. Please do 5 not build it. Thank you. б (Applause.) MR. SCOTT: Thank you for your comment. 7 8 MS. KOCHHAR: Excuse me, if you will stop speaking loud, we can continue with it. Yes, go ahead, sir. 9 10 (Shouts from audience participants.) 11 MS. KOCHHAR: Just go ahead and start. (Audience talking.) 12 13 MR. GEIBEL: My name is Philip Geibel. 14 P-h-i-l-i-p G-e-i-b-e-l. 15 MS. KOCHHAR: Please. Please respect the 16 speaker. We are losing time in this process. So you want 17 to speak, be cooperative and let the speakers speak and keep 18 your voices outside of this premises. Thank you. MR. GEIBEL: Philip Geibel, P-h-i-l-i-p 19 20 G-e-i-b-e-l. I'm a resident of Holland Township, for 21 globally and the environmental impacts. So I would like to 22 describe a very specific local impact on Holland Township. 23 Hopefully you are familiar with the laws of the 24 New Jersey Supreme Court. Briefly they required that 25 essentially every town in this state provide their fair

share of low and moderate cost housing. The decisions are
 based squarely on federal constitutional law.

3 Holland Township has struggled to comply with this costly mandate. Presently it has an agreement with a 4 5 developer to construct a large number of affordable units б which would satisfy Holland's obligations on a property 7 located where the pipeline would cross Route 519 in Holland 8 Township. The proposed route goes right through the middle 9 of this development. Now, that route through or even along 10 side of the development would make the housing units 11 essentially unsalable at normal market rates.

12 This development must go forward otherwise 13 Holland will have to find other ways to satisfy its 14 affordable housing obligations at a cost that could exceed 15 \$2 million to the residents of that town and rather than 16 concentrate development with straight development to as many 17 as 35 other sites with consequent environmental costs.

I understand that PennEast has been negotiating with the developer but a cash settlement with the developer would only make things worse for Holland. It would give the developer most or all of the expected profit up front and destroy his incentive to proceed with the project.

23 Worse, constructing the requisite number of 24 affordable units requires relatively dense clustering which 25 means public water and sewer hookups are required. Those

resources are strictly limited in Holland and essentially 1 2 all of the remaining capacity has been dedicated to this project. If this project is not built, those resources 3 cannot be easily shifted because there are no other single 4 5 tracts of land available within the sewer and water б districts. This means that if this route is approved 7 Holland could easily challenge that approval in court under 8 federal constitutional grounds including equal protection 9 clause to give Holland the means to preserve the already 10 court ordered rights of New Jersey's economically 11 disadvantaged citizens and an argument that carried great 12 weight. At a minimum the proposed route must be radically 13 altered to avoid this development preferably it should be 14 altered to require that PennEast use an already existing 15 pipeline easement.

16 (Applause.)

17

MR. SCOTT: Thank you for your comment.

MS. HARTFORD: Good evening, my name is Diane Hartford, D-i-a-n-e H-a-r-t-f-o-r-d. I am a resident of Riegelsville, Pennsylvania and I'm greatly alarmed by the proposed pipeline or any pipeline that may be dug here.

The land needed for this proposed pipeline will cut a large swath through forests and vegetation where our most precious commodity, water, resides. We have here creeks and streams of excellent quality.

1 Our watershed is priceless and irreplaceable. 2 Construction would devastate our water as well as 3 the fish, the turtles, the eagles, the osprey, the heron, 4 and the salamanders that live here. It would be an 5 insurmountable tragedy for the animals as well as for the 6 people who choose to live here because of the wild river, 7 excellent creeks, wetlands and drinking water.

8 Any pipeline dug through the canal and the river 9 would shift huge amounts of soil and rock, exposing large 10 areas of land and would cover over other areas destroying 11 the river's banks. The sediment buildup around Cooks Creek 12 would have a grave effect not only for us, but for the 13 people of Philadelphia who stand to lose their drinking 14 water due to this proposed pipeline as well.

15 As for the pipeline itself, it most probably 16 would break and fall into the cave that leads to an 17 underground river flowing north under the Delaware. All of 18 this places enormous value on our great fortune to live here 19 and treasure not only the beauty of our wetlands, springs, 20 and creeks, but believe it to be our responsibility to 21 protect them, not only to safeguard our way of life, but to 22 those who follow.

I believe that as in Denmark, Germany, and other countries natural gas will banned here because it is deadly and that other samer and more effective sources of emergy

will be used to build a stronger economy and a thriving
 middle class.

3 The Delaware River, the last wild river in the east has finally recovered from the devastation caused 4 5 solely by the paper industry. This expensive lesson should have been learned the first time. б I personally fear that our community will turn 7 8 into a wasteland for another's profit. This river is protected by the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act of 1968 for its 9 10 geologic, wildlife, and historic values. I ask you to stop 11 PennEast. Our nation has already asked you to do so. 12 Thank you. 13 (Applause.) 14 MR. SCOTT: Thank you for your comment. 15 MS. ROGGIE: Good evening. My name is Judi 16 Roggie, J-u-d-i R-o-g-g-i-e. I'm a resident of Durham 17 Township and Upper Bucks County, Pennsylvania. I'm right 18 outside of Riegelsville. 19 I'm strongly opposed to the PennEast Pipeline 20 Project because of the numerous negative impacts that it 21 would have on our environment. If it's allowed to go 22 through, PennEast investors will profit, but the citizens 23 will suffer. 24 By its very nature pipeline construction will

always carry severe environmental consequences. It will

25

have a negative impact on our wetlands, groundwater and well
 water as well as cause excess runoff and erosion.

We hear that natural gas is the clean fuel. That may be when compared to other fossil fuels. But fugitive emissions associated with transmission of this gas are a definite health hazard to all living creatures and our endangered species.

8 Clean water is essential to life and 5 percent of 9 the entire United States depends on the Delaware River basin 10 for this precious resource, yet all proposed paths cross 11 high-quality watersheds of the Delaware River. How can we 12 take this risk, especially given that this pipeline is 13 redundant? The Litey Southeast expansion begins and ends at 14 the same points as PennEast is proposing. Can't they buy 15 capacity from them instead of more countryside?

And worse yet, all proposed paths cross the river directly under a national historic landmark, the Delaware State Canal Park and its tow path and national recreation trail.

I believe that everyone has a right to a healthy life, a right to experience the beauty of nature, and also a right to visit and learn about the history of our nation first-hand at historic sites.

In Pennsylvania we have an environmental rightsamendment to our constitution and it includes this one

sentence that I'll ask you to listen to: Public natural resources are the common property of all the people including generations yet to come. So let's remember the generations yet to come. This project has so many negative impacts, especially to the environment, that it must come to an end and never regress beyond this prefiling stage. Thank you.

8 (Applause.)

9 MR. SCOTT: Thank you.

The next four speakers, Nancy Tate, John Walsh,
 Susan Grimshaw and P.J. Conway and Emile.

MR. DeVITO: Thank you. My name is Emile DeVito,
manager of Science New Jersey Conservation Foundation. I
greatly appreciate the opportunity to speak.

15 I'm going to elaborate a little bit more on a 16 couple of natural resource issues that have been developing 17 over the course of the evening.

Earlier you mentioned endangered species in your introductory comments. I believe it was Joan from Holland Township that had mentioned that standard that threatened species and talking about the Highlands Act.

Actually, the pipeline route -- every route that's been proposed -- crosses numerous acres of public trust lands that have been purchased by the people of the state of New Jersey, the state, the counties, the towns, and 1 nonprofit organizations. Every one of those lands is 2 protected by the Green Acres covenants and all rare species must be given consideration when those lands are proposed 3 for other uses. That doesn't just include threatened and 4 endangered species, that includes all rare and special 5 б concerned species in both the Green Acres rules and the 7 Highlands rules. And there are over 1,000 special concerned 8 species in New Jersey.

9 The folks who are proposing the pipeline don't 10 have the foggiest idea what's out there. They would have to 11 do surveys for the next two years, full season surveys to 12 find all the rare plants and rare animals that inhabit the 13 proposed pathways.

14 Just one example is the Ted Siles Preserve at 15 Bald Pate Mountain which is now on the proposed route in 16 Mercer County. That forest contains numerous forest 17 interior, neotropical, long-distance migrant birds, their 18 breeding territories, they're all declining species, they're 19 all under special concern lists, and they have no other 20 habitat in the county. That's the only big patch of forest 21 that supports the diminishing population. If that pipeline 22 goes through Bald Pate Mountain their territories will be 23 destroyed and there's no other place to mitigate for those 24 birds. It's absolutely impossible. The population could 25 easily be pushed into the threshold valley where it has a

local population and those birds go extinct. Any attempts at creating forests or managing forests somewhere else would be like trying to providing a life insurance policy for somebody who is already dead. It's absolutely impossible to mitigate for those species. And there's no theory that can be drawn up to actually overcome that thesis.

Now, secondly, there are another -- Bold Pate Mountain is just one example of what's called "historic forests" and this gets to Mr. Gallagher's comments about the public trust resources and aesthetics and the natural resource values that go beyond just regulations.

12 Historic forests have undisturbed soil. 13 Undisturbed soil which has never been farmed, which has 14 never been plowed, which has never been overgrazed, and 15 those soils support natural communities that still contain 16 remnant populations of species that go back for centuries. 17 Any kind of construction project that damages the soil can't 18 be mitigated. You cannot put back an historic soil. It is 19 physically impossible. You need another ice age and another 20 millennium to go by before that can happen.

21 When you lose those soils, you lose the species, 22 you lose the populations of plants and you can't mitigate 23 for it.

Now, the New Jersey Conservation Foundation has
been working in Hunterdon, the Wikeshokie project, the

1 Wikeshokie Creek Project for 35 years. We have assembled 2 literally hundreds of acres of preserved forests and 3 farmlands on steep slopes, pristine streams, agricultural conservation easements, but it's especially the steep slopes 4 5 and the forests, many of them on historic soil. There are б maps and aerial photos that go back to the 1800s where you can identify these historic soils. And that's where 7 8 PennEast -- you could direct PennEast to start looking for all of the dozens, if not hundreds of species that are 9 10 protected by the public trust doctrine in New Jersey that 11 they have to protect. And until they even find those 12 species, they cannot begin to contemplate the massive 13 environmental destruction that they're going to cause that 14 they can't mitigate for it throughout the course of this 15 project. 16 Thank you very much. 17 (Applause.) 18 MR. SCOTT: Thank you. 19 Nancy Tate? 20 MS. TATE: My name is Nancy Tate, T-a-t-e. I 21 Live in Riegelsville, Pennsylvania. My heart breaks to hear

22 what the man was just saying.

In a few weeks, I will slow down, open my car window and listen as I drive on Skiles Valley Road pass County Line Road, leaving North Hampton County and joining

Bucks County on my way to my mother in Riegelsville. I will
 be listening for the peepers that live there and provide the
 joyous annual announcement that spring is here.

This year the joy will be tempered with worry 4 5 about the fate of those tiny peepers should the destructive б pipeline proposed by PennEast invade Skiles Valley. What happens to peepers when a pipeline invades their home? I 7 8 had a long list of worries about the fate of which much that 9 I hold dear as the pipeline prevails, deer, raccoon and fox, 10 threatened stone remnants of old lime kiln that share 11 history and the reality that the fields on which the 12 pipeline has its sights are prone to sink holes. And by 13 multitudes of geese, osprey nesting near the Delaware within 14 sight of the proposed crossing point for the pipeline over 15 the Delaware. That's your extinction due to right-nosed 16 syndrome living in a cave not far from the pipeline --17 pipeline route the fragile canal and towpath. Our quiet 18 town filled with resilience and acts of community. The 19 worries would grow by thousands and all the people living 20 along the proposed 100-plus-mile pipeline route could share 21 what they want to protect from the ravages of the pipeline 22 assault.

I, like many, celebrate the Environmental rights
Amendment to the Pennsylvania State Constitution which reads
in part, "The people have a right to clean air, pure water,

and to the preservation of the natural, scenic, historic, 1 2 and aesthetic values of the environment." The PennEast Pipeline would violate every phrase and sentiment in that 3 4 document.

5 To me it is totally unjust that gas company б moguls can simply draw lines on a map to prepare their 7 greedy scheme for exporting natural gas. There's a plan that 8 violates property rights, open space protections,

9 environmental rights, and threatens the health and safety of thousands of people. The pipeline damage will be permanent 10 11 and irreparable.

I work in Bethlehem, I consume that city's 12 13 excellent water. Who will protect the Penn Forest and Wild 14 Creek Reservoirs supplying Bethlehem water from the damage 15 of the construction, loss of forest cover, herbicides, and 16 pipeline explosions if the pipeline is approved.

17 I want to state that as good as these scoping 18 meetings have been, they have been no where near inclusive. 19 Advertisings for the meetings were non-existent. The 20 meetings were too distant or intimidating for many of those 21 concerned. The massive impact of the proposed pipeline 22 should have been matched by an equally massive effort by 23 FERC to hear from affected citizens.

24 (Applause.) (Cheering.)

25

MS. TATE: I have written copies of my statement

1 and documents.

2 MR. SCOTT: Thank you for your comment. 3 John Walsh. MR. WALSH: My name is John Walsh, W-a-l-s-h. 4 5 I'm a business representative for the International Union of Operating Engineers, Local 825. We represent 6,500 men and б women in New Jersey, parts of New York, and Pennsylvania. 7 8 Our members are highly experienced in pipeline construction 9 and this project could mean as many as 2,000 construction 10 jobs during it's seven-month construction phase. 11 We have successfully and safely built pipelines 12 in all types of surroundings including environmentally 13 sensitive areas. Our workforce is highly trained and safety 14 is always our priority. However, this project will not 15 provide construction jobs, it will provide important 16 economic boost to New Jersey in the long run. The 17 technology that is built in the state of New York pipeline 18 like the one that PennEast is proposing provides a safe and 19 efficient delivery system for the energy we need to power 20 our economy.

The PennEast pipeline will help to make sure that the growing demand for natural gas in New Jersey is met by providing the link to the fastest growing natural gas producing state in the United States. It will lower the costs for New Jersey businesses as well as residents like

1 our members.

| 2 | Our members don't just work here, we live here, |
|----|---|
| 3 | raise our families here, recreate here, this isn't just |
| 4 | about creating construction jobs. We also know the benefits |
| 5 | of a safe, reliable energy source for the communities. |
| б | Pipelines are the safest and the most reliable way to |
| 7 | transport natural gas. In addition pipeline transmission |
| 8 | also is more efficient than transporting by rail or truck. |
| 9 | This reliable source of affordable energy will also help to |
| 10 | retain and attract businesses that keep our state |
| 11 | competitive. |
| 12 | New Jersey can't see the economic growth we need |
| 13 | without the basic infrastructure that companies need to run |
| 14 | their operations. |
| 15 | This isn't just about temporary jobs, it is about |
| 16 | keeping our economy moving. |
| 17 | I would like to voice the support of the members |
| 18 | of the International Union of Operating Engineers, Local |
| 19 | 825. I would ask that the Federal Energy Regulatory |
| 20 | Commission approve the PennEast pipeline. |
| 21 | Thank you. |
| 22 | (Applause.) (Cheering.) |
| 23 | MR. SCOTT: Thank you for your comment. |
| 24 | (Applause.) |
| 25 | MS. KOCHHAR: Excuse me, please (inaudible). |

1 (Applause.) 2 MS. KOCHHAR: I'm going to make a big request here for everybody in support or against the project. 3 Please do not waste our time. Let the speaker speak, 4 5 respect the speaker, you want to give opportunity to others б to speak and without me coming in between. Please hold your clapping, hold your booing to yourselves and do it outside, 7 8 not inside this place. Thank you. 9 MS. GRIMSHAW: My name is Susan Grimshaw. I live 10 on Shier Road in Holland Township and my home is less than 11 1,000 feet from the place where the Elizabethtown Gas 12 Pipeline ruptured last month. My feeling is that gas 13 pipelines are about as safe as a vacation resort in Syria 14 right now. 15 (Laughter.) 16 MS. GRIMSHAW: I feel a lot less safe in my home 17 than I used to. And I feel this project should not move

19 three minutes.

18

20 PennEast is scaled to perform anything beyond a 21 cursory review of the environmental issues involved in the 22 proposed route and they have been oblivious to the important 23 fact that all of Holland Township is encompassed by 24 Highlands Planning and Preservation Areas where so much of 25 New Jersey's drinking water originates.

forward for more reasons than anyone can explain in just

Holland is already categorized as a community with a groundwater deficit the the serious disturbance of irreplaceable watershed land is only one aspect among many serious environmental dangers posed by the proposed pipeline.

6 PennEast has submitted completely inadequate and 7 biased environmental analyses that ignore the real threats 8 to our sensitive environmental areas while also grossly 9 exaggerating the supposed economic benefits and lying about 10 the ultimate destination of that gas.

11 PennEast has mounted a disingenuous publicity 12 campaign boasting the creation of over 10,000 new jobs when 13 the fine print reveals many of those jobs will be filled by 14 specialized workers from outside the state and after a few 15 months only ten permanent jobs will remain in New Jersey. 16 In no way do those ten jobs justify the disturbance and 17 destruction of irreplaceable agricultural lands and wooden 18 open space.

And while I personally do not hold title to any of the preserved farmlands so tragically impacted by this project, my taxes paid for the purchase of the development rights so I and every other taxpayer in my community we are indeed stakeholders in this process.

If this Commission decides to rubberstamp
PennEast's application, I think PennEast should be forced to

repay every penny of tax that Hunterdon County residents
 spent for land preservation in these impacted areas since
 the program's inception.

4 These farms were supposed to be preserved for 5 agricultural use only and allowing private industry to б steamroll over those rights and restrictions is tantamount 7 to a billion dollar fraud. PennEast claims its gas will be 8 for eastern Pennsylvania and New Jersey customers, but the 9 UGI is a major principle behind this project and according 10 to their 2014 annual report almost one-sixth of their net 11 income was derived from overseas markets in 16 western and 12 central European countries.

13 Spectra Energy Partners' website states, "one of 14 their economic goals is supporting the export of natural gas 15 supplies beyond North America." So they are being dishonest 16 when they do not disclose that millions of gallons of this 17 gas is going to end up overseas. And they should not be 18 allowed to use eminent domain to get their product to more 19 profitable, overseas markets. Thank you.

20 (Applause.)

21 MS. KOCHHAR: Thank you.

22 MR. SCOTT: Thank you for your comment.

After P.J., the next four speakers, Janine
Mickels, Ken Collins, Jack MacConnell, and Carla Cielo.

25 MR. CONWAY: My name is Patrick Conway,

1 C-o-n-w-a-y. I'm a resident of Holland Township. And the 2 proposed pipeline will be moving -- will be within a couple 3 thousand feet of my home.

I am here and I'm not going to be as long as the other speakers. They are much better prepared and much more detailed than I have. I would just encourage the Commission to please pay special attention to the written testimony submitted by Dr. Onstad of Princeton University. He's a geologist. He was in 2007 rate on Time Magazine's 100 most influential Americans.

His thesis -- or not his thesis, his study that he sent to the Commission, and I would encourage everybody in the room to go to website and get a copy of the study, indicates that the very act of digging the pipeline will disturb the bedrock in Holland Township and will cause arsenides to be released from the bedrock and into the wells of the people in Holland Township.

Those arsenides are almost impossible to mitigate. It means if the pipeline is dug and the worst case happens, your well is poisoned. You have no way -never mind saying that the real estate gentleman said that you could have depressed values, you would have zero value when your well -- we are all well dependent -- when you well is dry, so is your house.

25 Thank you very much.

1

(Applause.)

2 MR. SCOTT: Thank you.

3 MR. COLLINS: I'm Ken Collins, C-o-l-l-ins. Everybody listen up. I've got to read this. I'm a 25-year 4 5 union worker and a former United States Army Sergeant and I oppose the PennEast Pipeline in its entirety. б I want to thank New Jersey Resources, the parent 7 8 company of PennEast Partners and J. R. Pipeline Company and 9 New Jersey Natural Gas who blew up a house in New Jersey the 10 other day, graphically illustrating the unsafe nature of 11 natural gas. I'm here tonight to expose PennEast's 12 13 vulnerability. I oppose the PennEast Pipeline in its 14 entirety and I support the no-action alternative 15 exclusively. PennEast's Achilles Heel is what I'm going to 16 tell you about, but I'll do it with my back facing the 17 federal officials who clearly are not hearing us. 18 On behalf of the community, I would like to say 19 to PennEast, welcome to New Jersey, now go home. 20 (Applause.) 21 MR. COLLINS: You see, PennEast wants the 22 landowner to be terrified by two little words, eminent 23 domain. But I'm here to tell you the truth and the truth is 24 that PennEast is terrified of eminent domain. 25 Here's the deal. If you landowners stand your

ground, if you all stand together and deny PennEast access 1 2 to your land, PennEast will simply give up and walk away. Landowners, if you all post no-trespassing sign around the 3 4 circumference of your property and deny all access to 5 PennEast and its contractors, it forces them to take all of б you to court for eminent domain proceedings and the backlash of bad publicity they would face, the sheer number of 7 8 eminent domain cases would be too much for them to contend 9 with and that is a secret that they don't want you to know. 10 They cannot win if all of you landowners force 11 eminent domain. If you stand firmly together the most

12 effective weapon in your arsenal becomes eminent domain. 13 That's PennEast's worst nightmare. This is a key integral 14 part of the legal strategy to beat this pipeline. With just 15 over half the route surveyed -- and hear me -- half the 16 route cohabitating with other infrastructure, most 17 landowners have denied PennEast access. Do not let them on 18 your property. FERC is here to help PennEast get this 19 pipeline built as quickly and as cheaply as they can. 20 That's what they do.

FERC Commissioner Philip Moeller was an energy industry lobbyist before his appointment. Cheryl LeFleur, the Chairman, was acting CEO of National Grid, USA, whose subsidiaries include Boston Gas Company and Colonial Gas Company. These people are all revolving door personnel from

1 the very industry they are tasked to regulate and they 2 advocate burning more fossil fuel when they know it is 3 killing us. That is just plain stupid.

They are not going to do anything about it. It's up to us. We have to make them take action. It is time to rebel against this fossil foolishness.

Recently in reference to National Gas Pipeline 7 8 Resistance FERC Chairman Cheryl LeFleur said, FERC has a 9 problem and the problem is they keep forcing these projects 10 down the throats of our communities. Today the Nebraska 11 landowner who took TransCanada to court succeeded in halting 12 eminent domain proceedings to take their land and the 13 proposed Keystone XL pipeline. Well, FERC, this ain't 14 Nebraska, this is New Jersey. And we're not afraid of 15 eminent domain here either.

16 (7

(Applause.)

17 MR. COLLINS: This is the place, now it the time 18 to take this stand. We will stop the PennEast Pipeline, but 19 only if you landowners refuse to buy into the fear of 20 eminent domain that PennEast wants to desperately instill in 21 each of you. We can't count on FERC or PHMSA to listen to 22 us and take the bold step of rejecting this project. 23 Landowners, the power is in your hands. Just say no. Force 24 eminent domain proceedings and send PennEast home without a 25 pipeline. Stand your ground. We will all stand with you

1 and send them home.

2 (Applause.) MR. SCOTT: Thank you for your comment. 3 MR. MacCONNELL: Hi, I'm Jack MacConnell. 4 I am 5 the emergency management coordinator for Kingwood Township. б M-a-c-C-o-n-n-e-l-l.At milepost 87 on their map, they intersect 7 8 Buckeye Pipeline. Buckeye Pipeline has an 18-inch and a 9 20-inch petrochemical pipe system. If they intersect there, 10 they're right next to the JCPNL transmission line from 11 Gilbert to Rosemont and this is a prime target for any kind of clandestine terrorism. All you have to do is park on 12 13 Gray Hill Road and walk 450 feet and you will be right at 14 the site of that intersection. 15 The problem we have is we have no police. We

have state police, they can do what they can, but they are not there every day. We have to have something done in regards to either protecting that or making sure that it will not be a target.

If you put fences up, they'll send a drone over. If you take or a satchel charge that gets thrown right over the top of the fence and it's right in there.

If this goes up and blows up, you're going to have the same kind of crater, if not bigger, than in Edison. You're also going to look at major pollution problem because

the petrochemicals will be coming out and it will be --1 2 because of the amount of compressed gas coming out next to those pipes, and it pulls that fluid right out. And it goes 3 right down to Copper Creek, right down to the Delaware. And 4 5 it's very close to the Delaware. So I think you should think about either making б 7 them have some kind of protection program or eliminate it 8 altogether. 9 Thank you very much. 10 (Applause.) 11 MR. SCOTT: Thank you for your comment. MS. CIELO: I'm Carla Cielo. I'm here 12 13 representing Holland Township Historic Preservation 14 Commission. The proposed pipeline route traverses 13 15 locally significant historic, 19th century farmsteads. 16 Eight of which are proposed farm -- are preserved farmland 17 and all of which maintain an integrity of place. 18 The scar of the pipeline will visually destroy 19 this integrity. 20 I am submitting photographs, survey forms, 21 drawings, and descriptions of all 13 farmsteads and a map to 22 indicate their location on the proposed route. 23 The 13 farmsteads form the nucleus of two rural 24 historic landscape districts. The proposed -- attract 25 district that has resources that date from 1790 through the

1930s. And the Buns Valley Historic District that has 1 2 resources that date from 1806 through 1930s. 3 The Buns Valley District has a SHPO certificate 4 of eligibility. These resources cannot be replaced and 5 represent 15 years of work to preserve them. б In addition, the proposed pipeline crosses the 7 Delaware River from Durham Township just above the historic 8 district which dates to 1741 and which was placed on on the national -- the state and national register of historic 9 10 places in 1974. 11 The historic district connect Holland Township 12 with Durham Township and their association with the 1727 13 blast iron furnace that is a colonial era furnace. 14 And Durham Township is a preserve in our 15 district. 16 The proposed half of the pipeline through Holland 17 Township has no highways and no shopping malls and there is 18 very little modern development. It is a rural, 19 agricultural, historic landscape which we want to preserve 20 for future generations. 21 I am also submitting -- of course, I am most in 22 favor of no build. But, I am also submitting an alternative route collocating with power lines that have already 23 24 disturbed the landscape and by collocating, it will reduce 25 the visual impact on our historic farmland. And since I

have a minute left, just as discussed, how we can't trust 1 2 PennEast, I talked to the guy out there on the table about collocating and he said that we're doing everything we can 3 to lessen the impact on environmental and historic and 4 5 everything. So in Holland Township there's a wooded slope that's called Gravel Hill and Gravel Hill is cut by about б four power lines already. So don't you think PennEast would 7 8 choose one? No, they put a new proposed within 100 feet 9 from an existing power line. Makes totally no sense. I'm out of time. Thank you very much. 10 11 (Applause.) 12 MR. SCOTT: Thank you for your comment. 13 And then after Ms. Nichols, the next four 14 speakers, Lane Britten, Melissa Drozdoff, Holly Low, and

15 Scott Johnson.

16 MS. NICHOLS: My name is Janine Nichols and my 17 partner and I Tim Siberman are land owners in Stockton, New 18 Jersey. For the past six years and Tim an artisan architect 19 who is building by hand on available weekends, a modest 20 retirement for us in Delaware Township. That house replaces 21 a dilapidated wreck that one scarred a three-quarter acre --22 Creek in a pristine waterway included in the 4,000 acre and 23 Creek preserve of the New Jersey Conservation Foundation. 24 Another side of the lot is bounded by taxpayer funded forest 25 preserve.

1 When the land was purchased, it was impossible to 2 imagine the historic landscape of working farmland replete with protected waterways, historic forests, and colonial 3 stone buildings. There are eight historic districts on the 4 5 state and national registers of historic places in Delaware б Township. Would one day be subjected to the desecration that the PennEast Pipeline will bring in defiance of the 7 8 extraordinary physical, emotional and financial investments 9 of generations of landowners.

10 The proposed pipeline serves none of their 11 interests, only in the short-term profits of those peddling 12 unsustainable fossil fuel fuel from the Marcellus shale to 13 the Atlantic Coast, to the benefit of no one but the 14 peddlers.

15 At a recent informational meeting PennEast 16 representatives reluctantly admitted that our house would be 17 in the kill zone which they prefer to call an impact zone. 18 In the event of an explosion, an eventuality that we were 19 assured was extremely unlikely to occur. The fact is that 20 there is on average a devastating gas explosion every month 21 in the United States not counting incidents. Therefore we 22 are not comforted by the reassurances of midlevel representatives of PennEast acting as a sales force, but the 23 24 proposed pipeline will not be near our house and omit odors 25 or explode, nor are we comforted by the DOT representative's

1 remarks about PHMSA's requirements to ensure compliance with 2 minimum safety standards. I would like to enter into the record a hastily put together list of pipeline -- of gas 3 4 explosions of a catastrophic nature since August of 2013. 5 Duquesne, Illinois, Ripley, New York, Harper City, Oklahoma, Moon Township, Pennsylvania, Fallensby, West Virginia, St. б Louis, Missouri, Brentwood, California, Louisville, 7 8 Kentucky, Greensboro, North Carolina, Chicago, Harlem in New 9 York City, Bettsville, Ohio, Plymill, Kansas, Augusta, 10 Georgia, and most notably Ewing, New Jersey, and Stafford, 11 New Jersey. 12 Thank you. 13 (Applause.) 14 MR. SCOTT: Thank you for your comment. 15 MS. BRITTEN: Lane Britten, L-a-n-e 16 B-r-i-t-t-e-n. I'm going to start out by saying how proud I 17 am to be born and bred in New Jersey. 18 I'm going to read. We live on the Woodlands farm 19 in Hunterdon County. We implore a no-action, no-build 20 judgment for the PennEast pipeline. 21 Due to FERC's position in serving the citizens, 22 the number of scoping meetings and length of intervention 23 time has been unsatisfactory. There is a need for 24 responsibility, accountability and extended time in granting 25 pipeline requests.

1 The Indiana Bat and the American Bald Eagle were 2 placed on the federal endangered species list in 1967. Natural habitats for both are verified to be along the 3 proposed pipeline path. Our topography requires maintaining 4 5 a delicate balance in natural resources. A 10 percent б change for a watershed will affect drinking water. A 25 7 percent change created by removing vegetation, compacting 8 soil, or blocking natural flow with impassable objects will 9 completely degrade a waterway. FEMA could halt flood 10 insurance to properties with natural gas leases. The 11 drastic flooding along the Delaware River causes a need for 12 further investigation.

Developers of this pipeline are private entities. Responsibility of disaster insurance coverage needs to be determined and should include the cost to train and certify first responders in pipeline accidents. Resolving sources of funding for clean up from a disaster should be addressed.

The amount of permanent jobs claimed on filed by PennEast is inflated, thereby insulting the intelligence of the people in our area. The ugliness of their approach is obvious. In a proponent's website posting that local high schoolers are mind-numbed robots because of their action in environmental concerns. These children inherit

24 responsibilities of maintaining the planet and dealing with 25 the choices were are making today. We will not cosign the

expansion of an industry refusing to make public the toxic 1 2 chemicals and work practices used in mining a limited resource. This is criminally negligent land use. People, 3 4 animals, vegetation and livelihoods are dying. The earth is 5 literally shaking. б We ask that you promote self-sustaining energy, 7 solar glass, roof tiles, solar windows and blinds. 8 Paris, France is installing energy generating 9 wind trees within the city this spring. We are for a no 10 action, no alternative answer to PennEast's proposed 11 destruction of land and life. 12 Thank you. 13 (Applause.) 14 MR. SCOTT: Thank you for your comment. 15 MS. DROZDOFF: My name is Melissa Drozdoff, it's 16 D-r-o-z-d-o-f-f. I'm a lifelong resident of Hunterdon 17 County and I'd like to thank PennEast for not -- for only their supports -- for about two hours, from 6 to 8 p.m. 18 19 because they --20 (Applause.) (Cheering.) 21 MS. DROZDOFF: -- in the back for those of us who 22 were sitting there, so thank you for at least being cheap on 23 that point. 24 (Laughter.) 25 MS. DROZDOFF: The planned PennEast Pipeline that proposes a 36-inch wide and a 50-foot wide easement to be built and operated within Hunterdon County. My purpose is to go on record as a concerned citizen not only residing in Hunterdon County, but living on the one planet we all place our feet on.

The issue of fossil fuel production being a б 7 contributor to climate change is being presented in public 8 news on a daily basis. Although not educated in the field, 9 and I won't use specific scientific rhetoric, as a lay 10 person I have researched this in hopes of finding the 11 correlation between climate change and fossil fuel 12 production. From my perspective, the mining of fossil fuel 13 is not alone responsible for advent of climate change. 14 There is little doubt that the increasing devastation and 15 transmutation causes has many contributing factors. But by 16 the same token, evidence is clear that carbon dioxide 17 emitting from the hydraulic fracturing process does add a 18 proponent to the extreme weather conditions experienced 19 worldwide at this time.

20 Governments around the world cannot come to 21 agreement on how to address this situation. Therefore we 22 have no solution to help the climate heal from this 23 overload.

There's no debating that the earth is in worse condition today than it was before the industrial revolution

1 150 years ago. An agreement has been reached on that fact. 2 We the majority of people here today request a moratorium on this development immediately. Locally animal 3 4 and plant species are endangered because their natural 5 habitat is eroding. Not only is this source of food б changing from water and soil erosion, additionally locations 7 that enabled them to be nurtured and prosper are being 8 robbed from them for industrial purposes. This system is 9 not working and needs to change.

Water is used at an alarming consumption rate for fracking specifically. Not only is the process draining our reserves, it is also changing out supply with chemicals used in the process which are being injected directly into our soil. These injections allow chemicals to seep into our tables and promotes -- for any well in the area.

16 The flagrant disrespect shown here is reflected 17 in the thought that ten permanent jobs from PennEast for New 18 Jersey is worth destroying farms, river beds, state parks 19 owned by taxpayers, all for the promise of saving a few 20 dollars for one single generation of people.

The price today's youth will pay is grotesquelyhigher than that.

23 Kindly think about allowing this or any other
24 pipeline in the United States to be built. I implore you to
25 be responsible and accountable to not only the people in

this room, but to those who will be following us seven
 generations ahead.

3 (Applause.)

MR. SCOTT: Thank you for your comment.
MS. LOW: Hi, my name is Holly Low. H-o-l-l-y
L-o-w. I live in Frenchtown, New Jersey. I'm a member of
the Frenchtown Environmental Commission. And there are
several of us here on behalf of the Commission.

9 We object to the PennEast pipeline and we're in 10 support of the no-action alternative. We also object to 11 FERC's recent clarification policy, quote, "The Commission 12 will continue to take into account as a factor of its 13 consideration the overall benefits to the environment of 14 natural gas consumption." End quote.

We urge FERC, consider the single greatest threat to our environment, global warming. According to a recent study in the Journal of Nature, the natural gas boom will actually increase overall carbon emissions and squeeze out renewable energy sources.

The methane leaked from drilling sites even on lower estimates will drive global warming too. So if FERC is to write a true environmental impact review of PennEast it must include the scientific data.

Now, to the more immediate impacts to Frenchtown.
We are located on the Delaware, Alexandria is north of us,

and Kingwood is east of us. The potential impacts are huge because we are directly downstream. The proposed route crosses two creeks that flow through protected nature preserved in Frenchtown and drain into the Delaware. These creeks are classified as category one. Any construction or operation that negatively impacts these creeks will violate the Clean Water Act.

8 The proposed route goes over half of the C1 9 protected Nishasacowit Creek tributary. So construction 10 would destroy it.

Finally the Nishasacowit flows through Frenchtown's playground. My two small boys and hundreds of families in the area enjoy this creek all year long. It's unthinkable to imagine it was harmed by surface water pollution during construction.

16 So PennEast claims this is all worth it because 17 it will create and support jobs. I got the flyer in the 18 mail. They are pitting environmentalists against unions 19 again. Yes, we desperately need good construction jobs.

I care about that guy's job. I'm not joking. We should all care about people trying to get work in this economy. But PennEast and the fracking boom is not the answer. Because you know what threatens jobs in the economy more? Global warming. More dependence on fossil fuel means more threats. This means more unemployment. In the wake of

Super Storm Sandy, 86,000 jobs were cut from payrolls.
 Weather events in 2011, the year of Sandy and other
 record-breaking weather cost taxpayers \$52 billion. And low
 income and working families bear the brunt of climate change
 because they have a harder time recovering from the damage
 done by extreme weather.

7 We cannot afford PennEast and more natural gas8 infrastructure.

Walter Reuther the President of United Auto 9 10 Workers said, quote, "The labor movement is about that 11 problem we face tomorrow morning, damned right. But to make 12 that the sole purpose of the labor movement is to miss the 13 main target. What good is a dollar an hour more in wages if 14 your neighborhood is burning down? What good is another 15 week's vacation if the lake you used to go to is polluted? 16 You can't swim in it and the kids can't play in it." End 17 quote.

18 Studies show cleaner energy sources like solar 19 will create more jobs in a fossil fuel economy. I'm here to 20 say if you are against PennEast, you also need to call an 21 elected official to promote green energy construction jobs 22 for our state. And here's an idea for jobs. Why doesn't 23 PennEast put people to work fixing aging, dangerous, natural 24 gas pipelines? Because jobs is not their priority.

25 (Applause.)

1 MS. LOW: Despite the flyers. They care most 2 about their profit at all cost. 3 FERC, please use your power to lead the way to cleaner, greener energy. 4 5 Thank you. б (Applause.) MR. SCOTT: Thank you for your comment. 7 8 PARTICIPANT: Do you want to call the next four? 9 MR. SCOTT: Actually, we're going to take a 10 break. 11 PARTICIPANT: Okay. Great. MR. JOHNSON: Scott Johnson, Fifth Street, 12 13 Frenchtown, New Jersey. Lifelong Hunterdon County resident. 14 MR. SCOTT: Can you spell your name, please? 15 MR. JOHNSON: J-o-h-n-s-o-n. Personally I 16 support exclusively a no-action move regarding the PennEast 17 proposed pipeline. Supporting this pipeline is supporting hydraulic fracturing, fracking. The rest of my time 18 19 tonight, I'm speaking on behalf of the Frenchtown 20 Environmental Commission. 21 The proposed pipeline comes within a mile of 22 community wells and very close to the private wells of 26 23 homes in Frenchtown. Some of them half a mile of the 24 proposed construction. Frenchtown homes and businesses get 25 their water from the Brunswick aquifer within which

1 groundwater is stored and transmitted in fractures.

The construction would put our groundwater at risk to pollution sources by opening fractures that don't currently exist and by closing fractures that may be the water yielding fractures our wells rely on.

Additionally, the drilling lubrication fluids and
mud slurry required to construct the pipeline pose serious
risks of contaminating our drinking water.

9 The pipeline would exacerbate Frenchtown's 10 already serious flood issues. Between 2012 and 2014 major 11 stream bed restoration on the Nissisor Creek has been 12 required to damage incurred by flooding. In total it has 13 cost a half million dollars funded by our tax dollars.

The pipeline construction has the potential to undo these expensive restoration projects. Although the PennEast Pipeline Projects tell us its economic benefits to the state of New Jersey, what future costs will fall on the taxpayers when the next major storm comes through?

19 The loss of forests due to the clear cutting of 20 trees in Alexandria Township will increase storm water 21 runoff. The construction of the pipeline would require a 22 great deal of soil compaction further increasing storm water 23 runoff into the creek.

The flood conditions expose the proposed pipeline to scouring, subsequent gas leaks and pollution of our water 1 supply.

2 The risks or erosion and sedimentation are even greater because of the steep cliff bases of the proposed 3 route, increased storm water runoff will mean severe stream 4 5 bank erosion downstream, loss of stream habitat and water б quality issues. It is more cost effective to preserve vegetative 7 8 cover rather than destroy its natural system and later have 9 to replace it with storm water management such as pipes, 10 pumps, storage chambers, et cetera. This is yet another 11 potential burden to taxpayers due to this pipeline. 12 Thank you. 13 (Applause.) 14 MR. SCOTT: Thank you. We're going to take a 15 brief ten-minute break and we'll be right back. 16 (Brief recess taken.) 17 MR. SCOTT: Okay. Our next four speakers are 18 Adrienne Crombie, Anne Anderson, Michael Keady and Kyle 19 Culver. If you could come up to the podium, please? 20 MS. CROMBIE: I am Adrienne Crombie. Are we 21 ready? 22 MR. SCOTT: Give it a minute or so until it 23 quiets down so we can all hear. 24 (Pause.) 25 MR. SCOTT: Okay. Thanks.

1 MS. CROMBIE: I am Adrienne Crombie, 2 A-d-r-i-e-n-n-e, last name, C-r-o-m-b-i-e. I am Secretary of the Frenchtown Environmental Commission. 3 4 The proposed pipeline route crosses an area in 5 Alexandria that borders the others preserve and the б northeast corner of Frenchtown Borough. The permanent loss of habitat and fragmentation 7 8 that would result from a pipeline and the right-of-way

Established in 2000 by the Hunterdon Land Trust and the New Jersey Green Acres Program to protect the Creek, this ecosystem is very in tact, healthy, and relatively free from invasive species according to the Hunterdon Land Trust Steward.

construction would be irreparable.

9

15 Pipeline construction and the right-of-way will cause fragmentation and destroy the habitat for deep forest 16 17 species. The 100-foot right-of-way would create permanent 18 damage on 12 acres per mile. A swath cut into a forest 19 creates a negative impact at a rate of 72 acres per mile. 20 The Nishisacawit Preserve is only 12 acres. 21 Alexandria list theirs as critical wildlife 22 habitat in their environmental resources inventory. Endangered or threatened species that need this kind of 23 24 habitat include the great blue heron, the wood turtle, the 25 bob turtle, the eastern meadowlark, Savannah sparrow,

Cooper's hawk, gosling, wildcat and grasshopper sparrow. 1 2 The landscape project program at the New Jersey DEP has 3 identified the Nishisacawit Preserve and also the Frenchtown 4 preserve because they provide important habitats. The 5 Frenchtown preserve would be impacted by pipeline б construction because the proposed route crosses the little 7 Nishisacawit Creek in Kingwood and this creek flows into the 8 preserve.

9 There are frequent sitings of a pair of bald 10 eagles. It provides a habitat for the American Kestrel, the 11 Northern Harrier, both on the state endangered wildlife 12 list.

13 There is also confirmed siting of the gray 14 butterflies in the Frenchtown Preserve considered very rare 15 in New Jersey.

16 The only reasonable response to this is the no 17 action alternative. And to continue the last part of our 18 statement from the Frenchtown Environmental Commission, Ann? 19 MR. SCOTT: Thank you for your comment.

20 (Applause.)

21 MS. ANDERSON: My name is Ann Anderson, it's 22 A-n-n A-n-d-e-r-s-o-n. I live in North Hampton Township in 23 Pennsylvania, in Williams Township rather, North Hampton 24 County and I'm here tonight to support the Frenchtown 25 Environmental Commission. And also to stress that reaction against this pipeline is not all about NIMBY or not in my back yard. What happens in Frenchtown and all the other places mentioned tonight will happen wherever the 108-mile pipeline ditch will be dug. This is a huge swath of environmental impact upon hundreds of streams and rivers, wildlife, human homes, and drinking water.

7 Much of this impact cannot be mitigated. There 8 is no place on this planet where this pipeline can be done 9 where it isn't in our back yard. It's all our back yard.

10 To continue the Frenchtown statement.

When birds have their nests in a nature preserve and they leave their homes, go on their migratory path and come back and their nesting tree is not there, an entire generation of peach bird is extremely stressed and could fail. If you lose that generation, you risk losing the gene pool of that particular family. This is one reason why bird populations are plummeting worldwide.

Bird diversity is a measurable indicator of the environmental health. They are our canaries in the coal mine. The forest that spans the Nishisacawit preserve has many large stands of healthy hemlock trees which is increasingly rare in New Jersey.

If a large swath is cut through this forest, it will be highly susceptible to invasive species which threaten the future. The right-of-way for the pipeline would be sprayed on a continued basis with the herbicide
 glyphosate. Glyphosate has the potential to contaminate
 surface waters through erosion as it absorbs or clings to
 soil particles suspended in runoff.

5 If Glyphosate reaches surface water it could not6 be broken down readily by water or sunlight.

7 In conclusion, the proposed PennEast Pipeline 8 threatens Frenchtown's drinking water, surface water, and 9 protected habitat and wildlife. And the same goes for every 10 municipality that is impacted or downstream from this 11 project.

Furthermore, the overall environmental impact of multiple pipelines in the region, whether existing or proposed, and there are a lot of them proposed, must be considered.

16 The Frenchtown Environmental Commission urges 17 that FERC deny any approval of the PennEast Pipeline Docket 18 PF-15-1, and that you choose the no-action alternative.

19 Thank you.

20 (Applause.)

21 MR. SCOTT: Thank you for your comment.

22 Michael Keady and Kyle Culver?

23 MR. KEADY: Good evening. I'm Michael Keady and 24 that's M-i-c-h-a-e-l K-e-a-d-y. And I'm the Chairman of the 25 Holland Township Environmental Commission. As our Holland Mayor, Ray Krov, said earlier this evening, our Environment
 Commission -- a project that superimposed the route of the
 PennEast pipeline over many of the maps from the Highlands
 Environmental Resource Inventory, or ERI.

5 Tonight as part of my testimony I'm going to give б you copies of those maps as well as a CD with files. These 7 maps use the Highlands Resource maps, but the background is 8 a block and lot map of Holland and the pipeline route is 9 overlaid. That makes it very easy for the average resident 10 to see the pipeline route in relationship to where they live 11 and how the pipeline endangers the resources we're trying to 12 protect in our community.

Holland Township is the only Highlands Municipality of the pipeline route that has chosen to conform the entire township to the Highlands regional master plan or RMP. That means we have very credible information on environmental resources in our entire municipality, information that has been developed in partnership with the technical experts on the Highlands Council staff.

Holland has been actively working on reforming to the Highlands RMP for more than six years. The state has given hundreds of thousands of dollars in grants to fund this work. Local volunteers have done hundreds of hours of work. The citizens of Holland have sacrificed by moving, for example, to much larger lot zoning in order to ensure

adequate nitrate dilution from septic systems. And now
 comes PennEast feeling that they can slash their way through
 the resources we've worked so hard to protect in cooperation
 with the Highlands Council.

5 Mayor Krov focused on the 23 stream crossings as б the most worrisome cumulative damage, but there are a host 7 of other intrusions upon Highland's resources. One ERI map, 8 for example, shows carbonate rock areas and the pipeline 9 crosses two such areas. The Highlands Council ERI text even 10 says that these areas can be dangerous specifically for 11 natural gas pipelines. The ERI recommends not building on 12 severely constraining slopes, those more than 20 percent. 13 The pipeline intrudes into multiple slopes over 20 percent.

14 Runoff of silt from these slopes will provide 15 another cumulative damage to our streams. The pipeline cuts 16 through high integrity forest areas in several places, 17 leaves fragmentation of the forests and the spread of 18 invasives.

19 The pipeline goes through groundwater recharge 20 areas where compacting from construction equipment can lead 21 to less recharge. This in a township already identified as 22 having a water shortage.

The vast majority of the pipeline route intrudesupon critical habitat mapped in the Highland's ERI.

25 We have given you the best information we have

1 and now we expect the environmental impact statement to 2 demonstrate how the damage can be eliminated. Personally, I don't think it can, and the no-build, no-action option is 3 4 the only way not to destroy what we have worked so hard to 5 protect. б Thank you. 7 (Applause.) 8 MR. SCOTT: Thank you for your comment. It's Culver, our next four speakers are Erich 9 10 Zeh, Danita Park, T.C. Buchanan, and Ann Marshall. 11 MS. CULVER: Hi, my name is Kyle Culver, K-y-l-e 12 C-u-l-v-e-r. I was born and raised in Holland Township. My parents still reside there and they own and operate a 13 14 business since 1978 in the Township. 15 We live on a property that is directly next to a 16 farm where the proposed pipeline is set to run directly 17 through the farm. There's multiple concerns that have been 18 raised this evening and I would echo all of them. 19 The primary concern is clean water. Our town 20 lives by well water. We've experienced disruption in our 21 well due to building properties locally from farmland that 22 was converted into a small development and we know what that 23 is like when there are problems with our well. 24 The preservation of clean and safe water has to 25 be the number one priority for small towns like this that

operate on well systems and that have no other alternative.
You could never bring in enough water to support these
towns. It's not reliable, it's not -- you shouldn't even
think of it as an option.

5 The concerns are also about the fact the proposed 6 pipeline goes through these preserved spaces, farmland, open 7 space, I'd remind the FERC Commission that New Jersey is the 8 Garden State. The Garden State because we have farms. Many 9 of these farms are being disrupted and run through by this 10 proposed pipeline.

11 The fact that when you have a preserved farm 12 you've given up the right to build new buildings on that 13 farm, you've given up the right to develop it in other ways. 14 But yet we can run a pipeline through it, is problematic. 15 I would also encourage the Commission if they 16 haven't been out to the Hunterdon County area, Holland, 17 Kingwood, Frenchtown, Milford, all of the areas, it is full 18 of wildlife. Everybody has mentioned that whether they be 19 from Pennsylvania or New Jersey that these are preserved 20 areas around wildlife is allowed to live amongst people's

21 properties.

The concerns that the pipeline would destroy this wildlife area is huge. We're talking about numerous species. We're also talking about the numerous migratory birds. There's no way you're going to get, mitigate, or rehabilitate the impact that building this pipeline would
 have on the wildlife of the area.

I just encourage the Commission to really take
into account the option, no alternative action, no-build.
Thank you.

6 (Applause.)

7 MR. SCOTT: Erich Zeh.

8 MR. ZEH: Erich, E-r-i-c-h, Zeh, Z-e-h. There 9 are major concerns about how the PennEast pipeline will 10 impact the area both environmentally and culturally. The 11 proposed path as it passes through Holland Township in 12 Hunterdon County, New Jersey will significantly impact the 13 resources.

14 The area crossing Jags Road which is locally 15 known as abundant valley is one of the area's concern. The 16 right-of-way of the pipeline will be clear cut, turned to 17 grassland left devoid of trees forever. This area which is 18 currently tree covered protects many species that depend on 19 this forestation. Without the forest, this highly sensitive 20 area of steep slope with a grade in excess of 45 degrees 21 will be vulnerable to erosion. The unwanted silts and 22 sediments from the eroding slopes will lead directly into 23 the Haikihoki Creek. This creek is designated as a class 24 one, FW1 production stream and is maintained in its natural 25 state. The production stream is home to both regulated and

unregulated aquatic species that would be affected. The
 creek and adjoining wetlands and forests is home to many
 endangered species including land, avian, insects, and
 plants.

5 There are dwellings located along the Haikihok б Creek that will also be affected by the proximity of the pipeline, one of which is the historic three-story, stone 7 8 house built by the Bunn family 208 years ago. In addition 9 there is a rock shelter that was used thousands of years ago by the Lunape Indians. The name Quaqua Creek officially 10 11 known as Haikihoki Creek is of native American origin. Stone artifacts have been discovered on the surface 12 13 throughout this area which the Indians left behind in 14 hunting camps located on the plateau on the mountain.

Many have also been discovered both in and around the creek. Prehistoric sites such as the one just mentioned or found along the pipeline's path leading to the Delaware River, this is the proximity of the Indians'Village.

A huge portion of the PennEast pipeline proposed path cuts through preserved land. New Jersey, Hunterdon County and Highland Township have worked extensively to preserve and maintain these open spaces, wetlands, streams and forests for generations to come.

I urge all members of FERC to oppose any pipeline through this pristine land. Thank you. 1

(Applause.)

2 MR. SCOTT: Thank you for your comment.

3 MS. PARK: Hi, good evening. My name is Danita Park, D-a-n-i-t-a, P-a-r-k. I work for a local company NRG 4 5 Energy. We had the head office in Bloomston, New Jersey and we have three lines of business. We work to provide energy б to NRG business which provides energy to wholesale customers 7 8 on the -- provides energy to retail customers, we'll do things like put solar on rooftops, and we have this consumer 9 10 products company called Goal Zero that provides innovative 11 consumer products, we promote like solar powered consumer 12 qoods.

And finally we have NRG Renew. NRG Renew develops large renewable solutions for utility and commercial customers. You may have seen our solar powered generation station out in California.

I am the director of asset management in the east region for NRG. And while NRG will have no direct ownership in the project, we are a potential customer of the PennEast Pipeline. And as such NRG supports this project.

21 We own several electric power plants in the 22 region and we employ directly 22 staff members at the power 23 plants in New Jersey.

Our electric generating stations. In New Jersey we have one directly in Milford, New Jersey and we have one

unit there that used to be both oil fired and gas fired. 1 In 2 the near future it will run only on natural gas. 3 The PennEast project will provide a more reliable and cleaner source of fuel for this unit. When PennEast is 4 5 in place, our plant will be more reliable on the coldest б winter days of the year and the hottest summer days of the 7 year. 8 This will help reduce interruptions to the power grid. And if NRG has access to this pipeline, we believe 9 10 throughout we'll be better able to support the needs of the 11 local power grid and to the customers and to most of the residents that are here tonight in this room. 12 13 Thank you for your time. 14 MS. KOCHHAR: Thank you. 15 (Applause.) 16 MR. SCOTT: Thank you for your comment. 17 MS. BUCHANAN: Hello, my name is T. C. or Terese, 18 T-e-r-e-s-e, Buchanan, B-u-c-h-a-n-a-n. And I'm not an 19 expert, I'm a landowner. My husband and I live on a 32-acre 20 organic farm in Hunterdon County on Lower Creek Road. Our 21 address is 156 and if anyone from the pipeline company says 22 that they have permission to survey our property, they do 23 not. 24 And I applaud all those who went before me who 25 spoke to PennEast's lack of integrity in what they're

1 telling people and their bullying. I agree 100 percent and I 2 have two paragraphs in my written comments to you all about 3 those things.

4 Our farm is located in the Rosemont Historic 5 district. Our barn is one of the buildings listed in that 6 district, and the pipeline if it wouldn't plow it down, it 7 would come very close.

8 We grow our own food because I'm chemically 9 sensitive, I can't eat food with pesticides, I can' eat beef 10 with hormones and antibiotics. My husband hunts and fishes 11 for the food that we eat. And we grow our own vegetables 12 and fruits.

13 The pipeline will take out all our apple trees, 14 many of our pear trees, some our persimmon trees, it will 15 take out a beautiful stand of very old trees, it will take 16 out part of our woodlot, it will take out wine berries. It 17 will basically take out our portion of our food source as 18 well as the food source for the wildlife in the area because 19 what we don't consume ourselves, or put up for the winter, 20 the wildlife get and they really do appreciate that.

21 Our property is also bordering the Wichichioki 22 Creek and that is a beautiful pristine area very highly used 23 for outdoor recreation. Our road, Over Creek Road is 24 basically a nature path made for outdoor recreation, 25 bicycles, hikers, the creek fishing, and tubers, and really, really adventurous kayakers once in a while. It's a very,
 very beautiful and pristine area. And I would like to ask
 for the protection of it.

I would like to speak a little bit to the jobs 4 5 that PennEast is talking about creating ten jobs. But б nobody is talking about the jobs in agriculture that will be 7 lost, nobody is talking about the landowners who will lose 8 their home, their livelihood, their way of life. We don't 9 have investments beyond our farm. We live off of our land. Our investment is our farm. And PennEast threatens to take 10 11 that and to take away a life that we have chosen so that I 12 can be healthy. And I'm asking you to -- in proximity to 13 our well, it's about 400 feet, and all of neighborhoods' is 14 about 100 feet. So I'm asking you, please don't let this go 15 through and destroy people's lives, people's lands, and 16 people's rights. 17 Thank you. 18 (Applause.)

19 MS. KOCHHAR: Thank you.

20 MR. SCOTT: Thank you.

Ann Marshall, and then the next four speakers are Sarah Snider, Michael Pressel, Patty Croheim, and Katherine Dredsner.

24 MS. MARSHALL: Hi, my name is Ann Marshall and 25 I'm here from Durham Township Pennsylvania -- oh, A-n-n M-a-r-s-h-a-l-l. I'm from Durham Township, Pennsylvania and
 I'm here representing Durham's concerned citizens against
 the people.

4 I'll keep my comment brief since there are so 5 many important people like the woman who just spoke before б me whose, you know, lives are being threatened by this. 7 But I'm here with the simple purpose of 8 presenting you with yet another resolution passed against 9 the PennEast Pipeline with this hope that this matters at 10 all in your discussions. I present this on behalf of 11 hundreds of my friends and neighbors, people affected by 12 this pipeline. The citizens of Durham Township where the 13 pipeline passes through are stunning, historical, preserved 14 lands that we love; lands that residents and township 15 officials have studied, inventoried, and worked hard and 16 paid much to protect, our exceptional value water shed, 17 Cooks Creek, where it threatens our well water. Further, it 18 makes its entry into the spectacular Delaware River that we 19 all love and serves as the water source for 15 million 20 people.

Our environmental advisory committee, our Cooks Creek Watershed Association, our Historical Society have all submitted resolutions detailing all the issues surrounding the pipeline and why it seems impossible that it would be put here. And tonight I add our citizen resolution.

1 We the undersigned landowners and residents of 2 Durham Township, Pennsylvania respectfully assert that the 3 proposed PennEast Pipeline would present a clear danger to 4 our health, safety, water supply, and property values to the 5 environment and to the public. We request that the 6 application for construction of the PennEast Pipeline be 7 denied.

8 In support of our request, we enclose a citizens' 9 resolution on the PennEast Pipeline proposal supported by 10 the majority of landowners and residents in Durham Township 11 and all the resident landowners whose property is included 12 in the pipeline's proposed construction.

13 Thank you.

14 (Applause.)

15 MR. SCOTT: Thank you for your comment.

16 MS. SNIDER: Sarah, S-a-r-a-h, Snider,

S-n-i-d-e-r. Like Ann, I live in Durham Township, I grew up there and I'm now living in that place where I grew up which is preserved land. And I know then through that personal experience that a pipeline on my property would be in direct violation of the agreement that I had in order to have this conservation easement, as it would be for anyone else.

23 My land adjoins over 1,500 contiguous acres of 24 preserved property in Durham Township though there are other 25 parts of Durham that are also preserved, they're just not 1 contiguous.

I'm here tonight as a member of the Durham
Historical Society to read a letter written by David Howepsa
who is the president of the Board of Directors of the Durham
Historical Society. And then I have a copy to give you for
your records.

February 25, 2015, At the most recent meeting of 7 8 the Durham Historical Society, I as the group's president 9 was directed to write this opinion letter to you concerning the proposed PennEast Pipeline. The Durham/Cooks Creek 10 11 Heritage area is of important significance to the history of 12 18th and 19th century America. In addition to providing the 13 riveting picture of nearly 300 years of industrial history, 14 the area is one of rich background including river and canal 15 transport, river ferries, and rail transport all evidenced 16 by currently existing buildings and other structures dating 17 back to Colonial times.

18 Historic mills, verdant and active meadows, 19 pocket orchards, and large agricultural fields portray the 20 area much as it looks during the early days of our nation. 21 Several private graveyards are found in the 22 Heritage area and these include the tombs of noteworthy such as Thomas Long, the recipient of the original deed to the 23 24 area from the Penn family and General Daniel Morgan and 25 Captain George Heimline both recognized leaders in the

1 American Revolution.

| 2 | Not, Capitan Heimline's grave is believed to be |
|----|--|
| 3 | located in the path of the proposed pipeline. In addition, |
| 4 | the area also includes the home of George Taylor, a signer |
| 5 | of the Declaration of Independence. The following |
| 6 | designations have been garnered by or are included in the |
| 7 | area: National Wild and Scenic River status, National |
| 8 | Historic Landmark status, America's Top Ten Most Scenic |
| 9 | Roads, Delaware and Rearton Scenic Byway, Delaware Lehigh |
| 10 | National Heritage Corridor, National Natural Register of |
| 11 | Monroe Fault, National Historic Register Durhamville and |
| 12 | Furnace Site, Pennsylvania Exceptional Value Stream and Cold |
| 13 | Water Fishery, Cooks Creek Watershed and recognition from |
| 14 | Michelle Obama as a Preserve America Community. |
| 15 | It is our opinion that the PennEast Pipeline |
| 16 | Project would have a deleterious effect on the historical |
| 17 | richness evidenced in the area and that the project runs |
| 18 | counter to FERC's own guidelines concerning respect and |
| 19 | consideration to be shown to areas of historical and natural |
| 20 | significance. |
| 21 | Therefore, it is our opinion that PennEast's |
| 22 | application should be denied. |
| 23 | (Applause.) |
| 24 | MR. SCOTT: Thank you for your comment. |
| 25 | MR. PRESSEL: Good evening, my name is Michael |

1 Pressel, P-r-e-s-s-e-l. I'm from Hopewell Township.

Pipelines are being reviewed separate from each other. This
 piecemeal approach is a mistake.

FERC should evaluate the existing network in all proposed projects before accepting applications for pipelines. Right now there's insufficient data to support the need for additional gas New Jersey and therefore public use cannot be established sufficient to justify eminent domain.

Data compiled by the U.S. Energy Information Administration, the EIA, shows that natural gas consumption in New Jersey was less in 2013 than it was in 1997. In 2013 the volume of gas used by Navajo nation consumers was 681.5 billion cubic feet. That includes 221.8 billion cubic feet used to generate electricity.

The EIA's annual energy outlook report for 2014 predicted nationwide growth and demand for electricity to be less than 1 percent annually toward 2040. So where is the increase in demand for natural gas coming from?

20 Remember what you heard early from PennEast. The 21 Pipeline will deliver approximately 1 billion gallons -- or 22 I'm sorry, cubic feet of natural gas per day which means 23 that this pipeline would have been able to provide more than 24 50 percent of the actual statewide consumption in 2013. 25 This is just one pipeline. That doesn't even consider the other proposed pipelines or the fact that these pipelines
 would be supplemental to the existing delivery systems
 already in place that provide ample supply and continuous
 service to New Jersians.

5 The proof of demand fabricated by PennEast, a б company that is made up of a consortium of gas producers 7 cannot be considered reliable. When the PennEast Pipeline 8 was introduced at a meeting in Hopewell, New Jersey last 9 September it was presented as a 30-inch pipeline. When the 10 application was submitted with FERC just weeks later, it 11 became a 36-inch pipeline. That's 44 percent greater volume 12 than proposed just weeks earlier. How could the demand have 13 been so misunderstood?

At the same meeting, PennEast declared that all the natural gas was to serve local networks and it was not for export, just as it was reiterated tonight. But there's not been a natural gas shortage in recent history, nor during major weather events.

19 The process is broken if we rely on solely on 20 companies like PennEast to determine if there is sufficient 21 demand and public use to justify eminent domain.

PennEast is -- future savings in gas prices, yet they've not committed to specific price reductions for consumers. The only known measurable is the amount that gas companies can increase the rates based on the amount of

investment they make in the pipeline. According to the EIA, 1 2 the 30 years preceding 2014, the average annual increase in gas price for commercial and residential clients was 12.63 3 percent and 2.13 percent respectively. According to the 4 5 U.S. inflation and guideline, the average annual inflation rate over the same period was 2.8 percent. So right now as б we sit here in this room without the new pipelines we are 7 8 receiving an ample supply of gas and it is a cheaper 9 commodity than it was 30 years ago.

10 FERC needs to halt this and other pipeline 11 applications pending further coordination and more important 12 until there is substantiation that a public use will be 13 established. That is the constitutional requirement that it 14 takes to invoke eminent domain.

15 Thank you.

16 MS. KOCHHAR: Thank you

17 (Applause.)

MS. CRONHEIM: Hi, my name is Patty Cronheim, that's spelled C-r-o-n-h-e-i-m and I am a coordinator for Hopewell Township Citizens against the PennEast Pipeline. And I'm really delighted to be here up the pipeline with all of my friends because we're all fighting this together. So you all are great --

24 (Applause.)

25 MS. CRONH

MS. CRONHEIM: My minutes have started so I'll

start. I have some very good news I would like to share. I 1 2 am happy to announce that we have very new residents in the neighborhood in Hopewell. The Rosedale Park bald eagles 3 4 that everyone was at last night's hearing saw a photo of 5 have built a nest and they have moved in and we are very б excited about this because these eagles are protected, federally protected by Bald Eagle -- Bald and Golden Eagle 7 8 Protection Act and that supersedes any Endangered Species 9 Act. So they have protection and they have built in. And 10 they will not be happy if they are subjected to PennEast 11 eminent domain I'm sure of that.

12 Specifically I request that the EIS address 13 increased noise and air pollution that will be caused by the 14 PennEast Pipeline. That must include evaluating potential 15 increased pollution from the cumulative impacts when 16 PennEast most likely hooks up with the transcontinental 17 compressor station that it will need in order for its gas to 18 travel beyond Hopewell as we know it will have to do. 19 PennEast's documents filed with FERC are

incomplete and they do not identify any information related to the Transco connection and they really need to be made to point that out and have that be in the EIS. It is a cumulative impact.

Any changes to the Transco system be required as part of the PennEast project. Those impacts which are reasonably foreseeable must be identified and evaluated
 under NEPA.

3 The EIS must also include all impacts related to 4 the interconnection with the Transco Pipeline in Hopewell 5 Township.

6 Increased air pollution and noise of the 7 neighboring community must be carefully evaluated. The 8 federal Bald and Gold Eagle Protection Act make it a federal 9 criminal offense, punishable by \$250,000 and/or a year in 10 jail to disturb bald eagles and their nests. So this is 11 serious business.

12 And I would like to stress that air pollution is 13 a fact of gas pipelines. Methane is not clean energy. 14 Every time someone says "clean energy" I am just reminded of 15 the pork campaign. Pork, the other white meat. It's 16 marketing. It's not true. Methane is 80 percent more of a 17 potent greenhouse warming gas than CO2. And as such, it has 18 to be considered a real threat to any living thing near it 19 and it increases in capacity and the compression station 20 will do that.

And, also, we are witnessing the last desperate attempt of a failing fossil fuel industry to put all these pipelines in the ground and prevent us from moving forward to renewables. Because this is running completely contrary to the 2009 New Jersey law which is called the Global Warming Energy Response Act which goals are to decrease all emissions including methane by 80 percent by 2050. So, the gas industry said, let's all put everything into gas and New Jersey lawmakers are saying, we need to decrease it. This is insanity. We ask for planning and to reject the PennEast Pipeline please.

```
7
```

8

(Applause.)

MR. SCOTT: Thank you for your comment.

9 And then after this speaker we have Nancy Wilson,
10 Don Collure, Tona Granato and Ed Kalicki.

MS. DREDSNER: Good evening. Thank you for providing this opportunity. My name is Katherine Dredsner, I'm an attorney specializing in environmental and land use law. I represent Hopewell Valley Citizens Group and Hopewell Township, Citizens Against PennEast Pipeline. I am standing here on behalf of well over 1,000 residents in the Hopewell Valley and the surrounding region.

I formally request -- I have two major points I want to make. I formally request that FERC provide proper due notice for all stakeholders along the new reroute. These stakeholders were not noticed about the open houses held in November of 2014. And there has been a tremendous amount of confusion about the routing process and what route is in fact being considered.

25

FERC's timeline which has been handed out

specifies the applicant process is to identify stakeholders
 prior to holding open house and prior to scoping. That did
 not happen here. There is a violation of due process
 rights.

5 I request that PennEast file technical data for 6 its reroute and then I ask FERC to notice procedures for all 7 the new stakeholders along the reroute, open houses and 8 scoping period with comment for at least 30 days and an 9 additional scoping meeting within the impacted community 10 which would be Hopewell Township.

In addition, my second point. Before FERC even gets to consider many of the things that people have spoken so beautifully about tonight, I ask that FERC look at the first threshold issue which is public need and the economics of this pipeline.

PennEast has stated in filings to FERC, the purpose of this project is, quote, "a response to market demand in Pennsylvania and New Jersey and to shipping interests for capacity to accommodate increased receipt of natural gas in the region.

The only true part of that purpose is the second, shipping interests. Shipping interests are not a matter of public need or a matter of public interest. They are of private, corporate interest.

```
25
```

How much gas does New Jersey actually need? New

Jersey's total inflow capacity is approximately 3000 billion cubic feet per year. More than two-thirds of New Jersey's inflow capacity is already shipped out to other states. It is not used in New Jersey.

5 In 2013 all New Jersey users used 381 billion 6 cubic feet per year. New Jersey used less than one-third of 7 its current inflow capacity of 3,000 billion cubic feet.

8 According to the U.S. Energy Information Agency, 9 market demand for gas is only growing at 1.6 percent per 10 year whereas inflow capacity has grown and at least 2.1 11 percent per year. Clearly in excess of market demand.

PennEast wishes to transmit more than half again of all gas currently delivered to all users in New Jersey. This is residential, commercial, industrial and power generators.

16 Now, a woman from the company in Princeton talked 17 about two things, resiliency and repowering. Is it through 18 repowering? No. If all coal, petroleum and nuclear power 19 generators in New Jersey are converted to gas, that was just 20 provided by PennEast, there would still be a surplus of over 21 65 billion cubic feet per year. Is it for resiliency at 22 peak demand? No. Peak demand has been designated by the 23 New Jersey Energy State Master Plan as 50 hours per year. 24 We're talking about 50 hours of peak demand per year. 25 Building pipelines and associating infrastructure to serve

| 1 | 50 hours a year of demand is extremely costly and damaging. |
|---|---|
| 2 | MR. SCOTT: Ms. Dredsner, you need |
| 3 | MS. DREDSNER: Can I finish? I'm wrapping up? |
| 4 | Thank you. |

5 The alternative which would be to reduce peak hour demand through energy efficiency programs is the б sensible, rational way to go. If FERC allows building 7 8 pipelines -- excuse me. This data proves that PennEast will transmit surplus gas that is not needed in New Jersey. The 9 10 EIA has also reported expansion projects within service days 11 for 2013 and 2015. These projects will add 3.5 billion 12 cubic feet per day to the New York/New Jersey and regional 13 Mid-Atlantic markets. This is 3.5 billion cubic feet per 14 day does not include PennEast's capacity.

Finally, PennEast has a new shareholder which I'm sure you're aware of called Spectra Energy. Spectra Energy recently got affirm demand contract from New England National Grid which owns 70 percent of the New England Electrical Generating System. Spectra also operates the Maritime and Northeast --

21 MR. SCOTT: Ms. Dredsner, can you wrap it up, 22 please?

MS. DREDSNER: -- pipeline -- I am -- with one
end of Massachusetts and it connects further to proposed LNG
export terminals in Canada.

1 In conclusion there is no market demand in New 2 Jersey or in this entire region for another one billion cubic feet per day. PennEast gas will be surplus gas for 3 its shippers, private corporate profit, not public interests 4 5 and it's not in the public interest to approve this. б You do not have the documentation to find that 7 there is a public need for this gas. Thank you. 8 9 (Applause.) 10 MR. SCOTT: Thank you for your comment. 11 MS. WILSON: My name is Nancy Wilson and I'm a 12 resident of Holland Township, New Jersey. 13 I oppose the PennEast Pipeline project. FERC has 14 asked us to testify about our specific issues concerning the 15 PennEast pipeline. And I will focus my comments on a single 16 mile of this route near my house. In this one mile there are 17 several concerns that should be considered cumulatively. 18 FERC has considered the cumulative negative 19 effect on this -- FERC should consider the cumulative 20 negative effect of the surface and groundwater in this mile. 21 My main focus is on the Haikihoki Creek subwater shed which 22 flows into the Delaware River. 23 The current route is scheduled to cross the creek 24 and its tributaries at least five times. Every time it

crosses the waterway, there is the potential for pollution

25

and sedimentation. Haikihoki is a C-1 stream, the highest
 quality water in New Jersey. It is stocked with trout each
 year. It also recharges our groundwater.

PennEast proposes to cross these creeks that are in the Highlands planning area where there is a recommended 300-foot buffer zone around waterways. PennEast has not stated how they propose to maintain this buffer zone. The only way would be to do horizontal drilling under all of them.

10 The route crosses Spring Garden Road just down 11 the stream from the headwaters of the tributary of the 12 Haikihoki Creek and then parallels upslope from the creek on 13 a steep hillside, thus causing potential for runoff, 14 especially since it runs across the hill, not down it and 15 must be -- for a flat working surface. This makes for a 16 high risk of sedimentation especially during rain storms.

Further along the route there is a wetland associated with the creek. Putting a conduit such as a pipeline through this wetland will change the hydrology of the shallow groundwater system.

It could intercept the water going into the wetland and drying it up. There is a small unmarked stream near this area and it has history of being used for water for cows in the springtime. This may be a vernal pond which is crucial to the growth of amphibians. This area acts as a 1 flood plain during times of high water flow.

2 FERC should investigate the potential for work3 scene flooding below this point.

4 Another concern for safety during the 5 construction phase, both for the workers and the public. б The route crosses on the high tension wires on a slope. There is a history of people getting shocked from static 7 8 electricity under these wires. These 250 kilowatt wires 9 attract lightening which could travel through the ground to 10 the pipeline. It must be engineered to avoid this 11 possibility.

The other safety concern is where the proposed access road enters Route 519. Route 519 is a narrow winding road with a steep cliff on one side and a drop off on the other. The width of the road is restricted here because of an old narrow bridge. It is not an appropriate place for heavy equipment.

18 A further concern is the impact on the 19 residential area. PennEast proposes to place the people on 20 property owned by Mr. (45:37:9) where the pipeline upslope 21 from the stream. This property has been approved for 22 development of affordable housing the township's mandate 23 from New Jersey Council of Affordable Housing. If the 24 development does not go on due to the pipeline, Holland 25 Township has no other way to meet our obligation to the

1 Council of Affordable Housing.

| 2 | In summary, I urge FERC to exercise the no-action |
|--|---|
| 3 | option due to my concerns about water quality, safety, and |
| 4 | impact on our residential area as well as the cumulative |
| 5 | concerns of the others here tonight. |
| 6 | Thank you. |
| 7 | (Applause.) |
| 8 | MR. SCOTT: Thank you for your comment. |
| 9 | MS. GRANATO: My name is Toni Granato, T-o-n-i |
| 10 | G-r-a-n-a-t-o. And I am an administrative assistant for the |
| 11 | New Jersey Sierra Club. Like my colleague Jeff Tittle, I |
| 12 | represent over 100,000 members and supporters in New Jersey, |
| 13 | aka, the Garden State. |
| | |
| 14 | The New Jersey Sierra Club is opposed to the |
| 14 15 | The New Jersey Sierra Club is opposed to the PennEast Pipeline for many reasons because of it's |
| | |
| 15 | PennEast Pipeline for many reasons because of it's |
| 15 16 | PennEast Pipeline for many reasons because of it's environmental impacts and the many violations to federal and |
| 15 16 17 | PennEast Pipeline for many reasons because of it's environmental impacts and the many violations to federal and state laws, some which include the Highlands Act, the State |
| 15 16 17 18 | PennEast Pipeline for many reasons because of it's environmental impacts and the many violations to federal and state laws, some which include the Highlands Act, the State Planning Act, the Wetlands Act and millions of dollars we |
| 15 16 17 18 19 | PennEast Pipeline for many reasons because of it's environmental impacts and the many violations to federal and state laws, some which include the Highlands Act, the State Planning Act, the Wetlands Act and millions of dollars we have spent for open space. |
| 15 16 17 18 19 20 | PennEast Pipeline for many reasons because of it's environmental impacts and the many violations to federal and state laws, some which include the Highlands Act, the State Planning Act, the Wetlands Act and millions of dollars we have spent for open space. FERC has not looked at the impacts under federal |
| 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | PennEast Pipeline for many reasons because of it's environmental impacts and the many violations to federal and state laws, some which include the Highlands Act, the State Planning Act, the Wetlands Act and millions of dollars we have spent for open space. FERC has not looked at the impacts under federal laws either like the Delaware Basin Commission Compact, the |
| 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | PennEast Pipeline for many reasons because of it's environmental impacts and the many violations to federal and state laws, some which include the Highlands Act, the State Planning Act, the Wetlands Act and millions of dollars we have spent for open space. FERC has not looked at the impacts under federal laws either like the Delaware Basin Commission Compact, the Delaware River Wild and Scenic National Park Service |

1 One law in particular I'll talk further about is 2 the Clean Water Act. We believe that this pipeline violates the Clean Water Act and cannot meet the criteria for 401 of 3 4 404 permits. This pipeline cannot meet the requirement for 5 a 401 water quality permit either. The reason is because the amount of high quality streams, wetlands and rivers it б 7 is crossing through. Many of these streams carry 8 antidegradation criteria. The route will cut areas with 9 steep slopes having a bigger impact on streams because of 10 siltation and runoff. The new pipeline route will be 11 crossing where the streams are wider than they were before 12 having a greater impact on streams and flood plains.

Like the mother from Frenchtown spoke earlier, many of these streams are category one, meaning it would be impacting some of the highest water quality waterways in our state. That's irreplaceable. And that's why this permit should not be granted.

The only option we have today is the no-build option. And let me just make a final plea. I'm probably the youngest standing here. I'm 24 years old and this is about also my generation and the generations to come. This is a scoping meeting with the scope of this project being a disaster in the making. This project must be denied.

24 (Applause.)

25

(Apprause.)

MR. SCOTT: Thank you for your comment.

Don Collier and Ed Kalicki. All right. Mark 1 2 Rotenberg, Laura Mirsky, Amy Hansen, and Caroline Katmann. 3 MR. KALICKI: Good evening. My name is Ed Kalicki, K-a-l-i-c-k-i. I am representing the member of 4 5 Steamfitters Local 420. I'm here to ask you to support the б PennEast pipeline project. That is project not only would bring welcome jobs and revenue, but improve the quality of 7 8 life for each of us. In fact, there isn't a person here 9 tonight who in some manner doesn't rely on natural gas or 10 natural gas products, from the cell phones in our hands to 11 the vehicles that brought us here.

12 I know I have only three minutes, so I want to 13 get this to the heart of the comments. And the Steamfitters 14 have worked many years within the industry and I am here to 15 tell you, building the pipeline can and has been done in a 16 manner that is safe for our environment and our community. 17 While some of the opponents of this project will tell you 18 that it is impossible, my experience would counter those 19 claims.

Here are a few things that are mounting up to opposition and won't show you readily. Whether you use this fuel in our cars, manufacturing, feedstock, or powering generation -- generator fuel, the expanded use of natural gas can reduce American Greenhouse gas emissions. Many people will try to argue that natural gas pipelines are

dangerous. But the fact is pipelines are the safest, most 1 2 environmentally friendly and efficient mode of transporting natural gas. This isn't my opinion, it is a fact supported 3 by the U.S. Department of Transportation Pipeline and 4 5 Hazardous Material Safety Administration. That shows that б while natural gas demand has increased to help provide each of us energy including electricity, the frequency of serious 7 8 pipeline incidents has decreased by 90 percent during the 9 last three decades alone. This is because the industry is 10 upgrading and modernizing the pipe and infrastructure 11 incorporating improved safety measures into their 12 operations. 13 As a steamfitter I have witnessed the 14 improvements and in fact have been responsible for ensuring 15 our training programs reflect the quality of the standards. 16 I along with my fellow steamfitters urge you to 17 support the PennEast Pipeline Project, a project that will 18 allow consumers access to a safe, abundant, and clean 19 burning energy source. 20 Thank you

21 (Applause.)

22 MR. SCOTT: Thank you.

23 MR. ROTENBERG: My name is Mark Rotenberg,
24 R-o-t-e-n-b-e-r-g. I live in Holland Township, New Jersey
25 and I am vehemently opposed to the PennEast Pipeline Project

on every level. It should never be built. There's nothing
 clean, safe, or necessary about the gas PennEast wants to
 deliver.

Frackers drill holes in private property forcing
toxic chemicals into the earth, destroying nearby wells,
water tables and rivers. These companies and the federal
government are complicit in the wholesale destruction of
natural resources and should be held accountable.

9 I resent the imposition that this proposed 10 pipeline has put upon me and thousands of others resident in 11 the blast zone. I hope FERC realizes that the path of the 12 pipeline is called a "blast zone" for good reason.

PennEast wants to tear up 114 miles of private property to ship the gas to liquefying stations and then export the gas out of the USA.

16 There is nothing noble going on here. PennEast's 17 only goal is profit for a few investors at the expense of 18 everyone else. PennEast doesn't think many residents are in 19 the path of this pipeline but that's a lie given the huge 20 explosions of high pressure transmission lines.

I understand that if a bog turtle is in the path of the people that could be a game changer. Well, I'm here to tell you that with an enormous, under-regulated pipeline, a mere 800 feet from my house I will become one of those endangered species. A pipeline built over sinkholes and on top of one of the most active, seismic fault lines on the east cost, will endanger me. I may not be a bog turtle, but this pipeline will be as dangerous to me as it would to a bog turtle.

5 But, hey, according to PennEast, their pipeline б isn't near any populated areas. Well, that's nonsense. 7 Look at all the people here tonight. PennEast is in a 8 desperate race against time and the rising tide of 9 sustainable energy. They seek to do the easiest cheapest, 10 most destructive method of fuel extraction and delivery. 11 Landowners be ware of financial incentives as PennEast is a 12 purveyor of poison and death and they are effectively 13 domestic terrorists. They will destroy over 65 million 14 square feet of private land to install this single, unneeded 15 line. They will destroy it forever for the almighty dollar. 16 If the foreigners arrive in this country calling 17 themselves Taliban LLC, and drilled holes in private 18 property, force toxic chemicals into our earth, and then a 19 private property for hundreds, we'd call them terrorists. 20 Well, that's what PennEast is, a conglomerate of 21 conspirators who are effectively domestic terrorists 22 operating with the good graces of the U.S. government. There is something desperately wrong here, and I hope that 23 24 someone in the U.S. government wakes up and sees this for 25 what it really is, domestic terrorists.

1 (Applause.)

2 MR. SCOTT: Thank you for your comment.
3 MS. MIRSKY: Laura Mirsky, M-i-r-s-k-y. Church
4 Road, Holland Township, New Jersey.

5 I hereby argue for the no-build option for the 6 PennEast Pipeline. PennEast claims there's public demand 7 for natural gas in New Jersey, yet numerous studies by the 8 U.S. Energy Information Administration refute these claims 9 as has been stated previously.

10 There is no public demand, but there is mass 11 opposition to this pipeline. As demonstrated by the many 12 hundreds of comments to and by the opposition resolutions 13 from every New Jersey town on the route, and many in 14 Pennsylvania, plus condemnation from local, state, and 15 federal New Jersey representatives and some in Pennsylvania 16 as well.

17 The pipeline obstructs New Jersey's commitment to 18 renewable energy stated in the renewable portfolio standard 19 requiring that more than 20 percent of New Jersey's energy 20 come from renewable resources like solar and wind by 2021. 21 And legislation proposing that 50 percent come from these 22 sources by 2050. The pipeline violates FERC's own 23 regulatory statement of policy, No. PL99-3-000, in assessing 24 the public benefits and adverse effect of a pipeline. The 25 more interests adversely affected, the more adverse impact a

project would have on a particular interest, the greater the showing of public benefits from the project required to balance the adverse impact.

This pipeline would do huge environmental damage 4 5 to sensitive and pristine areas all along it's proposed 114 б miles. It would contaminate the Delaware River within the 7 federally designated special protection waters area with its 8 exceptionally high recreational, ecological, and/or water 9 supply values and areas subject to the federal Delaware 10 River Basin Commission's strict antidegradation standards. 11 There are many errors in PennEast's resource

report 10 of November 7th, 2014. In Holland Township where 12 13 the pipeline would enter New Jersey. Page 10 of the report 14 says karsts within 200 feet of Lime, New Jersey, not 15 applicable. But Holland's October 2013 Highlands 16 Environment Resources Inventory or ERI which used New Jersey 17 Geological Survey and U.S. data shows evidence of karsts in 18 several places within 200 feet of the line. Two of these 19 are next to the Delaware River between 75 Old River Road and 20 the Georgia Pacific Cargo factory, and two, 392 Church Road 21 and the Moore Farm.

A gas pipeline in these sensitive karst areas would present serious hazards to both water quality and public safety. Says the ERI report, karst formations cause sink holes, central streams and underground streams all at

1 present along the pipeline route.

| 2 | Underground pipelines contribute contaminants |
|----|--|
| 3 | directly to ground water through karst beaches and sink |
| 4 | holes present a geologic hazard undermining infrastructure |
| 5 | like storm water basins, roads, sewer lines, septic system, |
| 6 | and natural gas lines. |
| 7 | I ask FERC to extend the scoping period to |
| 8 | accommodate a new revised route. |
| 9 | Thank you very much. |
| 10 | (Applause.) |
| 11 | MR. SCOTT: Thank you. |
| 12 | MR. HANSEN: Hello, I'm Amy Hansen with New |
| 13 | Jersey Conservation Foundation. Amy, A-m-y, H-a-n-s-e-n. |
| 14 | Thank you for the opportunity to speak regarding our extreme |
| 15 | concerns about the PennEast pipeline. We have serious |
| 16 | worries about this pipeline that targets preserved lands. |
| 17 | New Jersey Conservation has worked for over 30 years to |
| 18 | preserve the lands that the pipeline proposes to cut through |
| 19 | and permanently harm, the Wickachioki Creek preserve. |
| 20 | We ask FERC to create a programmatic EIS that |
| 21 | considers the impacts of all of the current and proposed |
| 22 | pipelines in New Jersey and the Delaware River basin. Until |
| 23 | then we respectfully request that FERC impose a moratorium |
| 24 | on all pipeline projects and construction. Currently each |
| 25 | pipeline is considered separately. |
| | |

1 I want to tell you a bit about the unique 2 Wickachioki Creek area that the pipeline proposes to cut through. This unique and pristine preserve links three 3 4 nationally registered districts and is home to a vibrant 5 artist community along the Delaware River. The historic and б unique natural beauty of this area and the precious soils provide a critical, economic driver for the region and 7 8 benefit the local agricultural industry. The PennEast Pipeline would cause these economic harm. 9

10 New Jersey conservation, our conservation 11 partners, the local communities and statewide voters have 12 worked on all the fronts to preserve and permanently set 13 aside this landscape wide resource. There are not many 14 places where you can walk or ride between historic art 15 centers, pastoral hamlets, patterns of agricultural fields 16 and woodlands dating back to the 17th century through the 17 last covered bridge in New Jersey and then continue into a 18 breathtakingly beautiful valley where nearly every farm has 19 been preserved, the nationally designated Rosemont Valley 20 Rural Agricultural district.

21 PennEast proposes to put a pipeline through this?
22 New Jersey Conservation Foundation opposes this pipeline.
23 We ask you, FERC, to permanently reject the PennEast
24 pipeline. The no-build alternative is the only appropriate
25 option.

1 Thank you. 2 (Applause.) MR. SCOTT: Thank you for your comment. 3 Caroline Katmann and then the next four speakers, 4 5 Pelle Wheaton, David Griffith, Michael Spille, Aaron б Stemplewicz. MS. KATMANN: I am Caroline Katmann, 7 8 C-a-r-o-l-i-n-e K-a-t-m-a-n-n. I'm the executive director 9 of the Saurlin Conservancy, and as such my comments are 10 based on the mission of that organization which is to 11 protect the ecological integrity, historic resources, and 12 special character of the Saurlin mountain region. 13 The approximately 90 square mile Saurlin mountain 14 region is one of only three major areas of relatively 15 unbroken habitat in New Jersey. Located in central New 16 Jersey midway between the Highlands and the Pine Barrens. 17 Both proposed PennEast pipeline routes cut 18 through agricultural land, forest, wetland, streams and 19 tributaries in the Saurlin Mountain region in West Amwell 20 Township and Hopewell Township. 21 Our organization is not advocating the location 22 of the PennEast Pipeline elsewhere. Our comments concern 23 impacts on the Saurlins, not because of NIMBY-ism, but 24 because this region is our area of expertise and because 25 within the state of New Jersey it is as valuable, unique,

and fragile as the Highlands and the Pine Barrens. The
 source of the information presented in my comments is the
 Smart Growth Planning and Management Project for the Saurlin
 Mountain. This project was completed through funding
 provided by the state of New Jersey, Department of Community
 Affairs, Smart Growth Planning Grant.

7 I've provided you with copies of my comments as8 well as print and electronic copies of that project.

9 I hope you will read it and share it with 10 PennEast because at the open house in November, at South 11 Hunterdon High School, none of the PennEast representatives 12 or FERC representatives, and I spoke to over a dozen people, 13 had ever heard of the Saurlins. The part of the Saurlin 14 ridge targeted by both pipeline routes is under lain by 15 three rock formations, Lacatonc, pasaic and Jurassic 16 diabase. In these formations, particularly the diabase, the 17 groundwater runoff, that is where precipitation enters a 18 subsurface saturated zone for which it slowly migrates to a 19 stream is dependent upon the frequency and intensity of 20 fractures, the size of the facture openings, and the 21 interconnection of these opening to each other.

The lack of significant fracturing in the Lacatonc and diabase formations limits their ability to store or to transmit substantial groundwater. Because of this, subsurface velocities are very slow and it could take 1 months, years, potentially decades for water to travel from 2 the point of infiltration to the point of discharge to a 3 stream.

To bedrock in 95 percent of the Saurlins varies from 0 to 48 inches dynamite or intensive drilling would be necessary to create a deep enough trench for the pipeline. Such a violent assault on this fragile system is so dangerous that Saurlin Municipal Health Ordinances generally prohibit blasting and hydrofracking for private wells.

FERC must conclude, therefore, that this violent assault for the purpose of increasing business profits should also be prohibited.

13 The New Jersey Landscape Habitat Survey 14 documented in the -- I've provided you with -- documents the 15 fact that the Saurlin Mountain region is rich in habitat 16 suitable to support populations of threatened and endangered 17 species. You can see Figure 25 of that natural inventory 18 section of that project.

FERC's EIS must consider every endangered,
threatened and special concern species identified in the
natural resources section of this project.

And, finally, today the natural beauty of the mountain draws countless hikers and cyclists from through the state. The Sauarlins also offer splendid opportunities for horseback riding, fishing, picnicking, bouldering,

1 hunting, cross-country skiing, photography, birding, or just 2 a lovely restful place to get away. 3 A decline in numbers of visitors to the area for 4 recreational purposes would have substantial economic 5 impact, not to mention substantial impact on the quality of life of residents and visitors. б 7 Please make the decision not to approve the 8 PennEast Pipeline. 9 (Applause.) 10 MS. KOCHHAR: Thank you. 11 MR. SCOTT: Thank you for your comment. 12 MS. WHEATON: Thank you. I really don't -- I 13 wasn't quite sure what I wanted to do, but I am here now. I 14 want to identify. I am Rele Wheaton, W-h-e-a-t-o-n. Also 15 known as Pelli Wheaton, P-e-l-l-i, Wheaton. And I am one of 16 those people that I hear referred to a lot. I am one of 17 those landowners who actually put their land in 18 preservation. And I would like to kind of elaborate on why 19 and how this piece of cardboard that I'm holding represents 20 my easement which I went and looked at today. Since I've 21 been hearing easements and easements, and easements, I went 22 to the easement that is at least five years old from when I 23 signed it, and years of putting it together with 24 conservation people and the United States government, one of 25 my partners in that.

1 So I brought this along to say, it is equally as 2 large as this which has only been generated by our meetings. Since December I have accumulated this material and I have 3 copies for everyone. But I wanted to talk first about how 4 exciting it was to finally get that land. And so I'm doing 5 it in a letter form and I'll try to be as quick as I can. б I am the owner of a farm located in Hunterdon 7 8 Country, Delaware Township, Stockton, New Jersey, 08559, 9 referred to as Bock 33, Lot 4, encompassing 38 acres on a 10 revised right-of-way shown on the latest PennEast alternate 11 drawing, P.S., I have seen so many drawing that sometimes when I want to identify myself, I want to say, I'm the 12 13 proposed alternate route because that seems more correct 14 lately.

15 Five years ago I placed my farm in the Farm Grant 16 Preservation Program. I did this because of my desire to 17 let future generations enjoy the beauty of this farm as has 18 my family and in essence and appreciation of many others 19 from those who marvel at its great, green, fertile fields, 20 it's radiant glowing water supply, our pond, apples, pears, 21 peaches, cherries, strawberries, and, yes, natural shoe 22 stain to keep our boots abundantly in water and at the ready 23 for or in the great German tradition of milking and storing 24 the liquid gold we call cow's milk, to those who have loved 25 these open spaces long walks and even longer talks, to those

to who came to visit once again, the homestead of their 1 2 Delaware Township families from historic boards with secret initials and best buddy markings, and, yes, to visit once 3 4 again so as never to forget the man whose tombstone still 5 graces the farm. And I mean Mr. Ed Butterflues. The stewardship of this farm, lot 33, block 4 PennEast docket б No. PF15-1000 is inconsistent with its numerical value and 7 8 its pipeline possibility. This farm supports life and your 9 plan, no offense, pipelines to no future except for the 10 banks that still fair destroys many more.

11 We may one day learn to restore room crop fields, 12 we will never learn to restore life to those we have taken 13 life from. No matter how many death kills you so designate 14 and worse, march those victims off in the name of 15 capitalism, socialism, communism, and/or profit. That may 16 have been a game plan many years ago. It isn't anymore. 17 Please enjoy this look at it, so we genuinely can pass it 18 back to you.

The planet may have indeed changed, so have it'schildren, God Bless us all, Sincerely Marie E. Wheaton.

21 (Applause.)

22 MS. KOCHHAR: Thank you.

23 MR. GRIFFITH: Hi, my name is David Griffith,

24 that's G-r-i-f-f-i-t-h. I'm a resident of Delaware Township 25 and I'd like to speak to you about my concern about a

specific corridor that's in Delaware Township that runs from 1 2 block 31, lot 11 known as the Rosemont substation to the end of block 31, lot 12, which is only one quarter of a mile. 3 Within the path the following will be in effect. 4 5 The five-family properties will be crossed, 375 feet of driveways will be destroyed, my question is how will б 7 PennEast replace these driveways to their original 8 condition? 9 The following will be destroyed: 160-foot 10 Christmas trees and the balance of my tree farm, the 11 driveway lined by 80-foot pine trees, 6,500 feet of mature 12 trees, 18,000 square feet of 120-foot tall trees and two 13 additional acres of mature trees. My question is, how will 14 PennEast replace the wind and water erosion protection 15 provided by these trees. 16 There's a 100-year old stone gazebo with a well 17 in the middle of the power easement. My residence is 18 dependent on well water and the water table is only 60 feet 19 below the surface. Wells are the only source of water for 20 these farms. Construction of pipes -- I'm sorry, 21 construction of a pipe lease could cause the loss of our 22 access to clean water.

The question is what is the PennEast contingency plan to furnish these farms with the water they will need should they pollute or damage our wells?

1 A 1,000 gallon underground propane tank is 50 2 feet from the proposed route, three septic tanks would be at 3 risk and a septic bed would be destroyed. My question is 4 how is PennEast planning to replace these septic systems 5 when they appear to be in the percable space on these 6 properties. The power easement is only 20 feet from my 7 house which is well within the incineration zone.

8 Five families with this would be disrupted for a 9 period of time. The pipeline construction would eliminate 10 access of their homes to the street. Our children expected 11 to walk across construction zones to get to their buses. 12 How will PennEast provide safe access to the street?

13 Should one of these homes have a fire or medical 14 emergency, how would emergency vehicles cross the 15 construction trenches? How will PennEast provide access to 16 emergency vehicles? How will PennEast increase the number 17 of volunteers for emergency personnel that would be needed 18 should a gas-related accident occur?

My home is my life's investment and the pipeline is not only going to decrease the property value, but would make it impossible to sell in the future. My question is, how is PennEast going to compensate for the loss of any future sale of these properties?

Destruction of my tree farm will negativelyaffect my livelihood. Why is an industry that brings no

1 guns into our community get to destroy the local industry
2 for its benefit?

3 The downward incline of my property creates a runoff that will be worse once the construction occurs and 4 5 it will affect the properties below us. My question is, how б will PennEast prevent the problem from occurring? To impose this level of destruction of personal 7 8 property and to have a devastating impact on all these 9 families is not reasonable. In order to prevent the 10 permanent damage to our environment and to our community 11 from this pipeline, I'm requesting that FERC deny permission 12 to PennEast to allow the construction of the pipeline. 13 (Applause.) 14 MR. SCOTT: Thank you for your comment. 15 MR. SPILLE: My name is Michael Spille from West 16 Amwell Township. That's S-p-i-l-l-e. I'm a software 17 developer by trade in the financial services industry. And I talk a lot about numbers, some of you are talking about 18 19 numbers here. 20 In their FRSE filing PennEast states that the 21 project is designed to bring lower priced natural gas to 22 houses and businesses in Pennsylvania and New Jersey. They

repeatedly state that the pipeline is for the benefit of

both states. Furthermore, they say that the pipeline will

carry one billion cubic feet of natural gas per day, enough

23

24

25

1 gas for 4.7 million houses.

| 2 | Let's test some of those assertions. Let's look |
|----|---|
| 3 | at the data. I'll specifically go to the Energy Information |
| 4 | Administration's website, EIA.gov. According to them the |
| 5 | entire state of New Jersey has approximately 2.6 million |
| 6 | natural gas residential consumers, Pennsylvania has about |
| 7 | the same, about 2.6 million. Combined that's 5.2 million |
| 8 | residential customers. Look at the numbers and we see |
| 9 | PennEast is providing enough for 4.7 million homes, there's |
| 10 | only 4.2 million in both states that actually have natural |
| 11 | gas. So you have to ask the question, what is this for? |
| 12 | It's not going to matter to the residential people because |
| 13 | they already get more than enough gas. |
| 14 | This is actually about 90 percent of the total |
| 15 | supply currently being used in both states. |
| 16 | We clearly don't need this billion cubic extra |
| 17 | feet going to those two states. |
| 18 | You know, so the 4.7 million house number seems |
| 19 | like marketing fluff. So why don't we look at just |
| 20 | consumption, total consumption of both states. Eia.gov has |
| 21 | that data too. In New Jersey it's 1.8 billion cubic feet |
| 22 | across all users commercial and residential, Pennsylvania is |
| 23 | |
| | a little bit more, it's about 3.0 billion cubic feet total |
| 24 | a little bit more, it's about 3.0 billion cubic feet total consumption, so it's 4.8 billion cubic feet used by both |

1 When you look at it, the numbers still don't add 2 up. You know, that's over 20 percent of the total energy 3 use of the natural gas in both states. So, you know, where 4 is the need for this?

5 If you look at EIA.gov at consumption graphs 6 specifically, New Jersey's consumption has been flat for 7 decades. Going back 20 years it's been flat. If you look 8 at Pennsylvania, it's growing, but it's not growing nearly 9 enough to justify this pipeline. It certainly doesn't 10 justify bringing the pipeline to New Jersey.

The only place where you see some reasonable justification is in price volatility. Again, looking at EIA.gov, you do see price volatility and it agrees with PennEast's graphs in their filing. However, the data only shows ten days of extreme volatility in a five-year span from 2009 to 2014. That's ten days our of 1,825 days. That's less than one half of 1 percent.

18 The last point I want to make is that, you know, 19 the final piece of the puzzle for me is that if you look at 20 the total natural gas market today, the word that people use 21 is terrible. Gas prices are plunging, there's a glut of 22 gas, there's not enough consumers for it. There's a recent research article by the firm or on the subject. It says, 23 24 "things are already ugly in the U.S. natural gas markets and 25 it's only going to get worse." Their analysts argue that

the double whammy of continued supply growth that natural 1 2 gas prices are still not done dropping and this slaps their estimates across the board for natural gas universe. 3 The oil and gas in the U.S. will require lengthy 4 5 at times, painful healing process for coverage companies and б ENP investors. Natural gas prices are likely to stay depressed well into 2016. So why do we need this pipeline? 7 8 There's a glut of natural gas in the market, 9 prices have never been lower, the pipeline is not sized to 10 benefit New Jersey and Pennsylvania, but it is much larger 11 and is obviously sized for the entire country, possibly even elsewhere. 12 13 I think we should object to that is pipeline. I 14 think there's no need for it in this state. 15 Thank you. 16 (Applause.) 17 MR. SCOTT: Thank you for your comment. 18 MR. STEMPLEWICZ: My name is Aaron Stemplewicz, S-t-e-m-p-l-e-w-i-c-z. I'm a staff attorney for the 19 Delaware River Keeper Network. 20 21 The Delaware River Keeper Network is an 22 environmental nonprofit. We have a wealth of experience and knowledge opposing pipeline projects and projects similar to 23 24 the PennEast project. 25 I'd like to take some time today to briefly

1 comment on something that really hasn't come up yet so far 2 and that is Tetra Tech. Tetra Tech was selected by PennEast and agree to by FERC to function as an unbiased, objective 3 contractor performing services for the U.S. government. 4 5 However Tetra Tech has one, a direct financial interest in б the outcome of the project; and two, other courts have found the evidence of its misconduct and document destruction 7 8 during its environmental review services.

9 The first thing I would like to talk about here 10 is FERC regulations essentially require that Tetra Tech has 11 no financial or other interests in the outcome of a project. 12 However, Tetra Tech does have a financial business and 13 corporate interest in promoting natural gas pipelines in the 14 Marcellus region. I am providing two examples.

15 First Tetra Tech has an affiliate called Tetra
16 Tech Rooney Engineering Incorporated which their direct
17 business is designing and constructing pipelines.

18 Two Tetra Tech is an associate member and 19 consultant for the Marcellus Shale Coalition. And as all of 20 you know, the Marcellus Shale Coalition is an outspoken and 21 explicit supporter for the exploitation of Marcellus shale 22 gas and movement of that gas to market.

As such Tetra Tech is nothing more than essentially a cheerleader for the very industry it is now supposed to provide and unbiased and objective review.

1 Secondly a federal district court in Colorado in 2 a court case called Colorado Wild, Inc. vs. The U.S. Forest Service found that quote/unquote "clear evidence of Tetra 3 4 Tech destroying a hard drive that may have contained 5 information that was adverse to a project that they were reviewing. And secondly, the court also found that it б appeared as if Tetra Tech quote, "was attempting to 7 8 influence U.S. Forest Service policy". As such we are 9 requesting that Tetra Tech be dropped and all their work 10 scrapped because clearly they --

11

(Applause.) (Cheering.)

12 MR. STEMPLEWICS: It should be of no surprise 13 that Tetra Tech has never once in their history of reviewing 14 pipeline projects in their environmental review for pipeline 15 projects never once have they chose a system alternative or 16 a no-build option, ever. They have never done it.

17 Furthermore, to be no surprise that the 18 environmental compliance monitors that they train and the 19 environmental inspectors that they train, which is another 20 part of their business, they provide environmental 21 inspectors and trainers for companies to use in their 22 overview of pipeline construction activity. Never once has any of their inspectors recommended a civil penalty for a 23 24 violation of a term or a condition of a certificate for a 25 pipeline. Nor have they even issued a stop work order.

1 So I would like to conclude again by saying that 2 we believe that Tetra Tech is an inappropriate choice for this project and any other project before FERC moving 3 forward. 4 5 Thank you. б (Applause.) MR. SCOTT: Thank you for your comment. 7 8 The next four speakers Lorraine Crown, T.C. 9 Onstott, Claire Taylor and Nancy Bond. 10 MS. CROWN: Good evening. My name is Lorraine 11 Crown. That's L-o-r-r-a-i-n-e C-r-o-w-n. I'm a resident of 12 Holland Township and my full comments are on the docket. 13 I oppose all configurations of the PennEast 14 Pipeline based on the statements made earlier tonight by 15 everyone regarding the environment, health, and safety. And 16 I request that FERC enter a no-action option. 17 I would also like to say, this is off the record, 18 or off the cuff here, I'm also a former chief shop steward 19 for a teamster local. And my community really believes in 20 good jobs for union workers. We just don't believe that 21 this project meets the criteria of good jobs for union 22 workers mostly because of the way that PennEast has jiggered 23 the numbers including in their jobs report. And so I'd like 24 to talk a little bit more about some of the numbers that 25 PennEast has jiggered.

1 I would like to comment on necessity. Where are 2 all these homes that PennEast and its cohorts claim to be heating with these new projects? PennEast claims 4.7 3 4 million homes in New Jersey and Pennsylvania. A Transco 5 claims two million homes for their project in Princeton. The team 14 project just approved by FERC will add the б equivalent of 2.8 million homes to this route which 7 8 terminates in Lambertville and Staten Island. A projected total of local services on 9.5 million homes just from these 9 10 three projects, and none of them will be -- you know, none 11 of the local gas will be coming to Hunterdon or Mercer. 12 New Jersey and Pennsylvania have two of the worst recoveries from the great recession and there are no housing 13 14 or businesses that would qualify this kind of expansion.

15 The 2013 Census shows a total of 3.5 million housing units 16 in New Jersey total; 80 percent of them already using 17 natural gas. This market is saturated.

In its resource report one, PennEast implied that the price spikes during the last -- polar vortex were a new normal which requires increased capacity when in fact the polar vortex was a statistical outlier and is handled as such in industry analyses making this claim invalid.

The historic view of both the New Jersey delivery price and the spot price indicate that natural gas prices have been steadily dropping since 2008. PennEast further

claimed an increased demand on the electrical grid through
 2040 to justify expansion. But their charts were poorly
 sited for determining how they were derived.

Data from the American climate prospectus indicates that New Jersey and Pennsylvania will experience a zero percent increase in electrical demand relative to 2012 through 2040.

8 Given the excessive number of proposed pipelines, 9 not just for New Jersey, but for the region, 20 at last 10 count by the Northeast Gas Association and the low prices. 11 It's clear that there's not really an unmet demand in New 12 Jersey and Pennsylvania as PennEast claims, but rather a 13 need to relieve excess supply in the system which was 14 created by the boom cycle of the fracking.

Busts follow booms. And stress is showing on the ONG market with tremendous pressure on the drilling side, investors pulling out and operators pulling back on planned projects. Marcellus Drilling News just reported that half of the companies on the ONG debt list are placed there because of debt operate in Marcellus in Utica. And Tetra Tech is one of them.

The December 2014 job report shows significant loss in the power sector employment except in renewables. And the Texas studies how show that the EIA projections of the 100-year supply are way off. Marcellus shale gas

capacity will peak by 2020 and by 2030 will only be 1 2 producing half of the EIA projections. All of this promotes a contraction of activity which can only have negative 3 impacts on PennEast. I believe that PennEast will not be 4 5 able to afford to construct and safely maintain this б project. Not only the market contraction, but the overwhelming financial and time burden facing PennEast's 7 8 develop mitigation plans for the nearly innumerable environmental, historic, and wildlife resources along the 9 10 route. 11 And then there's the methane. 12 MR. SCOTT: Ms. Crown, can you wrap it up, 13 please? 14 MS. CROWN: I shall. Not only should FERC cast a 15 no-action option on PennEast until new methane reduction 16 rules are passed, and PennEast can demonstrate compliance, 17 but the U.S. will never, ever achieve its methane reduction 18 goal of 45 percent unless the remove the legacy stipulations 19 which they will have to do. In that case UGI and several of 20 its partners will face crushing financial demands to replace 21 their currently dangerous and leaking infrastructure. 22 I feel that their partners should take their billion dollars and stick it into the renovation of the 23 24 existing damaged infrastructure and give the unions those

jobs. For these reasons I respectfully ask FERC to choose

25

1 the no-action option on this project.

2 Thank you.

3 (Applause.)

4 MR. SCOTT: Thank you for your comment.

5 We have three more speakers. We've been asked by 6 the facility to wrap it up at 10 o'clock. So we'll finish 7 with the three more speakers. The ones that I have already 8 called.

9 PARTICIPANT: And what happens to the rest of us 10 that didn't get a chance?

11 MR. SCOTT: The rest of the people that have 12 signed up to speak tonight, if you have written statements, 13 you can hand them to us. Or you can present your written 14 statements or what you were going to speak or say tonight 15 onto the record directly to FERC.

16 (Simultaneous conversation.)

17 PARTICIPANT: Nancy Bond left, can I take her18 spot? I know that she left, I saw her leave.

19 MR. SCOTT: There's three more speakers.

20 PARTICIPANT: Nancy Bond is one of them. May I 21 take her spot?

22 MR. SCOTT: Nancy Bond?

23 PARTICIPANT: She left.

24 MR. SCOTT: Yes. All right.

25 (Simultaneous conversation.)

1

MR. SCOTT: T.C. Onstott.

2 MR. ONSTOTT: That's Right. T. C. Onstott, 3 Princeton University. O-n-s-t-o-t-t. So last night I 4 talked to you about arsenic mass balance and the danger of 5 release of arsenic from the construction phase using a 6 sample of this and a bottle of Fiji water. I'm not going to 7 subject you to that again tonight.

8 What I want to talk about tonight, however, is 9 the repercussions of operating a gas pipeline in arsenic 10 rich bedrock. And the primary concern comes about from 11 methane gas leaks.

12 Now, the PennEast representatives have said that 13 gas leaking from the pipeline will migrate towards the 14 surface and the atmosphere and they're totally correct about 15 that. Completely believable. What they may not realize is 16 that most of that methane gas is actually oxidized by 17 bacteria before it reaches the surface. These are methane 18 oxidizers. This is a well-established phenomenon. Methane 19 bacteria, you're a good thing. They mitigate the release of 20 methane gas from pipelines. They create, by that process, 21 an anoxic zone around the pipeline that migrates to an oxy 22 zone as you approach the water table. The unfortunate thing 23 about this, in an arsenic rich formation, is that an anoxic 24 to hypoxic zone arsenate is converted to arsenic which is 25 the mobile form of arsenic.

Now, this is a big crop. There have been reports commissioned by the American Petroleum Institute to look at the impact of hydrocarbon leakages from pipelines and arsenic under various scenarios. You read that report, the worst case scenario is hydrocarbon leakages from pipelines with arsenic rich formations for which there is no mitigation option except shutting off the hydrocarbons.

8 The other concern has to do with one of the most 9 positive aspects about pipelines. Pick a public protection 10 system, pick a public shield which prevents the corrosion of 11 the pipeline. This is brilliant. We turn the pipeline into 12 a galvanic cell by putting electrodes on the side of it, 13 generate an electric current that goes towards the pipeline. 14 This fools the bacteria because they want to get their -- on 15 the pipeline and corrode it. Now they move up the current 16 towards the anodes to get the electrons that are being 17 provided. This is great, and it works. It really works. 18 The only problem is, in an arsenic rich nation it expands in 19 a zoning and creates an arsenide pathway.

20 My concern is that with these two combinations of 21 effects, the contiguity of it all and the pipeline creates 22 an arsenic migration pathway along the pipeline going 23 downhill, converging into the watersheds where the pipeline 24 is going like this (indicating) because of the route chosen 25 and from those watersheds into the Delaware River canal.

Now, if you look at the old pipelines, for instance, Texas Eastern, they cross the river and in parallel tributaries going across. And it's a good place to look because if you look at the Algonquin, you will see back, the water wells around the Algonquin branch all are contaminated with arsenic.

Now, this is a problem that has to be 7 8 investigated. It has to be part of the EIS report before 9 you go ahead. I'm not saying we have an Aaron Brocavitch 10 situation here, because it wasn't until the last 15 years 11 that we've understood arsenic geochemistry in the environment. But before we move ahead, we need a thorough 12 13 review from all existing pipelines to moving forward as to 14 what the cumulative impact is pipelines on arsenic 15 migration.

16 My concern is that you're creating with this 17 designed route, the galvantic arsenic pointed right at the 18 canal.

19 The only solution to that is to get the pipeline 20 away from the Delaware River and out of the arsenic rich 21 zone.

22 And thank you.

23 (Applause.)

24 MR. SCOTT: Thank you for your comment.

25 MS. TAYLOR: Claire Taylor, C-l-a-i-r-e

T-a-y-l-o-r. Thank you for the opportunity to comment. I
 want to say that I agree with all of the points made tonight
 in opposition and appreciate the attendance of so many
 experts.

5 I am the owner of a small farm in Kingwood. I 6 raise horses and I have two points to make tonight.

First, I'm among many equestrians in Hunterdon
who are here for the land and the gorgeous miles of trails
over the historic and preserved open space.

10 Some of the trail associations are from 11 Reddington, Alexandria, Pittstown, Amwell Valley, and 12 Covered Bridge. They hold events throughout the year, are a 13 great draw, and bring equestrians from far and wide to ride 14 in our beautiful setting here.

I can't tell you how incredible it is to ride over this land that the revolutionary troops did or see the undisturbed vistas that have stood for a millennia from the back of a horse. It is unique and it is rare.

19 It was just November we were riding our Amwell 20 way and came across a crest of a hill and four bald eagles 21 started -- came out of the trees and were circling over the 22 field. About 40 riders just stopped dead to watch this 23 rare, rate sighting of these incredible birds.

This project will forever change thoseexperiences that we have riding these trails. The other

1 point I want to make is about water. You've heard a lot 2 about it. The experts have spoken. But as a farmer, I think about the animals, I'm not talking about the 3 4 endangered species because much has been said about them, 5 and I can't even fathom losing one species. It's about the domesticated animals, it's about the farm animals, they б 7 drink from our well too. My horses each consume about ten 8 gallons a day. My sheep seven gallons a day, our dogs 9 another gallon, my husband and I another gallon. But 10 imagine if we had 100 cows, which I assume some of the 11 farmers here tonight may have. They drink ten to 30 gallons 12 of water a day, or 1,000 chickens, I have no idea, but what 13 is the plan if this water is polluted? How will our farms 14 survive? 15 There are 27 farms in Kingwood alone that are 16 crossed by the pipeline route. 17 So, FERC, in closing, I ask for a no-build, no 18 action option. It's the only way to ensure that the farm's 19 future and of course the equestrian experience I mentioned 20 in my first point. 21 Thank you. 22 (Applause.) MR. SCOTT: Thank you for your comment. 23 24 MS. KLINK: Thank you for letting me take Nancy

25 Bond's place. My name is Kathleen Klink, K-a-t-h-l-e-e-n

K-l-i-n-k. I am the advisor to the student in middle group -- I'm sorry, I'm very tired. This is what happens to my voice -- at Delaware Township School, Hunterdon County, New Jersey. This program was started to take care of our school and community environment with the additional duty of helping to educate our younger students. These students are 11, 12, and 13 years old. This statement is theirs.

8 The pipeline is a very current threat -- I have 9 to put my glasses on -- to some of New Jersey's most fragile 10 and diverse ecosystems. The pipeline is putting the 11 survival of nature for the new generation in jeopardy.

The environment in New Jersey is going to continue to be a home to many endangered species but the pipeline could change that. For example, the barred owl requires in tact forests to exist. To build the PennEast pipeline huge swaths of forest will be decimated in order to place this 36-inch pipe.

18

Truly I did not write this.

As members of the Delaware Township School
Environmental Congress we have done extensive amounts of
trail work. The PennEast pipeline is striving to destroy
all of our hard work by clear cutting the preserved land
where our trails exist.

We also teach the younger grades how to care for our environment. Is the PennEast Pipeline trying to teach 1 young children to industrialize our last remaining strips of 2 undisturbed land in New Jersey?

3 Clearly wildlife is essential to the human race's 4 survival, but the PennEast pipeline seems to be actively 5 aiming to destroy this. As an environment congress we would 6 be devastated to see this people approved and built. We 7 also know that we are not just speaking for ourselves, but 8 our community and maybe even the state of New Jersey as a 9 whole.

10 (Applause.)

11 MR. SCOTT: Thank you.

MS. KOCHHAR: On behalf of Federal Energy Regulatory Commission I'd like to thank you all for coming tonight. Let the record show the PennEast Pipeline Project Scoping meeting in Western New Jersey closed at 10:10 p.m. on February 26, 2015.

17 Thank you for coming. If you have comments you18 can hand it to us. Or you can efile it or mail it,

19 whichever way you prefer.

20 (Whereupon, at 10:10 p.m., the meeting was 21 adjourned.) 22 23 24 25