1	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA					
2	FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION					
3	Office of Energy Projects					
4	x					
5	Mountain Valley Pipeline, LLC Docket No. PF15-3-000					
6	x					
7						
8	MOUNTAIN VALLEY PIPELINE PROJECT					
9						
LO	Robert C. Byrd Center					
L1	992 North Fork Road					
L2	Pine Grove, WV 26419					
L3	Monday, May 11, 2015					
L4						
L5	The evening scoping meeting, pursuant to notice,					
L6	convened at 7 p.m, before a Staff Panel:					
L7	PAUL FRIEDMAN, Environmental Project Manager,					
L8	FERC					
L9	ALEX DANKANICH, Pipeline and Hazardous Materials					
20	Safety Administration, U.S. Department of Transportation					
21	With:					
22	LAVINIA DiSANTO, Cardno					
23						
24						
25						

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	MR. FRIEDMAN: Good evening, ladies and
3	gentlemen, to our public scoping meeting to take
4	environmental comments oh, in case you didn't notice, I
5	don't have a microphone today. So I'll talk loudly, and if
6	you can't hear me, please sit in the front row.
7	I'd like to welcome you to our public scoping
8	meeting to take environmental comments on the proposed
9	Mountain Valley Project or MVP, in West Virginia and
LO	Virginia in Docket No. PF15-3.
L1	My name is Paul Friedman and I am the
L2	Environmental Project Manager for this project for the
L3	Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, which I abbreviate as
L4	FERC or 'Commission. We're all located in Washington, D.C.
L5	
L6	Let the record show that this meeting began at
L7	approximately 7 p.m. on Monday, May 11th, 2015, in Pine
L8	Grove, West Virginia.
L9	As you may have noticed, we have a court reporter
20	transcribing this meeting. That is so we can have an
21	accurate record of tonight's comments. The FERC has a
22	transcription contract with Ace Federal Reporters, Inc., or
23	'Ace.' If you wish to obtain a copy of the transcript prior
24	to its placement in the FERC public files, you must make
25	arrangements directly with Ace and pay their fees.

```
The transcript will be posted on the FERC's

eLibrary system, which I'll describe later, within 15 days

after its receipt from Ace.
```

[Slide presentation]

The goal of my project team is to write an

environmental impact statement or EIS for the project. The

FERC team will consist of interdisciplinary specialists in

fields such as engineering, biology, geology and

archaeology. To expand our staff's capability, we use a

contractor, which in this case is Cardno.

So let me introduce the other team members who are here tonight. Over here is Olivia DiSantos; she is the Project Manager for Cardno. In the back are two other Cardno employees; Doug Monneyhan and Charles Brown. Just so you all know, before Charles retired, he worked about 37 years at the FERC.

Next to me is Alex Dankanich. He is from the Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration of the U.S. Department of Transportation, or DOT. The DOT is a cooperating agency that will assist the FERC in the production of the EIS. Other cooperating agencies include the U.S. Forest Service, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, the West Virginia Department of Natural Resources and the West Virginia Department of Environmental Protection.

```
1 Cooperating agencies have jurisdiction or special
```

- 2 expertise They can adopt our EIS for their own regulatory
- 3 purposes. So for example, the U.S. Forest Service will use
- 4 our EIS to analyze potential amendments to their forest plan
- 5 to allow the project to cross federal lands.
- 6 The FERC is the lead federal agency for both the
- 7 authorization of this project under the Natural Gas Act for
- 8 compliance with the National Environmental Policy Act or
- 9 NEPA. To comply with NEPA, the FERC will produce an EIS,
- 10 which I'll talk about more later.
- 11 The FERC was originally known as the Federal
- 12 Power Commission when it was created by Congress in 1920.
- 13 We were reorganized and renamed during the Carter
- 14 Administration. The FERC is an independent regulatory
- 15 agency. What that means is, a Commission decision cannot be
- 16 changed by the Executive or Legislative Branches; however,
- 17 FERC decisions can be challenged in court.
- One of the industries we regulate is the
- 19 interstate transportation of natural gas. We also regulate
- 20 non-federal hydropower, electric rates and oil rates, but
- 21 not the siting of either power lines or oil pipelines. So
- 22 FERC has nothing to do with Keystone XL. What we do not
- 23 regulate also is the exploration, production or gathering of
- 24 natural gas, or the local distribution of natural gas.
- 25 Those are all regulated by the States. Therefore, if people

```
1 are concerned about hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking,'
```

- 2 which is a method of exploration and production, you need to
- file comments with your State agencies, not with the FERC.
- 4 Our agency is directed by five Commissioners who
- 5 are appointed by the President of the United States and
- 6 confirmed by Congress. And there they are up on the screen.
- 7 It's always three people from the party in power and two
- 8 from the opposing party. So Chairman Bay, Commissioner
- 9 LeFleur and Commissioner Honorable are Democrats;
- 10 Commissioner Moeller and Commissioner Clark are Republicans.
- 11 And if there's a change in the administration, then that
- 12 will flip.
- 13 The FERC staff, like me, are civil servants.
- 14 Those five people are the decision makers. Staff do not
- 15 make decisions. But Staff do make recommendations to the
- 16 Commissioners, and our recommendations are made in our EIS,
- in the back, Chapter 5, so you can see what we're
- 18 recommending to the Commissioners.
- 19 Tonight, I'd like to accomplish the following
- 20 things: I'd like to summarize the project, I'd like to
- 21 explain the role of the FERC in the review; I'd like to
- 22 outline how the public may participate in the process, and
- 23 I'd like to allow you, the public, an opportunity to voice
- your concerns about the project at this forum.
- 25 I ask that you reserve all questions or comments

```
1 until after our presentation here. There is a table in the
```

- 2 back of the room manned by Charlie and Doug, and you can
- 3 sign up to be a speaker there. We had some problems last
- 4 week in Elliston, Virginia where people shouted out of turn
- 5 and booed speakers they disagreed with. I find such
- 6 behavior to be rude, but I know the fine people of Pine
- 7 Grove will act in a courteous and considerate manner for all
- 8 speakers regardless of the points of view.
- 9 I'd like to clarify that FERC and the cooperating
- 10 agencies did not conceive of this project nor are we
- 11 promoting it. The project was designed by Mountain Valley
- 12 Pipeline, LLC, or Mountain Valley, a joint venture be EQT
- Corporation and NextEra Energy, Inc. We call them the
- 'Company' or the 'Applicants.' The Company came up with the
- 15 proposed location for their facilities, and it's up to FERC
- 16 staff to analyze the environmental impact associated with
- 17 construction and operation of those facilities.
- 18 The FERC is not an advocate for the Project.
- 19 FERC staff are advocates for our environmental review
- 20 process.
- So, let's review some project background. On
- October 27, 2014, Mountain Valley filed its request to
- initiate the FERC's pre-filing environmental review process.
- 24 We accepted that request on October 31st, assigned the
- 25 project Docket No. PF15-3 -- you'll hear me repeat the

```
1 docket number often, because it's important when you file
```

- 2 comments that you always put the docket number on it. And
- 3 we selected Cardno as our third party contractor.
- 4 During pre-filing the company is supposed to
- 5 communicate with stakeholders to identify issues and
- 6 concerns, attempt to resolve those issues, and perfect its
- 7 formal application to the FERC. Mountain Valley has stated
- 8 that they would like to file its application with the FERC
- 9 October of this year, 2015.
- 10 At the time of application, Mountain Valley must
- 11 also file an environmental report that complies with the
- 12 FERC regulations at Title 18 Code of Federal Regulations or
- 13 CFR 380.12, and addresses such resources and topics as
- 14 Geology and Soils, Water and Wetlands, Vegetation and
- 15 Wildlife, Cultural Resources, Socioeconomics, Land Use, Air
- 16 Quality, and Noise and Safety. During pre-filing, Mountain
- 17 Valley will file drafts of those reports into the public
- 18 record that anyone can comment on. I will explain later how
- 19 to submit comments to the FERC.
- In December of 2014, in January of 2015, Mountain
- 21 Valley conducted sixteen open houses along the route of
- their pipeline in West Virginia and Virginia, with two
- 23 additional open houses held in April for alternative routes.
- 24 FERC Staff attended those open houses, and I see some of the
- 25 audience did, as well.

```
On December 1st, 2014, Mountain Valley filed its
```

- 2 first Draft Resource Report One, which is the project
- description, and a summary of alternatives. The FERC Staff
- 4 issued a data request on those resource reports on March 13,
- 5 2015. Well, the resource reports that got filed and the
- 6 data requests are all available on eLibrary for anyone to
- 7 see, and everything I mention after here are also part of
- 8 the public record.
- 9 On March 25, the FERC issued a project update to
- inform the public where we were in the review process, and
- 11 that project update said that we would hold public scoping
- 12 meetings, and here we are.
- 13 Mountain Valley filed revised Resource Report One
- and First Draft Report Seven, which is Soils; and Resource
- 15 Report Eight, which is Land Use, on March 27. It filed
- Resource Report Five, which is Socioeconomics; and Resource
- 17 Report Eleven, Safety, on April 10. A Revised Resource
- 18 Report Ten on April 14 and they filed a brand new Resource
- 19 Report Three, which is Vegetation and Wildlife; and a
- 20 Resource Report Four, which is Cultural Resources on April
- 21 24th. On April 17th, the FERC issued its Notice of Intent
- 22 to prepare an Environmental Impact Statement, or NOI. The
- 23 NOI was sent to our Environmental mailing list; that
- 24 includes landowners, elected officials, government agencies,
- 25 Indian tribes; environmental groups, nongovernmental

```
1 organizations, local libraries and newspapers, and other
```

- 2 interested parties. The NOI announced six public scoping
- 3 meetings in the project area and explained how the public
- 4 could file scoping comments. The NOI was also published in
- 5 the Federal Register on April 28th, 2015.
- 6 The scoping period will end on June 18, 2015;
- 7 however, the FERC will continue to consider comments up
- 8 until the time we produce a draft EIS. We will use comments
- 9 that we receive to shape the contents of the DEIS, which
- 10 will focus on the issues raised during scoping. We will
- 11 also consider the many comments we received after the
- 12 beginning of prefiling, on October 31st, up until the
- beginning of scoping on April 17th, 2015.
- 14 The FERC will not send out any answers to
- 15 individual comment letters, but instead will address
- 16 environmental issues raised during scoping under general
- 17 topics in the DEIS.
- 18 Mountain Valley proposes to construct and operate
- 19 a 294-mile long, 42-inch diameter pipeline in West Virginia
- 20 and Virginia. The pipeline would originate at the existing
- 21 Equitrans LP system in Wetzel County, West Virginia -- not
- very far from here -- and terminate at the existing
- 23 Transcontinental Pipeline Company, LLC Zone 5 Compressor
- 24 Station 165 in Pennsylvania County, Virginia.
- 25 Additional facilities would include four new

```
1 compressor stations in Wetzel, Braxton and Fayette Counties,
```

- West Virginia and Montgomery County, Virginia. The project
- 3 is designed to transport about two billion cubic feet per
- 4 day of natural gas from production sources in the
- 5 Appalachian Basin to markets in the Mid-Atlantic and
- 6 Southeastern United States.
- 7 There is a false rumor going around that natural
- gas from the project would be exported. This is not true.
- 9 Mountain Valley has not applied to either the FERC or the
- 10 U.S. Department of Energy for permission to export natural
- 11 gas. Therefore, they cannot export natural gas.
- 12 Mountain Valley has stated that natural gas for
- this project is intended for domestic customers who generate
- 14 electricity or use gas for local distribution.
- 15 Pipeline construction activities can be
- 16 summarized as follows: Clearing and grading, trenching,
- 17 pipe-stringing and welding, lowering-in, back-filling,
- 18 cleanup and restoration.
- 19 This slide illustrates the prefiling
- 20 environmental review process. I would like to inform you
- 21 where we are in the process; we are in the scoping period.
- 22 Later during the pre-filing process, the FERC will issue
- 23 data requests to fill in gaps, and Mountain Valley would
- 24 respond with revised resource reports.
- 25 During pre-filing, the public has the opportunity

```
1 to comment to the FERC about the project. The issuance of
```

- 2 the FERC's NOI is our way of notifying stakeholders and
- 3 requesting comments on environmental issues. We urge you to
- 4 place your comments into the FERC's official record before
- 5 the end of the scoping period. Later during this talk, I
- 6 will explain how to submit comments.
- 7 Other opportunities for the public to comment on
- 8 the project occur after Mountain Valley files its former
- 9 application with the FERC. Post-application opportunities
- 10 for comments include: You can comment in response to our
- 11 Notice of Application and you can comment in response to the
- 12 issuance of our DEIS.
- 13 Parties may request intervenor status in response
- 14 to our Notice of Application. Being and intervenor is a
- 15 legal position. Intervenors can request rehearing of a
- 16 Commission decision. They also have the burden of serving
- 17 all parties with their filings. You do not have to be an
- 18 intervenor to have your environmental comments considered.
- 19 You cannot intervene during the pre-filing process. You may
- 20 only intervene after Mountain Valley Pipeline files its
- 21 formal application, which I said before, the said they would
- do in October.
- 23 If you want to file comments into the
- 24 Commission's official record, please follow the directions
- 25 given in the public participation portion of the NOI. Do

```
1 not send emails to FERC staff. The Commission urges the
```

- 2 electronic filling of comments through our Internet website.
- 3 Just go to www.ferc.gov, click on documents and filings,
- 4 click on e-filings, and follow the directions.
- 5 If you have a problem with the website, you can
- 6 always call our IT Department for help, and their phone
- 7 number is listed in the NOI. You may also comment in
- 8 writing by sending a letter the old-fashioned way, to the
- 9 Secretary of the Commission at 888 First Street Northeast,
- 10 Washington, D.C. 20426. Everything I'm saying right now is
- in the NOI, so that's why I go over it quickly. In all
- 12 correspondence, whether electronic or in hard copy, please
- reference the docket number which is PF15-3.
- 14 You will also have the opportunity to comment
- orally at this public scoping meeting. We will be taking
- 16 comments from attendees after my presentation.
- 17 Remember to sign into the speakers list. As I
- 18 stated before, the transcript of this meeting will be placed
- 19 into the public record. The FERC process is fairly
- 20 transparent. You can see all documents filed in this docket
- 21 through the Internet on our eLibrary page. If you want to
- 22 be notified by e-mail of all future filings, you can use our
- 23 eSubscription service. Both eLibrary and eSubscription are
- 24 available through www.ferc.gov, go to documents and filings,
- 25 click on eLibrary or click on eSubscription to sign up.

```
1
                  Again, all of that information is in the NOI.
 2
       Based on the application which may come in in October and
       our own research, the FERC staff and cooperating agencies
 3
       will produce an EIS in accordance with the regulations of
       the Council of Environmental Quality or CEQ, at Title 40,
 5
       CFR Parts 1500-1508 to satisfy the requirements of NEPA.
 6
       That document will offer our independent analysis of the
       potential environmental impacts of the proposals and
 8
       alternatives. Generally, the EIS will discuss the current
 9
10
       environmental, identify potential project-related impacts to
       specific resources, and present proposed measures to avoid,
11
       reduce, or mitigate adverse effects.
12
13
                  Once the FERC staff is convinced that the
       application is complete so that we can fully understand the
14
15
       potential impacts the project can have on the environment,
16
       we will issue a Notice of Schedule for our EIS. In
       accordance with the Energy Policy Act of 2005, other federal
17
18
       agencies would have ninety days after the FERC releases a
19
       Final EIS to issue their permits or approvals.
                  The FERC staff will first produce a DEIS that
20
21
       would be circulated for public comment. Copies of the DEIS
22
       will be sent to our Environmental mailing list. After
23
       mailing the DEIS, the FERC staff would come back out to the
24
       project area to hold public meetings, take verbal comments
25
       on the DEIS.
```

1 The comments again would be put into the public record, and

- 2 we would address them in the FEIS.
- 3 The Commission would only make its decision after
- 4 an FEIS has been issued.
- 5 There will be a section in the EIS that addresses
- 6 pipeline safety. It is the DOT, not the FERC that sets
- 7 standards for pipeline design, construction and operational
- 8 safety. To explain the DOT regulations and pipeline safety,
- 9 here's Alex.
- 10 MR. DANKANICH: Thank you.
- 11 Good evening, thanks for coming. My name is Alex
- Dankanich, I'm an engineer with the Eastern Region Office of
- 13 Pipeline Safety, which is a branch of USDOT's Pipeline and
- 14 Hazardous Materials Safety Administration, commonly referred
- 15 to as PHMSA.
- 16 PHMSA's jurisdiction begins once a project is
- 17 approved. Then PHMSA works to ensure that the pipeline
- 18 facilities are constructed, operated and maintained in
- 19 compliance with the federal safety regulations.
- 20 If the Mountain Valley Pipeline Project receives
- 21 permission from the FERC for this natural gas pipeline
- 22 project, PHMSA's Office of Pipeline Safety will provide the
- 23 regulations for the construction and the safe operation of
- the natural gas through the pipeline facilities, and will
- 25 maintain regulatory oversight over the safety of the

- 1 pipeline facilities throughout its operation.
- 2 PHMSA's Office of Pipeline Safety will perform
- 3 safety inspections on the natural gas pipeline facilities as
- 4 well as on the plans, procedures, records to ensure that the
- 5 design and construction are in compliance with Title 49,
- 6 Code of Federal Regulations Part 192, which is the minimum
- 7 federal safety standards for the transportation of natural
- 8 gas by pipeline.
- 9 This oversight includes inspections to ensure
- 10 such things as suitable materials are used in construction;
- 11 so that welding is performed in accordance with the federal
- 12 standards, and by qualified welders.
- 13 We ensure that the pipeline is installed to the
- 14 required depth, that the pipeline is protected from
- 15 corrosion, that the pipeline contains pressure-limiting
- 16 devices, and that the pipeline is properly tested before
- 17 use.
- 18 Beyond the construction process, PHMSA conducts
- 19 periodic inspections of the operation and maintenance
- 20 requirements that are again outlined in 49 CFR, the Code of
- 21 Federal Regulations, Part 192.
- The operator must ensure and must establish
- 23 comprehensive written procedures that describe the types and
- 24 frequency of the monitoring to ensure the continued safe
- operation of the pipeline.

1	Safety is PHMSA's primary mission, and we						
2	understand how important this mission is to your community.						
3	If this project is approved, PHMSA will work to ensure that						
4	the pipeline facilities are designed, constructed, operated						
5	and maintained in compliance with the federal pipeline						
6	safety regulations.						
7	Thank you for this opportunity to provide the						
8	overview of PHMSA's pipeline safety program.						
9	MR. FRIEDMAN: Thank you, Alex.						
10	The Commissioners will have the options of doing						
11	the following when reviewing Mountain Valley's proposal.						
12	They can accept it in whole or in part. They can approve						
13	the proposal with or without conditions, or they can deny						
14	the application altogether. The final decision by the						
15	Commissioners will be made in what's called an Order. The						
16	Order, not the EIS, would make a finding of public benefit.						
17							
18	If the Project is authorized, the Commission						
19	would make a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity						
20	to Mountain Valley. The Commissioners would base their						
21	decision on their Certificate Policy Statement that						
22	established the criteria for determining whether or not						
23	there is a need for a project. If the Commission decides to						
24	authorize the project, the FERC staff will make certain that						
25	the environmental conditions attached to the order are						

```
1 satisfied. Those conditions usually include a stipulation
```

- 2 that the Company has to obtain all other necessary federal
- 3 permits and authorizations. For example, the Forest Service
- 4 would have to issue a record of decision on a right-of-way
- 5 grant, and on plan amendments before we would allow a shovel
- 6 to be turned.
- 7 The Company must implement all of the measures
- 8 that they committed to on their application and mitigation
- 9 programs. FERC Staff and our contractors will monitor the
- 10 project through construction and restoration and the
- 11 completion of mitigation programs. We will perform on-site
- 12 inspections for compliance with the environmental conditions
- of the order.
- 14 Now is the time for public comment. Let me
- 15 emphasize that this is not a hearing on the merits of the
- 16 proposal. Other Commission staff will consider the economic
- need for this project and the rates to be charged for
- 18 service.
- As I said earlier, this meeting provides an
- opportunity for you, the public, to comment on the type of
- 21 environmental issues you would like to see covered in detail
- 22 in the EIS. The more specific your comments about potential
- 23 environmental impacts, the more useful they will be for the
- 24 Staff to focus our intention on important issues. Making a
- 25 statement that you are for or against the project is not

- 1 particularly useful.
- This is not a question and answer session; I am
- 3 here to listen to you. We will address all of your
- 4 questions and your comments raised during scoping, including
- 5 these public meetings in our EIS after we have conducted the
- 6 appropriate research.
- 7 There are some ground rules about decorum during
- 8 this meeting. Please treat all other speakers with respect,
- 9 whether you agree with them or not. No booing, cheering,
- 10 applauding or yelling out of turn. If the audience becomes
- 11 unruly and there is a public safety issue in my opinion, I
- 12 reserve the right to close the meeting. We will take
- speakers up until everyone has spoken.
- 14 I will call up speakers individually, in the
- order in which they have signed up, by number. At other
- 16 venues I limited speakers to three minutes because of the
- 17 size of the audience. While the size of this audience is
- 18 much smaller, we're going to stay uniform so that people who
- 19 read transcripts will see that we treated all the venues the
- 20 same.
- 21 So everyone will have three minutes to speak. If
- you have more detailed comments, please submit them in
- writing, either electronically or with a letter. We treat
- 24 letters and electronic comments with equal weight with
- 25 verbal comments.

```
1 When you get up to the podium, there is no
```

- 2 microphone, so you have to speak very loudly. State your
- 3 name and spell it for the court reporter. If you represent
- 4 an organization, tell us what that is without using an
- 5 acronym.
- If you are a landowner along the pipeline route,
- 7 please indicate where your property is, according to either
- 8 milemarks or cross streets.
- 9 As a matter of fairness, I will strictly enforce
- 10 the three minute rule. Lavinia has a little child's toy
- 11 stoplight; it's green for two minutes, it's -- or two and a
- 12 half minutes; yellow for 30 seconds; and when the red light
- goes off, I will ask you to yield the floor.
- The first speaker tonight is number one.
- MR. BOYER: I'd rather face the audience.
- 16 MR. FRIEDMAN: You may. Because we have no
- microphone, it's fine.
- 18 MR. BOYER: I'm David Boyer, David, D-a-v-i-d,
- 19 B-o-y-e-r. I live in Tyler County, I have oil and gas in
- 20 probably about 11 counties, in the Northern part of West
- 21 Virginia. I have an interest in over 100 Marcellus shale
- wells.
- I don't know of any of those, I know I haven't
- 24 signed any leases with Equitable EQT or Equitrans or
- 25 whatever hat they particularly want to wear that day, for a

```
1 number of reasons: One, this company is not paying for all
```

- 2 the substances emitted from the well bore. They're
- 3 presently paying in the area of a dollar-something to \$3.50
- a thousand for the gas they're purchasing.
- 5 Six years ago, I was getting between \$15 and \$17
- 6 a thousand out of this county for gas of the same quality.
- Why is this pipeline needed when gas prices are
- 8 so low? We in West Virginia, whether it's been coal with
- 9 the Hatfields having traded, having had Mr. Peabody trade a
- 10 pig to Devil Ance for his coal, why are we still on this
- 11 same type of endeavor in order to give our gas away at these
- 12 prices?
- 13 EQT never has, as far as I know, has refused to
- now, doesn't look like they're going to change in the
- 15 future, pay for all substances emitted from the well bore.
- 16 particularly the distillates. They're one of the biggest
- 17 opponents or proponents to force forward. Doesn't affect me
- 18 a whole lot, but those of you that may only have a tract or
- 19 two or three, from having a legitimate say. It's even worse
- 20 than eminent domain. This legitimate say and properly
- 21 negotiating your leasing rights that you would want to lease
- 22 for.
- I have multiple tracts which they do want. And
- I'm going to be very cautious about leasing it to them. I
- 25 won't say I won't, because I don't want to be excluded and I

```
1 don't particularly want to have, just because if I have a
```

- very minor interest in a very small tract, of prohibiting
- 3 somebody from having their income from that tract as well.
- 4 There's a big misuse of FERC to avoid proper
- 5 negotiations. If they know they can force their way
- 6 through, they'll force their way through.
- 7 I see that's my end. I have a lot more to say if
- 8 anybody has any questions.
- 9 MR. FRIEDMAN: Sir, if you would please file
- 10 detailed comments with the Commission, we'd greatly
- 11 appreciate it.
- MR. BOYER: Will do that; I plan to.
- MR. FRIEDMAN: Thank you.
- 14 Speaker No. 2.
- 15 MS. LONG: My name is Autumn Long, A-u-t-u-m-n
- 16 L-o-n-g. I'm going to start with my concerns about the need
- 17 to evaluate the several simultaneous pipeline proposals that
- 18 are under consideration now as cumulative impacts. NEPA
- 19 requires that FERC prepares a single regional EIS for
- 20 closely related projects in the same geographic area, which
- I believe the simultaneous pipeline proposals fall under
- that category.
- These impacts include increased greenhouse gas
- 24 emissions associated with the entire sheen of production,
- 25 transmission and consumption of gas, including that of the

local fracking, which leads to a lot of very heavy localized

- 2 pollution.
- 3 I would like FERC to consider the climate change
- 4 impacts that will be part of the simultaneous pipeline
- 5 proposals; and also consider the fact that the true
- 6 calculation of methane emissions from natural gas
- 7 production, recent studies have shown that these emissions
- 8 may be many times higher than the gas industry currently
- 9 claims. So the greenhouse gas affects much larger than what
- 10 is currently being calculated.
- 11 Also, these pipelines would result in the
- 12 permanent loss of thousands upon thousands of acres of
- 13 forest land, which means that carbon sinks, which currently
- 14 store greenhouse gases, would be destroyed, thereby leading
- 15 to a net loss of stored carbon and future storage capacity
- of carbon.
- 17 Also, impacts on federally-endangered species and
- 18 species of concern due to the fragmentation of forest land,
- 19 headwater streams, impacts on regional property values, the
- landowner's ability to use and enjoy their property,
- 21 including the destruction of this region's rural character,
- 22 limits on future use and enjoyment, including farming,
- 23 timber; and I would like FERC to consider non-timber forest
- 24 products as well, including game animals, medicinal plants,
- 25 ginseng and golden seal being two of the most valuable;

```
1 various mushrooms, plants like ramps, that are important
```

- 2 food sources and sources of medicine and income for people
- 3 in Appalachia.
- 4 Issues of pesticide spraying and the danger of
- 5 explosions which leads to fear, anxiety and potential buyers
- 6 being dissuaded from purchasing property near these
- 7 pipelines.
- 8 Environmental justice issues include negative
- 9 impacts, so it will be disproportionately borne by low
- 10 income rural populations, such as heavy localized
- 11 environmental pollution due to the expansion of the pipeline
- 12 infrastructure that will occur. And increased industrial --

- MR. FRIEDMAN: You're going to wrap up right now,
- 15 right?
- MS. LONG: Okay.
- 17 MR. FRIEDMAN: Thank you so much for your
- 18 comments. Again, you have a lot more to say, which I think
- 19 you do. Please give us detailed comments in writing, either
- 20 electronically or in a letter.
- 21 Speaker Number three.
- MR. ROSS: My name is Keith Ross, and the
- 23 Mountain Valley Pipeline is projected to be on my property
- for a considerably portion. I'm concerned about the
- 25 disruption of the topsoil on my ridge top and the effect on

```
1 my three watersheds. I have two water wells, and I'm
```

- 2 concerned about my water quality.
- I'm also concerned that this export pipeline, the
- 4 Mountain Valley Pipeline, will give rise to a lot more
- 5 drilling in my area, because the pipeline is going to be fed
- 6 with gas coming out of my immediate area, which then will
- 7 affect my water quality again because of the huge amounts of
- 8 water used in drilling and the waste water that comes out of
- 9 the ground.
- 10 I'm very concerned about water quality for the
- 11 entire area, as well as how my watersheds are going to be
- 12 affected by the pipeline itself on my ridge top.
- 13 Those are my major concerns as far as
- 14 environmental things are concerned. I have a lot of forest
- land, mature timber, and if the pipeline were to rupture,
- 16 having a safety valve every six miles, it takes a long time
- to burn up six miles of 1,000 psi 42-inch gas. And I'm
- 18 concerned about my woods just being wiped out in one fell
- 19 swoop if there was an accident. I know they put these
- 20 pipelines in rural areas to have less impact upon
- 21 populations, but I have to live in a rural area, and I feel
- like there's going to be a pretty big impact on me if
- 23 something did rupture with the pipeline.
- 24 So I'm very concerned about the safety of the
- 25 pipeline. And where humans are involved, I don't feel safe,

```
because we don't cross all our 't's and dot all our 'i's on
```

- 2 a day-to-day basis. That's all I want to say. Thank you.
- MR. FRIEDMAN: Thank you for your comments.
- 4 Remember that when you make a comment, we will
- 5 address it in the DEIS, under general resource topics.
- 6 Next speaker is number four.
- 7 MR. HARRINGTON: My name is Dan Harrington. D-a-
- 8 n H-a-r-r-i-n-g-t-o-n. I live in Harrison County, directly
- 9 adjacent to the proposed pipeline.
- 10 First thing I'd like to do is request that we
- 11 have a 90-day extension on this comment period, because of
- 12 the rural areas and the limited transportation options in
- this area. It's very hard for a lot of people to make these
- 14 few and far between meetings. I think it's important that
- 15 extra time be given because of that.
- 16 I, like the last speaker, am also very concerned
- 17 with the water issues. Running pipelines on the tops of
- 18 hillsides, you're going to be removing a lot of soil. Are
- 19 they going to put it back correctly? Are they going to keep
- 20 the topsoil separate? Are they going to put it on the top?
- 21 Once they re-grass these things, you only have grass. Grass
- 22 holds about two inches of soil. The trees did a lot more
- than that.
- 24 Pesticide spraying also will affect me. My water
- is a spring on a hillside. If there's pesticides being

```
1 sprayed in the area, if there's diesel spilled, it's going
```

- 2 towards my water. There is no city water where I live.
- 3 Everybody in my neighbor has either springs or wells.
- 4 The wildlife issue, as mentioned before, morels
- 5 are a high dollar item. Ramps are a very important local
- 6 food source. Herbal medicinals also very important. And
- 7 also on the rural aspect of this pipeline, should there be
- 8 an accident, a disaster, there is no infrastructure in place
- 9 to deal with it. The local fire hall, all volunteers in
- 10 this area, do not have the equipment and also will not have
- 11 access to these pipeline areas. It rains a lot in West
- 12 Virginia; it's unpredictable. There is no way to get to
- 13 many of these pipeline areas, especially if it's winter or
- if it's muddy.
- 15 Will this pipeline be fenced? If so, you're
- 16 putting a huge border across the whole state. You're
- 17 cutting the animal migration routes in half if it is fenced.
- 18 If it's not fenced, we already have a problem with people in
- 19 the area four-wheeling on our property, on other people's
- 20 property, and you're providing a free track for them, you're
- 21 providing a road for people to drive up and down these
- 22 mountains, causing erosion.
- That's what I have to say tonight. Thank you.
- MR. FRIEDMAN: Thank you for your comment.
- 25 Speaker number five.

```
1 MR. WATSON: My name is Randy Watson, R-a-n-d-y
```

- W-a-t-s-o-n. I'm from Marion County and I'm not a landowner
- on this job; I'm a partner in a consulting firm that does
- 4 work on particular jobs just like this; my company may or
- 5 may not be working on this particular job. I just want to
- 6 state that I'm in favor of it because of the jobs it would
- 7 create and the benefits to the local economy in the form of
- 8 domestic needs, and also the severance tax that it brings in
- 9 to the different counties affected.
- 10 I do a lot of work in this county, and I can see
- 11 the benefits that the gas has brought to this county in
- 12 severance tax, such as your county commissioners have
- 13 provided electrical generators, diesel power generators for
- 14 different public service districts and being able to provide
- water in the form of power outages.
- 16 And I just wanted to state that I was in favor of
- it, and thank you for your time.
- 18 MR. FRIEDMAN: Thank you for your comment.
- 19 Speaker number six.
- 20 MR. TESKA: Thank you for this opportunity.
- MR. FRIEDMAN: Please state your name and spell
- it for the court reporter.
- MR. TESKA: My name is Ron Teska, R-o-n T-e-s-k-
- 24 a.
- MR. FRIEDMAN: Thank you.

```
1
                  MR. TESKA: And I'm here on behalf of my
 2
       grandchildren and those yet to be born. I'm here to speak
       of environmental issues, especially water and EQT's concern
 3
       about that very same mineral, water. I'm here to say that
       if folks want to look up my endless battle with EQT on the
 5
       Internet, you'll read about our situation with EQT and their
 6
       unreliability, not reliability. And their concern to plug a
       gas well that they have no interest in outside of the fact
 8
 9
       that they had to relinquish their lease because they were
10
       not producing.
                  They violated terms of the gas lease, they
11
       violated state regulations, they violated DEP regulations,
12
13
       and they've coerced the courts to side with them. We are
       still dealing with them. They want to plug our gas well,
14
15
       which they should have surrendered because they had not
16
       produced for years, claiming that giving us our $200 a year
       was holding the lease, which is not true; that's not a
17
18
       tenancy at will, that's a tenancy at their will. We had no
19
       idea they were doing that, and that's the law, yet the court
       side with them. That's the problem we're dealing with.
2.0
21
                  The big picture is these energy corporations, the
22
       governments and the courts are all working together to
23
       ensure that this project will go through. And it will go
24
       through. Benito Musselini had a term for that relationship,
25
       and I'm sure we all know what that is.
```

```
1 I think that's it. Thank you.
```

- 2 MR. FRIEDMAN: Thank you for your comment.
- 3 Speaker number seven.
- 4 Speaker number seven?
- 5 State your name and spell it for the court
- 6 reporter, please.
- 7 MR. HUGHES: Name is William, last name is
- 8 spelled
- 9 H-u-g-h-e-s, William Hughes.
- 10 Sorry for being late; if there were introductory
- 11 comments by you folks, I missed them.
- 12 MR. FRIEDMAN: Guess what, I'm going to put them
- on the Internet so you can read them.
- MR. HUGHES: Pardon?
- 15 MR. FRIEDMAN: I'm going to put the comments on
- 16 the Internet, my presentation will be on the Internet, and
- if you have access to a computer, you can read it on line.
- 18 MR. HUGHES: I just found out that this was going
- 19 to be here tonight, so I'm very much unprepared. One of my
- 20 concerns is that Wetzel County has had a lot of pipelines
- 21 already. I use the phrase, "It's been pretty well sliced
- 22 and diced, and I'm afraid there's no end in sight." I would
- like to see any additional pipelines use existing rights-of-
- 24 way.
- 25 With that slips on pipelines because of poor

```
1
       design or poor construction or both, there seems to be
       minimal oversight once FERC approval is granted, so that the
       terrain here, and our hills are moderately high, we do not
 3
       have significant hills; I was under the impression that some
       of these proposed pipelines are heading south and each to
 5
       much higher terrain, where we've not put such large diameter
 6
 7
       pipelines in before.
                  So the approval process needs to take into
 8
       consideration how well it's going to be constructed, and
 9
10
       whether or not there's going to be adequate oversight. If
       it was an 8 or 10 or 12 inch pipeline, I think we could
11
       possibly bungle through it, and it wouldn't do significant
12
13
       damage. With the 30 to 42-inch lines -- are going to
       present much more of a challenge.
14
15
                  The other issue I have with a lot of these
16
       pipelines, especially if they are eventually going to have
17
       FERC approval is not been given by anyone that I've asked,
18
       why a pipeline which is going to receive natural gas,
19
       pipeline-ready gas from various producers here and sell it
20
```

to other private entities someplace else, why it is ever
given eminent domain. My neighbors have some questions that
I just told him I have not been able to answer; why would a
pipeline taking a private product through a private pipeline
to sell to a private consumer that is not having any
residential consumers, why is it given eminent domain?

```
I just think there needs to be a way where people
```

- 2 can be granted -- pipelines can be given permission to do
- 3 it, but only if the landowner agrees to allowing it. Is
- 4 that the limit?
- 5 MR. FRIEDMAN: Yes.
- 6 If you have more detailed comments, you can
- 7 submit them in writing, We have procedures in our NOI for
- 8 how to submit comments. You get those from Charlie and
- 9 Doug. We also have a handout explaining how private
- 10 companies were given eminent domain, and that was by an act
- of Congress in 1947.
- MR. HUGHES: Thank you for being here.
- MR. FRIEDMAN: You're welcome.
- MR. HUGHES: Too bad I was unable to get here
- 15 sooner. Thank you.
- MR. FRIEDMAN: You're welcome.
- 17 All right. That's the last speaker we have
- 18 signed up. So on behalf of the FERC, I want to thank you
- 19 all for coming here tonight to help us focus the
- 20 environmental review process on those issues of concern to
- 21 you. I'd like to say I think that you were all extremely
- 22 courteous and kind to all the speakers.
- 23 Let the record show that this meeting concluded
- 24 at 7:46 p.m.
- 25 (Thereupon, at 7:46 p.m., the Pine Grove, West

1	Virginia	public	scoping	meeting	concluded.)
2					
3					
4					
5					
6					
7					
8					
9					
10					
11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					